IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

IN RE: AFLIBERCEPT PATENT LITIGATION

MDL No.: 1:24-md-3103-TSK

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO CASE NO. 1:23-cv-00089-TSK

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL

Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Regeneron") seeks to file the parties' Joint Stipulation of Partial Dismissal ("Stipulation"). Because the Stipulation contains information that Defendant considers confidential, Regeneron seeks permission to file the Stipulation under seal. In support of this request, and in compliance with the requirements of Local Rule of Civil Procedure 26.05(b)(2), Regeneron states as follows.

A. Sealing the Stipulation is necessary.

Patent infringement litigation, by its nature, involves trade secrets and other confidential information. The Stipulation contains commercially sensitive business information that the Defendant has asserted is confidential. Sealing this information is necessary because broadcasting a party's proprietary, commercially sensitive business information to the public creates an obvious risk of harm to that party's competitive standing.

B. The seal should remain in place indefinitely.

Because the basis for sealing is that the Stipulation contains information that Defendant considers confidential and proprietary business information, and that Defendant believes could harm its business if made public, the seal should remain in place indefinitely or until the parties agree otherwise.

C. Sealing the Stipulation is proper.

There are two sources of the public's right of access to court documents: the First Amendment and the common law. Virginia Dep't of State Police v. Washington Post, 386 F.3d 567, 575 (4th Cir. 2004). The common law "does not afford as much substantive protection to the interests of the press and the public as does the First Amendment." Id. Although the common law presumes access to all "judicial records and documents," a party can rebut the presumption by showing some significant interest that outweight the presumption. Id. Unlike the common law, the First Amendment right of access extends only to particular documents. Id. Although the First Amendment right of access most often applies in criminal proceedings, the Fourth Circuit has held that it also applies to documents filed in connection with a summary judgment motion or at trial in a civil case. Rushford v. New Yorker Mag., Inc., 846 F.2d 249, 253 (4th Cir. 1988). Where the First Amendment applies, the denial of access must be necessitated by a compelling government interest and narrowly tailored to serve that interest. Id. But Courts may substitute "higher value" for "government interest" in cases involving records filed by nongovernmental civil litigants, and trade secrets may constitute higher values that can overcome the First Amendment right of access. Hosaflook v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, 2020 WL 13179423, at *1 (N.D.W. Va. Jan. 9, 2020); Morris v. Cumberland Cnty. Hosp. Sys., Inc., No. 5:12-CV-629-F, 2013 WL 6116861, at *2 (E.D.N.C. Nov. 13, 2013).

Even where the First Amendment right of access applies, courts in the Fourth Circuit have held that it is proper to seal a private company's confidential and proprietary business information because the information is not generally available to the public, does not bear on public matters, and could harm the company if published. *See Accreditation Comm'n for Health Care, Inc. v. NextLOGiK, Inc.*, No. 5:20-CV-46-M, 2020 WL 2543787, at *2 (E.D.N.C. May 19,

Case 1:23-cv-00089-TSK-JPM Document 193-1 Filed 07/05/24 Page 3 of 6 PageID #: 25341

2020); *Jones v. Lowe's Companies, Inc.*, 402 F. Supp. 3d 266, 291 (W.D.N.C. 2019), aff'd, 845 F. App'x 205 (4th Cir. 2021); *Silicon Knights, Inc. v. Epic Games, Inc.*, No. 5:07-CV-275-D, 2011 WL 901958, at *1–2 (E.D.N.C. Mar. 15, 2011). Here, the Stipulation contains nonpublic information that Defendant considers confidential and proprietary and believes could harm its business if made public. Accordingly, the Court may properly seal the Stipulation.

Based on the foregoing, Regeneron respectfully requests leave to file the Stipulation

under seal.

Date: July 5, 2024

Of Counsel:

David I. Berl (admitted *PHV*) Ellen E. Oberwetter (admitted PHV) Thomas S. Fletcher (admitted PHV) Andrew V. Trask (admitted *PHV*) Teagan J. Gregory (admitted PHV) Shaun P. Mahaffy (admitted PHV) Kathryn S. Kayali (admitted PHV) Arthur J. Argall III (admitted PHV) Adam Pan (admitted PHV) Haylee N. Bernal Anderson (admitted PHV) Renee M. Griffin (admitted *PHV*) Jennalee Beazley* (admitted PHV) Rhochelle Krawetz WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP 680 Maine Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20024 (202) 434-5000 dberl@wc.com eoberwetter@wc.com tfletcher@wc.com atrask@wc.com tgregory@wc.com smahaffy@wc.com sdouglass@wc.com kkayali@wc.com

CAREY DOUGLAS KESSLER & RUBY, PLLC

/s/ David R. Pogue

Steven R. Ruby (WVSB No. 10752) David R. Pogue (WVSB No. 10806) Raymond S. Franks II (WVSB No. 6523) 707 Virginia Street East 901 Chase Tower (25301) P.O. Box 913 Charleston, West Virginia 25323 (304) 345-1234 sruby@cdkrlaw.com drpogue@cdkrlaw.com rfranks@cdkrlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

aargall@wc.com apan@wc.com njordan@wc.com handerson@wc.com rgriffin@wc.com jbeazley@wc.com rkrawetz@wc.com

*Admitted only in Pennsylvania; practice supervised by D.C. Bar members

Elizabeth Stotland Weiswasser (admitted PHV) Anish R. Desai (admitted *PHV*) Natalie C. Kennedy (admitted PHV) Jennifer Brooks Crozier (admitted PHV) Tom Yu (admitted PHV) Yi Zhang (admitted PHV) Kathryn Leicht (admitted PHV) Rocco Recce (admitted PHV) Zhen Lin (admitted PHV) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 Elizabeth.Weiswasser@weil.com Anish.Desai@weil.com Natalie.Kennedy@weil.com Jennifer.Crozier@weil.com Tom.Yu@weil.com Yi.Zhang@weil.com Kathryn.Leicht@weil.com Rocco.Recce@weil.com Zhen.Lin@weil.com

Christopher M. Pepe (admitted *PHV*) Priyata P. Patel (admitted *PHV*) Matthew Sieger (admitted *PHV*) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES 2001 M Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036 Christopher.Pepe@weil.com Priyata.Patel@weil.com Matthew.Seiger@weil.com

Andrew E. Goldsmith (admitted *PHV*) Jacob E. Hartman (admitted *PHV*)

DOCKE

Mary Charlotte Y. Carroll (admitted *PHV*) Sven E. Henningson (admitted *PHV*) Alyssa J. Picard (admitted PHV) KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL & FREDERICK, P.L.L.C. 1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036 TEL: (202) 326-7900 agoldsmith@kellogghansen.com jhartman@kellogghansen.com shenningson@kellogghansen.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.