NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{AMERICAN VEHICULAR SCIENCES LLC,} \\ \textbf{\textit{Appellant}} \end{array}$

v.

UNIFIED PATENTS INC., Appellee

2017-2307

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in No. IPR2016-00364.

JUDGMENT

ROBERT GREENSPOON, Flachsbart & Greenspoon, LLC, Chicago, IL, argued for appellant. Also represented by GREGORY J. GONSALVES, Gonsalves Law Firm, Falls Church, VA.

DAVID LANGDON CAVANAUGH, Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, Washington, DC, argued for appellee. Also represented by GREGORY H. LANTIER, ANURADHA SIVARAM, JONATHAN RUDOLPH KOMINEK STROUD, DANIEL V. WILLIAMS.



(2 of 5)

This Cause having been heard and considered, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

PER CURIAM (PROST, Chief Judge, NEWMAN and REYNA, Circuit Judges).

AFFIRMED. See Fed. Cir. R. 36.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

June 19, 2018 Date /s/ Peter R. Marksteiner Peter R. Marksteiner Clerk of Court



Case: 17-2307 Document: 44-2 Page: 1 Filed: 06/19/2018 (3 of 5)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT WITHOUT OPINION

JUDGMENT ENTERED: 06/19/2018

The judgment of the court in your case was entered today pursuant to Rule 36. This Court affirmed the judgment or decision that was appealed. None of the relief sought in the appeal was granted. No opinion accompanied the judgment. The mandate will be issued in due course.

Information is also provided about petitions for rehearing and suggestions for rehearing en banc. The questions and answers are those frequently asked and answered by the Clerk's Office.

Costs are taxed against the appellant in favor of the appellee under Rule 39. The party entitled to costs is provided a bill of costs form and an instruction sheet with this notice.

The parties are encouraged to stipulate to the costs. A bill of costs will be presumed correct in the absence of a timely filed objection.

Costs are payable to the party awarded costs. If costs are awarded to the government, they should be paid to the Treasurer of the United States. Where costs are awarded against the government, payment should be made to the person(s) designated under the governing statutes, the court's orders, and the parties' written settlement agreements. In cases between private parties, payment should be made to counsel for the party awarded costs or, if the party is not represented by counsel, to the party pro se. Payment of costs should not be sent to the court. Costs should be paid promptly.

If the court also imposed monetary sanctions, they are payable to the opposing party unless the court's opinion provides otherwise. Sanctions should be paid in the same way as costs.

Regarding exhibits and visual aids: Your attention is directed to FRAP 34(g) which states that the clerk may destroy or dispose of the exhibits if counsel does not reclaim them within a reasonable time after the clerk gives notice to remove them. (The clerk deems a reasonable time to be 15 days from the date the final mandate is issued.)

FOR THE COURT

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner Peter R. Marksteiner Clerk of Court

cc: David Langdon Cavanaugh Gregory J. Gonsalves Robert Greenspoon Gregory H. Lantier Anuradha Sivaram Jonathan Rudolph Kominek Stroud Daniel V. Williams

17-2307 - American Vehicular Sciences v. Unified Patents Inc.
United States Patent and Trademark Office, Case No. IPR2016-00364



Case: 17-2307 Document: 44-3 Page: 1 Filed: 06/19/2018 (4 of 5)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

717 MADISON PLACE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20439

PETER R. MARKSTEINER CLERK OF COURT

202-275-8000

Information Sheet

Petitions for Rehearing and Petitions for Hearing and Rehearing En Banc

1. When is a petition for rehearing appropriate?

The Federal Circuit grants few petitions for rehearing each year. These petitions for rehearing are rarely successful because they typically fail to articulate sufficient grounds upon which to grant them. Of note, petitions for rehearing should not be used to reargue issues previously presented that were not accepted by the merits panel during initial consideration of the appeal. This is especially so when the court has entered a judgment of affirmance without opinion under Fed. Cir. R. 36. Such dispositions are entered if the court determines the judgment of the trial court is based on findings that are not clearly erroneous, the evidence supporting the jury verdict is sufficient, the record supports the trial court's ruling, the decision of the administrative agency warrants affirmance under the appropriate standard of review, or the judgment or decision is without an error of law.

2. When is a petition for hearing/rehearing en banc appropriate?

En banc consideration is rare. Each three-judge merits panel is charged with deciding individual appeals under existing Federal Circuit law as established in precedential opinions. Because each merits panel may enter precedential opinions, a party seeking en banc consideration must typically show that either the merits panel has (1) failed to follow existing decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court or Federal Circuit precedent or (2) followed Federal Circuit precedent that the petitioning party now seeks to have overruled by the court en banc. Federal Circuit Internal Operating Procedure #13 identifies several reasons when the Federal Circuit may opt to hear a matter en banc.

3. Is it necessary to file either of these petitions before filing a petition for a writ certiorari in the U.S. Supreme Court?

No. A petition for a writ of certiorari may be filed once the court has issued a final judgment in a case.

For additional information and filing requirements, please refer to Fed. Cir. R. 40 (Petitions for Rehearing) and Fed. Cir. R. 35 (Petitions for Hearing or Rehearing En Banc).



Case: 17-2307 Document: 44-4 Page: 1 Filed: 06/19/2018 (5 of 5)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

717 MADISON PLACE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20439

PETER R. MARKSTEINER CLERK OF COURT 202-275-8000

Information Sheet

Filing a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari

There is no automatic right of appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States from judgments of the Federal Circuit. Instead, a party must file a petition for a writ of certiorari which the Supreme Court will grant only when there are compelling reasons. See Supreme Court Rule 10.

<u>Time.</u> The petition must be filed in the Supreme Court of the United States within 90 days of the entry of judgment in this Court or within 90 days of the denial of a timely petition for rehearing. The judgment is entered on the day the Federal Circuit issues a final decision in your case. <u>The time does not run from the issuance of the mandate</u>. See Supreme Court Rule 13.

<u>Fees.</u> Either the \$300 docketing fee or a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis with an affidavit in support thereof must accompany the petition. See Supreme Court Rules 38 and 39.

<u>Authorized Filer.</u> The petition must be filed by a member of the bar of the Supreme Court of the United States or by the petitioner as a self-represented individual.

<u>Format of a Petition.</u> The Supreme Court Rules are very specific about the content and formatting of petitions. *See* Supreme Court Rules 14, 33, 34. Additional information is available at https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx.

<u>Number of Copies</u>. Forty copies of a petition must be filed unless the petitioner is proceeding in forma pauperis, in which case an original and ten copies of both the petition for writ of certiorari and the motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis must be filed. See Supreme Court Rule 12.

Filing. Petitions are filed in paper at Clerk, Supreme Court of the United States, 1 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20543.

Effective November 13, 2017, electronic filing is also required for filings submitted by parties represented by counsel. See Supreme Court Rule 29.7. Additional information about electronic filing at the Supreme Court is available at https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/electronicfiling.aspx.

No documents are filed at the Federal Circuit and the Federal Circuit provides no information to the Supreme Court unless the Supreme Court asks for the information.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

