The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT SEATTLE 10 UNILOC 2017 LLC. 11 Plaintiff, Case No. 2:18-CV-01732-RSM 12 HTC AMERICA, INC.'S ANSWER AND v. NTERCLAIMS TO PLAINTIFFS' 13 HTC AMERICA, INC., COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 14 Defendant. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 15 16 17 Defendant HTC America, Inc. ("HTCA" or "Defendant"), hereby answers Plaintiff Uniloc 18 2017 LLC's ("Uniloc") Complaint filed on November 30, 2018 (the "Complaint"). 19 THE PARTIES 20 1. HTCA is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 21 of the allegations of paragraph 1 and therefore denies them. 22 2. HTCA admits that it is a Washington corporation with an office in Seattle, 23 Washington. HTCA admits that it may be served with process through its registered agent: Cogency 24 Global Inc., 1780 Barnes Blvd. SW, Tumwater, Washington 98512. HTCA denies any remaining 25 allegations of paragraph 2. 26 27 Yarmuth 28



3.

remaining allegations of paragraph 3.

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

S ANSWER TO PI 'S COMPI FOR PATENT

Yarmuth

to customers in the United States. HTCA denies committing any acts of infringement and denies the

JURISDICTION

HTCA admits that it imports, offers for sale, and sells consumer electronic products

4. HTCA admits that the Complaint purports to state a claim for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, but denies committing any acts of infringement. HTCA admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) provided that the requirements of standing are satisfied.

COUNT I

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,836,654)

- 5. HTCA restates and incorporates by reference its answer to paragraphs 1-4 of the Complaint.
- 6. HTCA admits that U.S. Patent No. 6,836,654 ("the '654 patent") is titled "ANTI-THEFT PROTECTION FOR A RADIOTELEPHONY DEVICE" and that the face of the '654 patent states that it issued on December 28, 2004. HTCA admits that a copy of the '654 patent appears to be attached as Exhibit A to the Complaint. HTCA is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 6 and therefore denies them.
- 7. HTCA is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 7 and therefore denies them.
- 8. HTCA is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 8 and therefore denies them.
- 9. HTCA is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 9 and therefore denies them.
- 10. HTCA admits that it imports, offers for sale, and sells in the United States certain electronic devices, including one or more of the devices identified in Exhibit B to the Complaint. HTCA denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 10.

| 1 | 11. | Denied. |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | 12. | Denied. |
| 3 | 13. | Denied. |
| 4 | 14. | Denied. |
| 5 | 15. | Denied. |
| 6 | 16. | Denied. |
| 7 | 17. | HTCA admits having notice of the '654 patent since at least the service of th |
| 8 | Complaint. | HTCA denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 17. |
| 9 | 18. | Denied. |
| 10 | 19. | Denied. |
| 11 | 20. | Denied. |
| 12 | | PRAYER FOR RELIEF |
| 13 | This | paragraph responds to the statement of relief requested by Uniloc to which no respons |
| 14 | is required. | HTCA denies that Uniloc is entitled to any of the requested relief and denies al |
| 15 | allegations o | f infringement. |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL |
| 18 | This | paragraph responds to the trial by jury demanded by Uniloc to which no response i |
| 19 | required. | |
| 20 | | <u>DEFENSES</u> |
| 21 | Subject to the responses above, HTCA alleges and asserts the following defenses in respons | |
| 22 | to the allegations, without assuming any burden other than that imposed by operation of law an | |
| 23 | undertaking the burden of proof only as to those defenses deemed affirmative defenses by lav | |
| 24 | regardless of | how such defenses are denominated herein. |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | Yarmuth LLP |



FIRST DEFENSE 1 (Failure to State a Claim) 2 Uniloc fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 3 SECOND DEFENSE 4 (Non-infringement) 5 6 HTCA does not infringe and has not infringed directly, indirectly, willfully or otherwise any valid, enforceable claim of the '654 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. 7 8 THIRD DEFENSE 9 (Invalidity) 10 The claims of the '654 patent are invalid under one or more of the provisions in Title 35 of 11 the United States Code, including without limitation §§ 101, 102, 103, and 112 and/or judicial 12 decisions and/or the applicable provisions of Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulation. 13 FOURTH DEFENSE 14 (Prosecution History Estoppel) 15 By reason of prior art and/or statements and representations made to the United States Patent 16 and Trademark Office during the prosecution of the application that led to the issuance of the '654 17 patent, the '654 patent is so limited that no claim can be construed as covering any HTCA product. 18 Uniloc's claims are barred by the doctrine of prosecution history estoppel based on statements, 19 representations and admissions made during prosecution of the patent applications resulting in the 20 654 patent. Uniloc is estopped from construing the claims of the 654 patent in any way to cover 21 any product, method or service of HTCA under the doctrine of equivalents, based on statements, 22 representations and admissions made during prosecution of the patent applications resulting in the 23 '654 patent. 24 FIFTH DEFENSE 25 (Limitation on Damages) 26 Some or all of Uniloc's damages, if any, are limited under 35 U.S.C. §§ 286 and 287. 27 Yarmuth LLP 28



| 1 | SIXTH DEFENSE |
|----|---|
| 2 | (Limitation on Costs) |
| 3 | Uniloc is barred from recovering any claim for costs according to 35 U.S.C. § 288. |
| 4 | SEVENTH DEFENSE |
| 5 | (License and Exhaustion) |
| 6 | To the extent Uniloc has licensed or otherwise exhausted its rights and remedies as to products |
| 7 | or services that are accused, HTCA is not liable to Uniloc for any alleged acts of infringement related |
| 8 | to such products or services. Alternatively, Uniloc's claims are barred under the doctrine of patent |
| 9 | exhaustion. |
| 10 | EIGHTH DEFENSE |
| 11 | (No Double Recovery) |
| 12 | Uniloc's requests for relief are barred or otherwise limited by restrictions on double recovery. |
| 13 | <u>NINTH DEFENSE</u> |
| 14 | (Extraterritoriality) |
| 15 | Uniloc's claims for patent infringement are precluded in whole or in part to the extent any |
| 16 | accused functionality or acts are located or performed outside of the United States. |
| 17 | TENTH DEFENSE |
| 18 | (Limitation for Sales Covered by 28 U.S.C. § 1498(a)) |
| 19 | To the extent Uniloc may accuse products or services that are provided by or for the |
| 20 | government of the United States of America, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1498(a), there is no |
| 21 | jurisdiction over those claims outside of the United States Court of Federal Claims. |
| 22 | ELEVENTH DEFENSE |
| 23 | (Equitable Defenses) |
| 24 | Uniloc's claims for relief are barred in whole or in part by equitable doctrines, including, but |
| 25 | not limited to, equitable estoppel, waiver, and unclean hands. |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | HTCA'S ANSWER TO PL'S COMPLEOR PATENT 5 Yarmuth LLP |



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

