

Appeal No. 2017-2307

**In the
United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

AMERICAN VEHICULAR SCIENCES LLC,

Appellant,

v.

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.,

Appellee.

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark Office,
Patent Trial and Appeal Board in No. IPR2016-00364.

**PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC
OF APPELLANT AMERICAN VEHICULAR SCIENCES LLC**

ROBERT P. GREENSPOON
FLACHSBART & GREENSPOON, LLC
333 North Michigan Avenue
27th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 551-9500

GREGORY J. GONSALVES
THE GONSALVES LAW FIRM
2216 Beacon Lane
Falls Church, Virginia 22043
(571) 419-7252

*Attorneys for Appellant
American Vehicular Sciences LLC*



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

American Vehicular Sciences, LLC v. Unified Patents, Inc.

Case No. 17-2307

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for the:

(petitioner) (appellant) (respondent) (appellee) (amicus) (name of party)

American Vehicular Sciences, LLC

certifies the following (use "None" if applicable; use extra sheets if necessary):

1. Full Name of Party Represented by me	2. Name of Real Party in interest (Please only include any real party in interest NOT identified in Question 3) represented by me is:	3. Parent corporations and publicly held companies that own 10% or more of stock in the party
American Vehicular Sciences, LLC	None	Acacia Research Group, LLC
		Acacia Research Corporation

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court (and who have not or will not enter an appearance in this case) are:

McNeely, Hare & War LLP: Christopher Casieri

FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9
Rev. 10/17

5. The title and number of any case known to counsel to be pending in this or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal. See Fed. Cir. R. 47. 4(a)(5) and 47.5(b). (The parties should attach continuation pages as necessary).

- American Vehicular Sciences LLC v. Hyundai Motor Co., Case No. 5:16-cv-11529-JEL-APP (E.D. Mich., filed Apr. 28, 2016);
- American Vehicular Sciences LLC v. Nissan Motor Co., Case No. 5:16-cv-11530-JEL-APP (E.D. Mich., filed Apr. 28, 2016);
- American Vehicular Sciences LLC v. Toyota Motor Corp., Case No. 5:16-cv-11531-JEL-APP (E.D. Mich., filed Apr. 28, 2016);
- American Vehicular Sciences LLC v. American Honda Motor Co., Case No. 5:16-cv-11532-JEL-APP (E.D. Mich., filed Apr. 28, 2016);
- Prosecution of Application Serial No. 14/721,136 filed on May 26, 2015 which is currently pending, and which claims priority to the patent currently at issue;
- Toyoda Gosei Co., Ltd. v. American Vehicular Sciences LLC, No. IPR2016-01790;
- Takata Corporation v. American Vehicular Sciences LLC, No. IPR2016-01794.
- American Vehicular Sciences LLC v. Autoliv ASP, Inc., Case No. 18-1977 (Fed. Cir.)
- American Vehicular Sciences LLC v. Autoliv ASP, Inc., Case No. 18-1978 (Fed. Cir.)

7/19/2018

Date

s/Robert P. Greenspoon

Signature of counsel

Robert P. Greenspoon

Printed name of counsel

Please Note: All questions must be answered

cc: Counsel of Record (via ECF)

Reset Fields

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
I. STATEMENT OF COUNSEL	1
II. ARGUMENT FOR REHEARING <i>EN BANC</i>	2
III. THE PRESENT DECISION RESULTS FROM THIS SPLIT	6
IV. <i>HEAR-WEAR</i> AND <i>ORMCO</i> EXEMPLIFY THE COMPETING AND IRRECONCILABLE APPROACHES TO OBVIOUSNESS WITHIN THIS COURT	9
V. FOLLOWING AN APPROACH TO OBVIOUSNESS THAT OBVIATES THE NEED FOR CONTEMPORANEOUS DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE PUTS THIS COURT INTO CONFLICT WITH <i>GRAHAM</i>	10
VI. THE NO-OPINION AFFIRMANCE DOES NOT DETRACT FROM THE NEED FOR <i>EN BANC</i> REVIEW	13
VII. CONCLUSION.....	16

...

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	2, 3
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	1, 11, 12, 13
<i>Hotchkiss v. Greenwood</i> , 11 How. 248 (1851)	11, 12
<i>In re Bayne</i> , 527 F. App'x 847 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	3
<i>In re Kotzab</i> , 217 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	1, 2
<i>In re Laskowsky</i> , 871 F.2d 115 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	3, 4
<i>In re Stepan</i> , 868 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	6, 13
<i>In re Urbanski</i> , 809 F.3d 1237 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	3, 4
<i>K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC</i> , 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Lighting Ballast Control v. Philips Electronics</i> , 744 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	15
<i>Oil States Energy Svcs. v. Greene's Energy Group</i> , 137 S. Ct. 2239 (2018).....	14
<i>Ormco Corp. v. Align Tech., Inc.</i> , 463 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	3, 4, 9, 10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.