

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Inventor	: Ammar Al-Ali
App. No.	: 16/835,772
Filed	: March 31, 2020
For	: PHYSIOLOGICAL MONITORING DEVICES, SYSTEMS, AND METHODS
Examiner	: Fardanesh, Marjan
Art Unit	: 3791
Conf. No.	: 2365

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE****Mail Stop Issue Fee**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Commissioner:

Applicant makes the following comments in response to the Examiner's description of allowable subject matter in the Notice of Allowance mailed May 4, 2020. Applicant acknowledges the Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the above-referenced patent application and agrees that the claimed subject matter is patentable. However, Applicant takes no position regarding the Reasons for Allowance presented by the Examiner and therefore respectfully traverses them. Therefore, the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance should not be attributed to Applicant as an indication of the basis for Applicant's belief that the claims are patentable.

To the extent that the paraphrasing of the subject matter of the claims deviates from the actual language of the claims, the Applicant respectfully reserves the right to disagree with the Reasons for Allowance. Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent it may suggest that a given claim requires limitations that are not explicitly recited therein. To the extent that there is any implication that the patentability of the claims rests on the recitation of a single feature or sub-group of elements, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance because it is the combination

**Application No.:** 16/835,772

**Filing Date:** March 31, 2020

of elements that makes the claims patentable. Moreover, to the extent that the Reasons for Allowance include a characterization of any reference that deviates from the actual disclosure or teaching of that reference, the Applicant respectfully reserves the right to disagree with the Reasons for Allowance.

To the extent there is any implication that the patentability of the dependent claims is solely attributable to those claims being dependent on allowable independent claims, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance. The dependent claims are patentable for the additional reason that they recite additional features that further distinguish the claims from the prior art of record.

Applicant reserves the right to pursue at a later date any previously pending or other broader or narrower claims that capture any subject matter supported by the application's disclosure. Accordingly, reviewers of this or any child or related prosecution history shall not reasonably infer that the Applicant has made any disclaimers or disavowals of any subject matter.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: May 5, 2020

By:     /Jarom Kesler/      
Jarom D. Kesler  
Registration No. 57,046  
Registered Practitioner  
Customer No. 64735  
(949) 760-0404