United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
15/845,487	12/18/2017	Jeffrey V. Ravetch	070413.20317	3903
	5/845,487 12/18/2017 Jeffrey V. Ravetch 31655 7590 05/02/2019 Fox Rothschild, LLP / The Rockefeller University 997 Lenox Drive	EXAMINER		
997 Lenox Drive Lawrenceville, NJ 08648			SALVOZA, M FRANCO G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2019	FI ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocket@foxrothschild.com



	Application No. 15/845,487	Applicant(s) Ravetch et al.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner MERANCO C SALVOZA	Art Unit	AIA (FITF) Status			
	M FRANCO G SALVOZA	1648	Yes			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	corresponden	ce address			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed after SIX in the mailing date of ED (35 U.S.C. § 13	(6) MONTHS from the mailing of this communication.			
Status						
1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/27/2	2019.					
☐ A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.1						
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	This action is non-final.					
3) An election was made by the applicant in respo			ng the interview on			
; the restriction requirement and election	<u>-</u>					
4)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims*						
5) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application	tion.					
5a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 5 is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) 1 and 3-4 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement					
If any claims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may be eli	•	secution High	nway program at a			
participating intellectual property office for the corresponding ap	·					
http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send	an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspte	o.gov.				
Application Papers						
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	′ .					
11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12/18/2017; 3/14/2018 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	- · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 3	7 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign Certified copies:		a)-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some** c)☐ None of the						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	• •					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure 		eived in this l	National Stage			
** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certific	ed copies not received.					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3) Interview Summa	y (PTO-413)				
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/27/2019	B/08b) Paper No(s)/Mail 4) Other:	Date				



Application/Control Number: 15/845,487 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

Notice of Pre-AIA or AIA Status

The present application, filed on or after March 16, 2013, is being examined under the first inventor to file provisions of the AIA.

DETAILED ACTION

Election Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 2/27/2019 is acknowledged.

Claims 2, 5 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 2/27/2019.

Claims 1, 3, 4 are under consideration.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) was submitted on 2/27/2019. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112(a):

(a) IN GENERAL.—The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor or joint inventor of carrying out the invention.



The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1, 3, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(a) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor or a joint inventor, or for pre-AIA the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 recites an isolated nucleic acid comprising a sequence encoding a polypeptide comprising a modified sequence that is at least 75% identical to an IgG Fc region, wherein the modified sequence is free of sialylation and the polypeptide has an anti-inflammatory activity that is higher than that of a parent polypeptide. Claims 3, 4 depend on this claim.

Each of the claims is drawn, inherently or explicitly, to any nucleic acid sequence encoding a polypeptide comprising a modified sequence (also reading on a fragment) that is at least 75% identical to an IgG Fc region, wherein the modified sequence is free of sialylation and the polypeptide has an anti-inflammatory activity that is higher than that of a parent polypeptide. Thus, the claims are drawn to compositions comprising a genus of nucleic acid sequences encoding polypeptide comprising a modified sequence that is at least 75% identical to an (or "any") IgG Fc region and that is free of sialylation and has a particular activity (anti-inflammatory activity that is higher than that of a parent polypeptide). It is noted that the instant claims also read upon fragments that are at least 75% identical to an IgG Fc region.



The following quotation from section 2163 of the Manual of Patent Examination

Procedure is a brief discussion of what is required in a specification to satisfy the 35 U.S.C. 112

written description requirement for a generic claim covering several distinct inventions:

The written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice..., reduction to drawings..., or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus... See Eli Lilly, 119 F.3d at 1568, 43 USPQ2d at 1406. 'A "representative number of species" means that the species which are adequately described are representative of the entire genus. Thus, when there is substantial variation within the genus, one must describe a sufficient variety of species to reflect the variation within the genus.

Thus, when a claim covers a genus of inventions, the specification must provide written description support for the entire scope of the genus. Support for a genus is generally found where the applicant has provided a number of examples sufficient so that one in the art would recognize from the specification the scope of what is being claimed.

In the present case, the specification teaches: the modified sequence can be sialylated at different levels or non-sialylated. In some embodiments, it is (a) substantially free of sialylation or (b) sialylated at a level lower than that of IgG of the subject. The IgG Fc region can comprise the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1. The modified sequence can be at least 75% (e.g., any number between 75% and 100%, inclusive, e.g., 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, 99%, and 100%) identical to SEQ ID NO: 2 [0014]. Beyond such a recitation, the specification only further teaches: Examples 2, 7 reciting the F241A embodiment.

As to state of the art, the prior art teaches some known features that lead to anti-inflammatory activity. For example, Anthony et al. ("Identification of a receptor required for the anti-inflammatory activity of IVIG," PNAS Vol. 105, No. 50: 19571-19578 (2008))(See PTO-



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

