

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
CLARKSBURG DIVISION**

REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:22-cv-00061-TSK

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**OUTSIDE COUNSELS' EYES ONLY**

**REGENERON'S OPPOSITION TO MYLAN'S  
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
A.	Subsequent Procedural Developments.....	1
B.	Much of Mylan’s Motion is Moot .....	2
C.	Mylan Has Not Moved for Summary Judgment on Various Asserted Claims. ....	4
II.	MYLAN IS NOT ENTITLED TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF CLAIM 18 OF THE ’865 PATENT .....	5
III.	THE METHOD OF TREATMENT PATENTS.....	10
A.	Mylan’s Motion Does Not Address All Asserted Claims of the Method of Treatment Patents.....	11
B.	Mylan’s “Direct Infringement” Ground Targets a Theory that Regeneron Is Not Advancing. (Responsive to Section V of Mylan’s Brief) .....	12
C.	Mylan Is Not Entitled to Summary Judgment of No Inducement with Respect to Claims 1-14, 16-23, and 26-28 of the ’572 Patent. (Responsive to Section VI of Mylan’s Brief).....	12
1.	Overview of Claims Implicated by Mylan’s “Visual Acuity” Inducement Arguments. (’572 Claims 6, 7, 12, 13, 18, 19, 22, and 23).....	13
2.	The Court’s Claim Construction Order Forecloses Mylan’s Argument Regarding Inducement. (Responsive to Section VI of Mylan’s Brief).....	14
3.	Mylan’s Remaining Arguments Are Meritless. ....	15
a.	Mylan’s Divided Infringement Argument Is Meritless. (Responsive to Sections VI.A and VI.B of Mylan’s Brief) .....	15
b.	Mylan’s “Lack of Inducement” Argument Is Meritless. (Responsive to Section VI.C of Mylan’s Brief).....	17
1)	Mylan Applies the Wrong Inducement Standard.....	18
2)	Mylan Induces Visual Acuity Measurements .....	18
3)	Mylan Induces Visual Acuity Measurements of 7, 8, and 9 Letter Gains as Recited in Claims 6, 7, 12, 13, 18, 19, 22, and 23 of the ’572 Patent. ....	20
4.	Claims 6, 7, 12, 13, 18, 19, 22, and 23 of the ’572 Patent Are Not Invalid. (Responsive to Section VI.D of Mylan’s Brief).....	22
D.	Mylan’s Request for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Is Flawed as to Claims 6, 7, 12, and 13 of the ’572 Patent and Moot as to the Other Challenged Claims. ....	24

IV. CONCLUSION.....25

..

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.</i> , 797 F.3d 1020 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	17
<i>Am. Med. Sys., Inc. v. Biolitec, Inc.</i> , 618 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	15
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Apotex Inc.</i> , 712 F. App'x 985 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	9
<i>AstraZeneca LP v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 633 F.3d 1042 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	23
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Ben Venue Labs.</i> , 246 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	14
<i>Conoco, Inc. v. Energy &amp; Environmental Int'l</i> , 460 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	7, 8
<i>DSW, Inc. v. Shoe Pavilion, Inc.</i> , 537 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	4
<i>First Quality Tissue, LLC v. Irving Consumer Prod. Ltd.</i> , 2020 WL 3542321 (D. Del. June 30, 2020).....	14
<i>Glaxo Grp. Ltd. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 376 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	7
<i>GlaxoSmithKline LLC v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 7 F.4th 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	11, 21
<i>Laitram Corp. v. Cambridge Wire Cloth Co.</i> , 863 F. 2d 855 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	15
<i>Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 363 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	8
<i>Otsuka Pharm. Co. v. Lupin Ltd.</i> , 2022 WL 2952759 (D. Del. July 26, 2022) .....	7
<i>Sandt Tech., Ltd. v. Resco Metal &amp; Plastics Corp.</i> , 264 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	5, 13, 24
<i>Simpson Performance Products, Inc. v. Zamp Inc.</i> , 2019 WL 1052031 (W.D.N.C. 2019) .....	15

...



*Summit 6, LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*,  
802 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....14

*Sunovion Pharm. Inc. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.*,  
2013 WL 211289 (D.N.J. Jan. 17, 2013).....9

*Sunovion Pharm., Inc. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.*,  
731 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....9

*Symantec Corp. v. Computer Associates Intern., Inc.*,  
522 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....15

*Trintec Indus., Inc. v. Top-U.S.A. Corp.*,  
295 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....23

*TypeRight Keyboard Corp. v. Microsoft Corp.*,  
374 F.3d 1151 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....3, 4, 13, 25

*Union Carbide v. Shell Oil Co.*,  
308 F.3d 1167 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....11, 24, 25

*United States v. Smalls*,  
720 F.3d 193 (4th Cir. 2013) .....13

*WL Gore & Associates, Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.*,  
842 F. 2d 1275 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....10, 14

*Zurich Am. Ins. Co. v. Dove Enter., Inc.*,  
2020 WL 4926171 (N.D.W. Va. June 12, 2020) .....13

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

35 U.S.C. § 271.....12

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.