2016-2523, -2524

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT, INC.,

Appellant

v.

APPLE INC.,

Appellee

Appeals from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board Nos. IPR2015-00369 and IPR2015-00373

APPELLEE APPLE INC.'S CORRECTED COMBINED PETITION FOR PANEL REHEARING AND REHEARING EN BANC

David K.S. Cornwell
Jon E. Wright
Kristina Caggiano Kelly
Jason A. Fitzsimmons
William H. Milliken
Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox PLLC
1100 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20005
202.371.2600

Counsel for Appellee, Apple Inc.

Dated: May 7, 2018



FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 Rev. 10/17

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT					
DSS Technology Management, Inc. v. Apple Inc.					
Case No. 16-2523, -2524					
AMEDNED					
CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST					
Counsel for the:					
\square (petitioner) \square (appellant) \square (respondent) \blacksquare (appellee) \square (amicus) \square (name of party)					
Λ 1 1					
Apple Inc.					
certifies the following (use "None" if applicable; use extra sheets if necessary):					
	2. Name of Real Party in interest	3. Parent corporations and			
 Full Name of Party Represented by me 	(Please only include any real party in interest NOT identified in	publicly held companies that own 10% or more of			
nepresented by me	Question 3) represented by me is:	stock in the party			
Apple Inc.	Apple Inc.	None.			
4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court (and who have not or will not enter an appearance in this case) are:					
Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C.: Robert Greene Sterne, Mark W. Rygiel					



FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 Rev. 10/17

5. The title and number of any case known to counsel to be pending in this or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal. See Fed. Cir. R. 47. 4(a)(5) and 47.5(b). (The parties should attach continuation pages as necessary).

The patent at issue in this appeal is also involved in the matter of DSS Technology Management, Inc. v. Apple, Inc., C.A. No. 4:14-cv-05330 (N.D. Cal.).

4/11/2018

Date

Please Note: All questions must be answered

/s/ Jon E. Wright

Signature of counsel

Jon E. Wright

Printed name of counsel

cc: Counsel of Record

Researation



TABLE OF CONTENTS

STAT	EME	NT OF COUNSEL	1
INTR	ODU(CTION	2
ARG	UMEN	VT	2
I.	The Panel Majority Contravened the Administrative Procedure Act by Refusing to Consider the Whole Record in Determining Whether the Board's Obviousness Conclusion Was Supported by Substantial Evidence		2
	A.	The APA mandates whole record review by the reviewing court; the <i>Chenery</i> doctrine does not limit that review to evidence cited by the agency.	3
	B.	The panel majority harmfully erred in refusing to consider record evidence that supported the Board's determination	5
II.	Concl Court	If the Board Failed to Sufficiently Explain Its Obviousness lusion, The Panel Majority Violated Longstanding Supreme Precedent by Reversing Instead of Vacating and Remanding Board for Further Proceedings.	9
	A.	The ordinary remand rule requires a court reviewing an insufficiently reasoned agency decision to vacate and remand for further agency proceedings absent "extraordinary circumstances."	.10
	B.	Because there are no "extraordinary circumstances" here, the panel majority's decision to reverse, instead of vacating and remanding, violated the ordinary remand rule.	.14
CON	CLUSI	ON AND RELIEF SOUGHT	16



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:

A.L. Pharma, Inc. v. Shalala, 62 F.3d 1484 (D.C. Cir. 1995)	13
Am. Fed'n of Gov't Emps., AFL-CIO v. Fed. Labor Relations Auth., 778 F.2d 850 (D.C. Cir. 1985)	13-14
Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc., 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	1
Bailey v. Dart Container Corp. of Mich., 292 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	
Bd. of Trs. of Leland Stanford Junior Univ. v. Chinese Univ. of Hong K 860 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	Cong,4
Castañeda-Castillo v. Gonzales, 488 F.3d 17 (1st Cir. 2006)	12
Coronado v. Holder, 759 F.3d 977 (9th Cir. 2014)	12
Droplets Inc. v. E*Trade Bank, et al., F.3d, 2018 WL 1866903 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	4
Florida Power & Light Co. v. Lorion, 470 U.S. 729 (1985)	1, 9, 11
Fogo De Chao (Holdings) Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Sec., 769 F.3d 1127 (D.C. Cir. 2014)	
Gonzales v. Thomas, 547 U.S. 183 (2006)	1, 11
In re Applied Materials, Inc., 692 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	5



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

