

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/269,234	10/07/2011	André Sloth ERIKSEN	10494.0003-01000	1954
	7590 03/13/201 IENDERSON, FARAE	EXAMINER		
LLP			DUKE, EMMANUEL E	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3784		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	13/269,234	ERIKSEN, ANDR LOTH FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EMMANUEL DUKE	3784	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01/3</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action was made by the applicant in responsive; the restriction requirement and election. 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. conse to a restriction requent in have been incorporated ance except for formal ma	into this action. ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims			
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 6) \[\text{Claim(s)} is/are allowed. 7) \[\text{Claim(s)} \frac{1-20}{20} is/are rejected. 8) \[\text{Claim(s)} is/are objected to. 9) \[\text{Claim(s)} are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
10) The specification is objected to by the Examin 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in a point documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	



Application/Control Number: 13/269,234

Art Unit: 3784

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Comments

1. For applicant's information, a personal interview under 37 C.F.R. § 1.138(b) was never requested nor such granted. As stated on page 2 of the remarks sheet; on Wednesday, January 25, 2012, the Examiner received a phone call from a representative of Applicant, Mr. Biju Chandran, pointing out that cited Eriksen '310 cited reference does not qualified as a prior art, and in response the Examiner requested that these argument be presented in a written response to Office Action. Therefore, the Applicant's argument that a personal interview under 37 C.F.R. § 1.138(b) was conducted is not correct.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 4, 6-9 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tomioka (U.S. PG Pub No.: 2005/0069432 A1), hereinafter referred to as Tomioka '432.



Application/Control Number: 13/269,234

Page 3

Art Unit: 3784

Regarding claim 1, Tomioka '432 discloses a cooling system (Fig. 6: [0006-0007]) for a heat-generating component **33** [0031], comprising: a double-sided chassis 111 [0053 - 0054] adapted to mount a pump 60 configured to circulate a cooling liquid [0036], the pump comprising a stator 120 [0039] and an impeller 101a, the impeller being positioned in a recess 76 (as shown in Fig. 7: [0043]) on the underside of the chassis and the stator being positioned on the upper side of the chassis and isolated from the cooling liquid; a reservoir 90 [0044] adapted to pass the cooling liquid therethrough, the reservoir including: a pump chamber 77 [0043] formed by the recess and at least an impeller cover 70 having one or more passages 82, 83 [0043] for the cooling liquid to pass through; (Fig. 5: [0040-0041], wherein a housing recess portion 71 constitutes a thermal exchange chamber), the pump chamber and the thermal exchange chamber being separate chambers that are fluidly coupled together by the one or more passages (as shown in Fig. 6-7); and a heat-exchanging interface 72 ([0040-0041], wherein a bottom wall constitutes a heat-exchanger interface), the heatexchanging interface forming a boundary wall [0040] of the thermal exchange chamber (as shown in Fig. 5), and configured to be placed in thermal contact with a surface 35 of the heat-generating component [0041]; and a heat radiator 40 [0065] and fluidly coupled to the reservoir and configured to dissipate heat from the cooling liquid (Fig. 2-3: [0067-0068]).

Regarding claim 2, Tomioka '432 discloses the cooling system of claim 1, wherein the chassis shields the stator from the cooling liquid in the reservoir (as shown in Fig. 6: [0054-0055], wherein the stator 120 is shielded from the cooling liquid within the 72 by first cover 111).

Regarding claim 3, Tomioka '432 discloses the cooling system of claim 1, wherein the heat-exchanging interface includes a first side ([0040], wherein an inner surface of the bottom wall 72 constitutes a first side) and a second side **72a** [0041] opposite the first side, and wherein the heat-exchanging interface contacts the cooling liquid in the thermal exchange chamber on the first side and the heat-exchanging



Application/Control Number: 13/269,234 Page 4

Art Unit: 3784

interface is configured to be in thermal contact with the surface of the heat-generating component on the second side (as shown in Fig. 5).

Regarding claim 4, Tomioka '432 discloses the cooling system of claim 3, wherein the first side of the heat-exchanging interface includes features that are adapted to increase heat transfer from the heat-exchanging interface to the cooling liquid in the thermal exchange chamber. Applicant should note it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" performing a function is not positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138.

Regarding claim 6, Tomioka '432 discloses the cooling system of claim 1, wherein the impeller is positioned in the pump chamber (as shown in Fig. 7).

Regarding claim 7, Tomioka '432 discloses the cooling system of claim 1, wherein a passage of the one or more passages that fluidly couple the pump chamber and the thermal exchange chamber is offset from a center (as shown in Fig. 7 [0051]) of the impeller.

Regarding claim 8, Tomioka '432 discloses the cooling system of claim 1, wherein the impeller includes a plurality of curved blades **101b** [0052].

Regarding claim 9, Tomioka '432 discloses the cooling system of claim 1, wherein the pump chamber and the thermal exchange chamber are spaced apart in a vertical direction (as shown in Fig. 6 and 7)

Regarding claim 11, Tomioka '432 discloses the cooling system of claim 1, wherein the heat radiator is fluidly coupled to the reservoir using flexible conduits **51**, **52** [0036-0037], and the heat radiator is configured to be positioned remote from the reservoir (as shown in Fig. 2).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

