

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

FORD MOTOR COMPANY, GENERAL MOTORS LLC,  
NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., TESLA, INC., and  
AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC.,<sup>1</sup>  
Petitioner,

v.

NEO WIRELESS, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2022-01539  
Patent 10,965,512 B2

---

Before HYUN J. JUNG, JO-ANNE M. KOKOSKI, and  
MATTHEW S. MEYERS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

JUNG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION  
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial  
*35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.74*

---

<sup>1</sup> Ford Motor Company filed a motion for joinder and a petition in IPR2023-00764, and General Motors LLC, Nissan North America, Inc., Tesla, Inc., and American Honda Motor Co., Inc., filed their own motion for joinder and petition in IPR2023-00961. Both motions were granted, and, therefore, Ford Motor Company, General Motors LLC, Nissan North America, Inc., Tesla, Inc., and American Honda Motor Co., Inc., have been joined as petitioners in this proceeding.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. (“VWGoA”) filed a Petition seeking institution of an *inter partes* review of claims 1–30 of U.S. Patent No. 10,965,512 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’512 patent”). Paper 1. After reviewing the Petition (Paper 1) and Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response (Paper 6), we instituted an *inter partes* review. Paper 7.

In IPR2023-00764, Ford Motor Company (“Ford”) filed a petition and a motion for joinder requesting that Ford be joined as a petitioner in IPR2022-01539, which was granted, and, therefore, Ford has been joined as a petitioner in this proceeding. Paper 15. In IPR2023-00961, General Motors LLC (“GM”), Nissan North America, Inc. (“Nissan”), Tesla, Inc. (“Tesla”), and American Honda Motor Co., Inc. (“Honda”) filed a petition and a motion for joinder requesting that they be joined as petitioners in IPR2022-01539, which was granted, and, therefore, they have also been joined as petitioners in this proceeding. Paper 29.

On January 9, 2024, VWGoA and Patent Owner Neo Wireless, LLC (“Neo Wireless”) filed a Joint Motion to Terminate *Inter Partes* Review with Respect to VWGoA, which was granted, and, consequently, Ford, GM, Nissan, Tesla, and Honda remained as petitioners in this proceeding. Paper 49.

On January 29, 2024, with our authorization, Ford, GM, Nissan, Tesla, Honda, and Neo Wireless (collectively, the “Parties”) filed a Joint Motion to Terminate the above-identified proceeding. Paper 50 (“Joint Motion” or “Mot.”). The Parties represent that a Joint Stipulation to Dismiss (Ex. 2101 (“Joint Stipulation” or “Joint Stip.”)) “resolves all pending disputes” between the Parties with respect to the ’512 patent. Mot. 4; *see*

*also id.* at 6 (stating similarly that the Parties “have entered into a Stipulation resolving all underlying disputes . . . with respect to the ’512 Patent”), 7 (stating that the “Stipulation . . . resolves all disputes . . . with respect to the ’512 Patent”). The Parties also represent that a true and correct copy of the Joint Stipulation has been filed and “certify that there are no other collateral agreements or understandings between them made in connection with, or in contemplation of, termination of this proceeding.” *Id.* at 6, 7.

## II. DISCUSSION

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), “[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” Any agreement or understanding “made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of an *inter partes* review” must be in writing, and a true copy of any such documents must be filed in the Office before termination. *Id.* § 317(b); *accord* 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b).

The Parties represent that they have complied with the applicable requirements. Also, as noted by the Parties, “oral hearing has not been held and a Final Written Decision is not due until May 2, 2024.” Mot. 7. We, thus, agree with the Parties that “[t]ermination is appropriate . . . because the Board has not yet decided the merits of this *inter partes* review.” *Id.*

Accordingly, we terminate this *inter partes* review with respect to all present parties. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.74. This Order does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

IPR2022-01539  
Patent 10,965,512 B2

### III. ORDER

Accordingly, for the reasons discussed above, it is:

ORDERED that the Parties' Joint Motion to Terminate (Paper 50) is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that this proceeding is terminated as to all parties;

FURTHER ORDERED this paper does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a);

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order be entered into each of IPR2023-00764 and IPR2023-00961;

FURTHER ORDERED that IPR2023-00764 is terminated under 37 C.F.R. § 42.72; and

FURTHER ORDERED that IPR2023-00961 is terminated under 37 C.F.R. § 42.72.

IPR2022-01539  
Patent 10,965,512 B2

FOR PETITIONER (GENERAL MOTORS LLC, NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., TESLA, INC., and AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC.):

Timothy Riffe  
Usman Khan  
John Johnson  
Jeffrey Mok  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
riffe@fr.com  
khan@fr.com  
jjohnson@fr.com  
jmok@fr.com

Reginald Hill  
Nicole Keenan  
JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
rhill@jenner.com  
nkeenan@jenner.com

FOR PETITIONER (FORD MOTOR COMPANY):

John S. LeRoy  
Christopher Smith  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
jleroy@brookskushman.com  
csmith@brookskushman.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Kenneth J. Weatherwax  
Parham Hendifar  
Ed Hsieh  
LOWENSTEIN & WEATHERWAX LLP  
weatherwax@lowensteinweatherwax.com  
hendifar@lowensteinweatherwax.com  
hsieh@lowensteinweatherwax.com  
NeoWireless\_IPRs@lowensteinweatherwax.com

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.