



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
15/644,524	07/07/2017	Clayton K. Redmon	REDM-P0001US	2303
134449	7590	02/26/2019	EXAMINER	
Grable Martin Fulton PLLC 2709 Dublin Road Plano, TX 75094			NGUYEN, PHUNG HOANG JOSEPH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2656	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2019	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@gchub.com

The present application, filed on or after March 16, 2013, is being examined under the first inventor to file provisions of the AIA.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

In the event the determination of the status of the application as subject to AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 (or as subject to pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103) is incorrect, any correction of the statutory basis for the rejection will not be considered a new ground of rejection if the prior art relied upon, and the rationale supporting the rejection, would be the same under either status.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent for a claimed invention may not be obtained, notwithstanding that the claimed invention is not identically disclosed as set forth in section 102, if the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art are such that the claimed invention as a whole would have been obvious before the effective filing date of the claimed invention to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the claimed invention pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Moore et al (US 2015/0371456) in view of Hillstrom (US2002/0002469).

Claim 1, Moore teaches a mobile lawyer system comprising:

at least one video camera configured for mounting inside the passenger compartment of a vehicle and capture video images of at least one passenger in the vehicle **(in-car device 10 with microphone 16, speaker 18 and at least one camera 20 are included in or connected to device 10, Figs. 1 and 2 and [0014-0017, [0023]);**

a display screen configured for mounting inside the passenger compartment of a vehicle (**display 22 of device 10, Figs. 1 and 2 and [0017]**);

a remote server and a database (**data captured by camera are transceiving to/from remote server 14, [0013, 0016]**),

While Moore teaches “a mobile device executing a mobile application and in wireless communication with the video camera and display screen, configured, upon command from a user, to communicate with the remote server (**the portable device can be a customers personal electronic device such as a mobile smartphone having its own camera and display screen; the device 10 includes an application configured to communicate with server 14; figs 1 and 2; and [0017, 0021, 0022]**).

Moore does not explicitly discuss “configured to store information about a plurality of lawyers licensed in a plurality of jurisdictions;

wherein the remote server is configured to automatically determine a location of the vehicle, automatically identify a jurisdiction associated with the vehicle location, automatically identify at least one lawyer licensed in the identified jurisdiction, and automatically notify the at least one identified lawyer”.

Hillstrom teaches a system where the database is configured to store information about a plurality of lawyers licensed in a plurality of jurisdictions (**The system of the present invention will have in its database information about the attorneys, managing attorneys and practicing attorneys. Information should include personal information, name, address, how to be contacted. Other information**

concerning their legal background also should be inputted. The legal background should include in what states the attorney is licensed, and the type of law that they specialize in, [0098]).

And furthermore, Hillstrom teaches “wherein the remote server is configured to automatically determine a location of the vehicle, automatically identify a jurisdiction associated with the vehicle location, automatically identify at least one lawyer licensed in the identified jurisdiction, and automatically notify one of the at least one identified lawyer located remotely”. Hillstrom’s system is “to select particularly qualified lawyers to pursue, prosecute, defend and manage legal claims on behalf of clients, [0011]... Prospective client-users may create and submit a file on-line answering questions that will enable the system of the present invention to forward the file to an appropriate Managing Lawyer licensed in an appropriate jurisdiction, [0018] ... Prospective clients are enabled to request to be contacted by a Managing Lawyer licensed in an appropriate state or states for consultation concerning the particular prospective client and claims, [0022] and where these particular qualified lawyers can accommodate/work on issues associated with different states or national laws to server users in disparate geographical locations, [0034].

While Moore's system is capable of notifying the remote server 14 for communication with the customer service agent using terminal 24 for communication (If in-vehicle device 10 detects that vehicle 12 has broken down and is inoperable, device 10 sends a signal to server 14 indicating the nature of the mechanical problem and the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.