

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

IN RE: AFLIBERCEPT PATENT LITIGATION

MDL No.: 1:24-md-3103-TSK

**THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO  
CASE NO. 1:23-cv-00089-TSK**

---

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL**

Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Regeneron”) seeks to file its Preliminary Injunction Bond (“Bond”). Because the Bond reflects projected sales data that Defendant considers confidential, Regeneron seeks permission to file the Bond under seal. In support of this request, and in compliance with the requirements of Local Rule of Civil Procedure 26.05(b)(2), Regeneron states as follows.

**A. Sealing the Bond is necessary.**

Patent infringement litigation, by its nature, involves trade secrets and other confidential information. The Bond contains commercially sensitive business information that Defendant considers confidential. Sealing this information is necessary because broadcasting a party’s commercially sensitive business information to the public creates an obvious risk of harm to that party’s competitive standing.

**B. The seal should remain in place indefinitely.**

Because the basis for sealing is that the Bond contains information that Defendant considers confidential, and that Defendant believes could harm its business if made public, the seal should remain in place indefinitely or until the parties agree otherwise.

**C. Sealing the Bond is proper.**

There are two sources of the public’s right of access to court documents: the First Amendment and the common law. *Virginia Dep’t of State Police v. Washington Post*, 386 F.3d 567, 575 (4th Cir. 2004). The common law “does not afford as much substantive protection to the interests of the press and the public as does the First Amendment.” *Id.* Although the common law presumes access to all “judicial records and documents,” a party can rebut the presumption by showing some significant interest that outweighs the presumption. *Id.* Unlike the common law, the First Amendment right of access extends only to particular documents. *Id.* Although the First Amendment right of access most often applies in criminal proceedings, the Fourth Circuit has held that it also applies to documents filed in connection with a summary judgment motion or at trial in a civil case. *Rushford v. New Yorker Mag., Inc.*, 846 F.2d 249, 253 (4th Cir. 1988). Where the First Amendment applies, the denial of access must be necessitated by a compelling government interest and narrowly tailored to serve that interest. *Id.* But courts may substitute “higher value” for “government interest” in cases involving records filed by nongovernmental civil litigants, and trade secrets may constitute higher values that can overcome the First Amendment right of access. *Hosaflook v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC*, 2020 WL 13179423, at \*1 (N.D.W. Va. Jan. 9, 2020); *Morris v. Cumberland Cnty. Hosp. Sys., Inc.*, No. 5:12-CV-629-F, 2013 WL 6116861, at \*2 (E.D.N.C. Nov. 13, 2013).

Even where the First Amendment right of access applies, courts in the Fourth Circuit have held that it is proper to seal a private company’s confidential and proprietary business information because the information is not generally available to the public, does not bear on public matters, and could harm the company if published. *See Accreditation Comm’n for Health Care, Inc. v. NextLOGiK, Inc.*, No. 5:20-CV-46-M, 2020 WL 2543787, at \*2 (E.D.N.C. May 19,

2020); *Jones v. Lowe's Companies, Inc.*, 402 F. Supp. 3d 266, 291 (W.D.N.C. 2019), *aff'd*, 845 F. App'x 205 (4th Cir. 2021); *Silicon Knights, Inc. v. Epic Games, Inc.*, No. 5:07-CV-275-D, 2011 WL 901958, at \*1–2 (E.D.N.C. Mar. 15, 2011). Here, the Bond contains nonpublic information that Defendant considers confidential and proprietary and believes could harm its business if made public. Accordingly, the Court may properly seal the Bond.

\*\*\*

Based on the foregoing, Regeneron respectfully requests leave to file the Bond under seal.

Date: July 26, 2024

CAREY DOUGLAS KESSLER & RUBY, PLLC

*Of Counsel:*

/s/ Steven R. Ruby

David I. Berl (admitted *PHV*)  
Ellen E. Oberwetter (admitted *PHV*)  
Thomas S. Fletcher (admitted *PHV*)  
Andrew V. Trask (admitted *PHV*)  
Teagan J. Gregory (admitted *PHV*)  
Shaun P. Mahaffy (admitted *PHV*)  
Kathryn S. Kayali (admitted *PHV*)  
Arthur J. Argall III (admitted *PHV*)  
Adam Pan (admitted *PHV*)  
Haylee N. Bernal Anderson (admitted *PHV*)  
Renee M. Griffin (admitted *PHV*)  
Jennalee Beazley\* (admitted *PHV*)  
Rhochelle Krawetz  
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP  
680 Maine Avenue, SW  
Washington, DC 20024  
(202) 434-5000  
dberl@wc.com  
eoberwetter@wc.com  
tfletcher@wc.com  
atrask@wc.com  
tgregory@wc.com  
smahaffy@wc.com  
sdouglass@wc.com  
kkayali@wc.com

Steven R. Ruby (WVSB No. 10752)  
David R. Pogue (WVSB No. 10806)  
Raymond S. Franks II (WVSB No. 6523)  
707 Virginia Street East  
901 Chase Tower (25301)  
P.O. Box 913  
Charleston, West Virginia 25323  
(304) 345-1234  
sruby@cdkrlaw.com  
drpogue@cdkrlaw.com  
rfranks@cdkrlaw.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Regeneron  
Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*

aargall@wc.com  
apan@wc.com  
njordan@wc.com  
handerson@wc.com  
rgriffin@wc.com  
jbeazley@wc.com  
rkrawetz@wc.com

\*Admitted only in Pennsylvania; practice supervised by D.C. Bar members

Elizabeth Stotland Weiswasser (admitted *PHV*)  
Anish R. Desai (admitted *PHV*)  
Natalie C. Kennedy (admitted *PHV*)  
Jennifer Brooks Crozier (admitted *PHV*)  
Tom Yu (admitted *PHV*)  
Yi Zhang (admitted *PHV*)  
Kathryn Leicht (admitted *PHV*)  
Rocco Recce (admitted *PHV*)  
Zhen Lin (admitted *PHV*)  
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10153  
Elizabeth.Weiswasser@weil.com  
Anish.Desai@weil.com  
Natalie.Kennedy@weil.com  
Jennifer.Crozier@weil.com  
Tom.Yu@weil.com  
Yi.Zhang@weil.com  
Kathryn.Leicht@weil.com  
Rocco.Recce@weil.com  
Zhen.Lin@weil.com

Christopher M. Pepe (admitted *PHV*)  
Priyata P. Patel (admitted *PHV*)  
Matthew Sieger (admitted *PHV*)  
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES  
2001 M Street, NW  
Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20036  
Christopher.Pepe@weil.com  
Priyata.Patel@weil.com  
Matthew.Seiger@weil.com

Andrew E. Goldsmith (admitted *PHV*)  
Jacob E. Hartman (admitted *PHV*)

Mary Charlotte Y. Carroll (admitted *PHV*)

Sven E. Henningson (admitted *PHV*)

Alyssa J. Picard (admitted *PHV*)

KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL &  
FREDERICK, P.L.L.C.

1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20036

TEL: (202) 326-7900

agoldsmith@kellogghansen.com

jhartman@kellogghansen.com

mcarroll@kellogghansen.com

shenningson@kellogghansen.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Regeneron  
Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.