

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
CLARKSBURG DIVISION**

REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CELLTRION, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:23-cv-00089-TSK

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG BIOEPIS CO., LTD.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:23-cv-00094-TSK

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

FORMYCON AG,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:23-cv-00097-TSK

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS  
MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE SERVICE**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page(s)</b>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	ii
NATURE AND STATE OF PROCEEDINGS.....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	4
STATEMENT OF FACTS .....	4
ARGUMENT .....	10
I.    Legal Standard .....	10
II.   Regeneron Should Be Permitted to Serve Defendants by Email to their U.S. Counsel ....	11
A.   Service on U.S. Counsel Is Reasonably Calculated to Apprise Each Defendant of This Action and Satisfies Due Process .....	11
B.   Service by Email Is Not Prohibited by International Law .....	12
C.   Requiring Service Only Via Hague Convention Procedures May Delay This Litigation, Prejudicing Regeneron.....	13
CONCLUSION.....	15

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Acorda Therapeutics, Inc. v. Mylan Pharms., Inc.</i> , 817 F.3d 755 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	1
<i>Affinity Labs of Tex., LLC v. Nissan N. Am. Inc.</i> , 2014 WL 11342502 (W.D. Tex. July 2, 2014).....	13
<i>Affinity Tool Works, LLC v. Hangzhou Great Star Indus. Co.</i> , 603 F. Supp. 3d 274 (W.D.N.C. 2022).....	12
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Apotex Inc.</i> , 827 F.3d 1052 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	15
<i>Anchor Wall Sys., Inc. v. Rockwood Retaining Walls, Inc.</i> , 340 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	10
<i>Celgard, LLC. v. Shenzhen Senior Tech. Material Co.</i> , 2020 WL 2575561 (W.D.N.C. May 21, 2020).....	11, 12
<i>Divx, LLC v. LG Elecs. Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 411708 (D. Del. Feb. 5, 2021).....	12
<i>Enovative Techs., LLC v. Leor</i> , 622 F. App'x 212 (4th Cir. 2015).....	10
<i>Exch. Comm'n v. Richman</i> , 2021 WL 9816612 (N.D. Cal. July 19, 2021).....	13
<i>Facebook, Inc. v. Banana Ads, LLC</i> , 2012 WL 1038752 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 27, 2012).....	13
<i>Hanna v. Plumer</i> , 380 U.S. 460 (1965).....	11
<i>In GLG Life Tech Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> , 287 F.R.D. 262 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).....	14
<i>In re One Apus Container Ship Incident on Nov. 30</i> , 2022, 2022 WL 17370122 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 2, 2022).....	13
<i>In re OnePlus Tech. (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.</i> , 2021 WL 4130643 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 10, 2021).....	10

*In Re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litig.*,  
2010 WL 1337743 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 2, 2010) ..... 12

*In re TK Holdings, Inc.*,  
2021 WL 954827 (Bankr. D. Del. Mar. 8, 2021)..... 12

*Kaneka Corp. v. SKC Kolon PI, Inc.*,  
2013 WL 11237203 (C.D. Cal. May 6, 2013) ..... 14

*Knit With v. Knitting Fever, Inc.*,  
2010 WL 4977944 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 7, 2010)..... 14

*Lexmark Int’l, Inc. v. Ink Techs. Printer Supplies, LLC*,  
295 F.R.D. 259 (S.D. Ohio 2013)..... 13, 14

*Moore v. K-Mart Corp.*,  
1994 WL 824518, at n.2 (W.D. Va. Dec. 15, 1994)..... 11

*Nexon Korea Corp. v. Ironmace Co.*,  
2023 WL 3599548 (W.D. Wash. May 23, 2023)..... 13

*Rio Properties, Inc. v. Rio International Interlink*,  
284 F.3d 1007 (9th Cir. 2002) ..... 10, 11

*Vanderhoef v. China Auto Logistics Inc.*,  
2019 WL 6337908 (D.N.J. Nov. 26, 2019) ..... 13

*Williams v. Advert. Sex LLC*,  
231 F.R.D. 483 (N.D.W. Va. 2005)..... 10

*Xilinx, Inc. v. Godo Kaisha IP Bridge I*,  
246 F. Supp. 3d 1260 (N.D. Cal. 2017) ..... 10

**STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 271(e) ..... 5, 6, 7

42 U.S.C. § 262(k)-(l)..... 1

42 U.S.C. § 262(l)..... 5

42 U.S.C. § 262(l)(8)(C)..... 1

**RULES**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4..... 11

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)..... 11

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(1)..... 11

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e) ..... 11

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)..... 10, 12

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(2) ..... 9

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(3) ..... 3, 10, 12, 13, 15

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(h)(1)..... 9

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(h)(2)..... 3, 10, 15

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(k) ..... 11

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.