

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HYUNDAI MOTOR AMERICA,
Petitioner,

v.

STRATOSAUDIO, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2021-01305
Patent 8,903,307 B2

Before JUSTIN T. ARBES, HYUN J. JUNG, and KEVIN C. TROCK,
Administrative Patent Judges.

ARBES, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

JUDGMENT
Final Written Decision
Determining All Challenged Claims Unpatentable
35 U.S.C. § 318(a)

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background and Summary

Petitioner Hyundai Motor America filed a Petition (Paper 2, “Pet.”) requesting *inter partes* review of claims 11–20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,903,307 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’307 patent”) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 311(a).

On January 26, 2022, we instituted an *inter partes* review as to all challenged claims on all grounds of unpatentability asserted in the Petition. Paper 9 (“Decision on Institution” or “Dec. on Inst.”). Patent Owner StratosAudio, Inc. filed a Patent Owner Response (Paper 18, “PO Resp.”), Petitioner filed a Reply (Paper 22, “Reply”), and Patent Owner filed a Sur-Reply (Paper 27, “Sur-Reply”). With our authorization provided by email, the parties also filed supplemental briefs addressing certain briefing submitted in related district court litigation. *See* Papers 33 (“Pet. Supp. Br.”), 34 (“PO Supp. Br.”); Exs. 1031, 1032.

A combined oral hearing with Case IPR2021-01303 was held on October 24, 2022, and a transcript of the hearing is included in the record (Paper 38, “Tr.”).

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6. This Final Written Decision is issued pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a). For the reasons that follow, we determine that Petitioner has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 11–20 of the ’307 patent are unpatentable.

B. Related Matters

The parties indicate that the ’307 patent is the subject of the following pending district court cases: *StratosAudio, Inc. v. Volkswagen Group of America, Inc.*, Case No. 2:22-cv-10524 (E.D. Mich.), and *StratosAudio, Inc. v. Hyundai Motor America*, Case No. 2:22-cv-01712 (C.D. Cal.). *See* Pet. 2–3; Paper 4, 1; Paper 32, 1. Petitioner filed a petition challenging claims of a patent related to the ’307 patent in Case IPR2021-01303 (instituted), and petitions challenging claims of other patents asserted in one or more of the district court cases in Cases IPR2021-01267 (instituted) and IPR2021-01371 (instituted). Volkswagen Group of America, Inc.

(“Volkswagen”) filed a petition challenging claims 11 and 15–18 of the ’307 patent in Case IPR2021-00712 (instituted, “the Volkswagen IPR”)¹ and petitions challenging claims of other patents asserted in one or more of the district court cases in IPR2021-00716 (instituted), IPR2021-00717 (denied), IPR2021-00718 (denied), IPR2021-00719 (denied), IPR2021-00720 (instituted), and IPR2021-00721 (instituted). Various parties filed petitions and motions for joinder to certain of the instituted proceedings, which were granted, in Cases IPR2022-00203, IPR2022-00204, IPR2022-00205, and IPR2022-00224.


C. The ’307 Patent

The ’307 patent discloses “[a] broadcast response system [that] provides, e.g., a radio broadcast listener with the ability to obtain media content such as music or speech while listening to the radio.” Ex. 1001, code (57). “From the early days of FM broadcast transmission, stations have included ancillary signals such as background music or reading services for the blind along with a main carrier signal.” *Id.* at col. 1, ll. 29–31. “The most current and widely used data transmission standard is the United States Radio Broadcast Data Systems (‘RBDS’) standard” in which a system “broadcast[s] a variety of program-related information,” such as station “call letters, station format, traffic alerts and scrolling text messages,” on a “subcarrier of a standard FM broadcast channel.” *Id.* at col. 1, ll. 35–56.

¹ The Volkswagen IPR involves different prior art from the references asserted in this proceeding. In a concurrently entered final written decision in the Volkswagen IPR, we determine that Volkswagen has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 11 and 15–18 of the ’307 patent are unpatentable.

The '307 patent states that “[b]roadcasters using the RBDS standard can distribute information to a large number of users,” but “the standard does not allow individual users to respond to the broadcast information.” *Id.* at col. 2, ll. 28–31. For example, a user listening to the radio may like a particular song that he or she would like to purchase, but “must write down or remember the identifying information and then go to a store or online retailer to purchase the media.” *Id.* at col. 2, ll. 32–39. The '307 patent purportedly solves that problem by allowing the user to respond to the broadcast and purchase media content. *Id.* at col. 2, ll. 55–60.

The '307 patent includes Figures 1A–D, which are reproduced together below.



Figures 1A–D depict radio station 140, radio receiver 100, and various other devices. *Id.* at col. 4, ll. 22–25. Radio automation or CD playback system 142 “extract[s] information about songs or a radio program” from various sources and provides playlist information to Automatic Purchase System (APS) server 144, which matches the extracted information with information in a database of audio files available to download. *Id.* at col. 5, ll. 41–52. If such a file is available, APS server 144 provides download information to RBDS/RDS encoder 148. *Id.* at col. 5, ll. 52–55. RBDS/RDS encoder 148 then “transmits the RBDS/RDS information using the 57 khz RBDS/RDS subcarrier 170 to the FM transmission system 146. The RBDS/RDS subcarrier signal 170 is mixed by the FM transmission system 146 with the FM baseband program signal 172 and any other subcarriers.” *Id.* at col. 5, ll. 57–62. “The FM transmission system 146 then transmits an FM [radio frequency (RF)] signal 162 which is received by the radio receiver 100.” *Id.* at col. 5, ll. 62–63.

The ’307 patent describes various types of information that can be provided to the radio user using the data subcarrier signal, such as a song title, artist, album name, purchase price of the song, and IP address for the location where the digital version of the song is stored. *Id.* at col. 3, ll. 39–45, col. 5, ll. 4–13, 48–49. A “reference number” representing the information stored in a lookup table accessed by APS server 144 “can also be employed for ease of implementation.” *Id.* at col. 3, ll. 42–48.

RF demodulator section 102 “splits the [received FM RF signal] into an audio signal and a data signal.” *Id.* at col. 4, ll. 39–43. Audio demodulator amplifier section 108 receives the audio signal and converts it to audio signal 128 that can be output on speaker 118. *Id.* at col. 4, ll. 35–38, 53–54. RBDS/RDS decoder 106 receives the data signal and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.