THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 9 CYWEE GROUP LTD., CASE NO.: 2:17-cv-00932-JLR 10 Plaintiff, JURY TRIAL DEMANDED v. 11 HTC CORPORATION; and HTC AMERICA, 90-DAY JOINT STATUS REPORT 12 INC., 13 Defendants. 14 15 HTC CORPORATION, and HTC AMERICA, INC., 16 Third-Party Plaintiffs, 17 v. 18 CYWEE MOTION GROUP LTD., 19 Third-Party Defendant. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27



1 2

Pursuant to the Court's August 19, 2019, Order (ECF No. 146), Plaintiff CyWee Group Ltd. ("CyWee Group"), Defendants and Third-Party Plaintiffs HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc., and Third-Party Defendant CyWee Motion Group Ltd. respectfully submit the following joint status report:

The Google IPRs:

On January 9, 2020, the PTAB held the following claims invalid in the two IPR proceedings filed by Google (the "Google IPRs"):

Asserted Patent	IPR Case No.	Original Claims Held Invalid	Proposed Contingent Amended Claims Held Invalid
8,441,438	IPR2018-01258	1, 3–5	20, 21
8,552,978	IPR2018-01257	10, 12	19, 20

CyWee appealed the PTAB's final written decisions in the Google IPRs. The Federal Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed those decisions. On April 4, 2021, CyWee filed petitions for *en banc* review. The Federal Circuit denied those petitions on June 3, 2021. Mandates issued on June 10, 2021. On September 23, 2021 ("September 23 Order"), per CyWee's request, the Federal Circuit recalled the mandates, reinstated the appeals, and remanded the Google IPRs to allow CyWee the opportunity to request Director rehearing. On October 24, CyWee filed requests for *de novo* review by the Director in the Google IPRs. On January 7, 2022, the Commissioner for Patents denied review. On January 21, 2022, CyWee notified the Federal Circuit of that denial, and on April 21, 2022, CyWee filed its opening brief in the appeal. On February 8, 2023, the Federal Circuit affirmed PTAB's ruling.

The ZTE IPR:

On February 17, 2021, the PTAB held all challenged claims invalid in an *inter partes* review proceeding filed by ZTE and joined by LGE (the "ZTE IPR"):



2	
3	

1

3

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

Asserted Patent	IPR Case No.	Original Claims Held Invalid	Proposed Contingent Amended Claims Held Invalid
8,441,438	IPR2019-00143	1, 4, 5, 14–17, 19	20-24
	IPR2019-01203		

CyWee appealed the PTAB's final written decision in the ZTE IPR on April 8, 2021. On June 30, 2021, CyWee filed a motion requesting that the Federal Circuit dismiss its appeal of the ZTE IPR and remand the matter to the USPTO for further proceedings in light of the Supreme Court's recent decision in *United States v. Arthrex, Inc.*, No. 19-1434, 2021 WL 2519433 (June 21, 2021) holding that "the unreviewable authority wielded by APJs during *inter partes* review is incompatible with their appointment by the Secretary to an inferior office." On July 14, 2021, the Federal Circuit issued an order (1) certifying CyWee's constitutional challenge to the Attorney General, (2) directing the Attorney General to inform the Court within 30 days whether the United States intends to intervene and if so to file any response to CyWee's motion to remand, (3) ordering appellee to file any response within that 30-day timeframe, and (4) staying the briefing schedule in the appeal. On August 13, 2021, the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office informed the Federal Circuit of its intention to intervene and filed a response. On September 24, 2021 ("September 24 Order"), per CyWee's request, the Federal Circuit remanded the ZTE IPR to allow CyWee the opportunity to request Director rehearing. On October 25, CyWee filed a request for de novo review by the Director in the ZTE IPR. On January 7, 2022, the Commissioner for Patents denied review. On January 21, 2022, CyWee notified the Federal Circuit of that denial. On March 28, 2022, the Federal Circuit ordered that CyWee's brief is due no later than 60 days from the date of filing of the updated certified list, and on July 15, 2022, CyWee filed its opening brief in the appeal. On September 23, 2022, Intervenor Katherine K. Vidal and the appellees each filed a respective



responsive brief. On November 14, 2022, CyWee filed its reply brief. The parties are currently 1 2 awaiting a date for oral arguments. The appeal is pending. 3 Because the matters described above may affect claims in this case, neither party requests 4 lifting the stay at this time. 5 Dated May 1, 2023 Respectfully submitted, 6 /s/ Carmen E. Bremer 7 Carmen E. Bremer, WSBA 47,565 Carmen.bremer@bremerlawgroup.com 8 **BREMER LAW GROUP PLLC** 9 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98101 10 T: (206) 357-8442 F: (206) 858-9730 11 Michael W. Shore* (mshore@shorefirm.com) 12 Chijioke E. Offor* (coffor@shorefirm.com) 13 Halima Shukri Ndai* (hndai@shorefirm.com) THE SHORE FIRM LLP 14 901 Main Street, Suite 3300 Dallas, Texas 75202 15 T: (214) 593-9110 F: (214) 593-9111 16 *Admitted pro hac vice 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff CyWee Group Ltd. and 18 Third-Party Defendant CyWee Motion Group Ltd. 19 20 Dated: May 1, 2023 /s/ Ryan R. Smith James C. Yoon, CA Bar #177155 (pro hac vice) 21 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 22 **Professional Corporation** 650 Page Mill Road 23 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 24 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 Email: jyoon@wsgr.com, 25 26 27



1	Gregory L. Watts, WSBA #43995
2	Ryan R. Smith, WSBA #57331 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
3	Professional Corporation
3	701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100
4	Seattle, WA 98104-7036
5	Telephone: (206) 883-2500 Facsimile: (206) 883-2699
	Email: gwatts@wsgr.com, rsmith@wsgr.com
6	I I W OU GAR WOOGOOO (I I I)
7	Jamie Y. Otto, CA Bar #295099 (pro hac vice) Ty W. Callahan, CA Bar #312548 (pro hac vice)
8	Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati
	Professional Corporation
9	633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550
10	Los Angeles, CA 90017-2027
10	Telephone: (323) 210-2900
11	Facsimile: (866) 974-7329 Email: jotto@wsgr.com, tcallahan@wsgr.com
12	Eman. Jouowwsgr.com, teanananwwsgr.com
	Attorneys for Defendants and Third-Party Plaintiffs
13	HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc.
14	
15	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
16	I hereby certify that on May 1, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the
17	Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all
18	counsel of record.
19	
	Dated: May 1, 2023 /s/Carmen E. Bremer
20	Carmen E. Bremer
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	l .

