	ed States Patent a	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER I P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22 www.uspto.gov	FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
29/306,656	04/14/2008	Patrick Miles	104DES2	9900
30328 7590 02/24/2009 JONATHAN SPANGLER NuVasive, Inc. 7475 LUSK BOULEVARD SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			EXAMINER RADEMAKER, CHARLES A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
011100100,0112121			2916	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	29/306,656	MILES ET AL.				
interview Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CHARLES A. RADEMAKER	2916				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>CHARLES A. RADEMAKER</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>RORY SCHERMERRHORN</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>19 February 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)∏ Video Conference c)∏ Personal [copy given to: 1)∏ applicant 2)∏ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: <u>n/a</u> .						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>n/a</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: IDS papers filed 4/14/2008.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The IDS papers filed 4/14/2008 lacked a PTO 1449 listing as pointed out in the Notice of Allowance letter dated 12/2/2009</u> . The examiner will consider the foreign documents of record if a PTO 1449 <u>is filed prior to payment of the issue fee</u> . However, if applicants wish the examiner to consider new documents that are not of record, it is suggested that the filing of a CPA type application be considered. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims						
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	v been filed, APPI Y DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS LATER, TO			
/Charles A. Rademaker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2916						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview	Summary	Paper	No. 20090219			

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.