

1		CONTENTS	
2			
3	I.	INTRODUCTION	4
4	II.	FACTS	5
5	III.	LEGAL STANDARDS	6
6	IV.	ARGUMENT	7
7		A. Statutory Damages and Attorney Fees Are Not Available for Infringement of Unregistered Works.	7
8		B. The Copyrights VHT Asserts Here Are Unregistered.	9
10		C. In Fact, the Copyright Office Has Rejected and "Closed the File" on VHT's Attempts to Register the Copyrights it Asserts Here	10
11		D. The Court Should Rule on the Pleadings at this Time that Statutory Remedies Are Unavailable	11
12	V.	CONCLUSION	12
13			
14 15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	711.1	OW'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL HIDGMENT Susman Godfrev LLP	



1	AUTHORITIES
2	
3	
4	Cases
5	Choyce v. SF Bay Area Indep. Media Ctr., No. 13-CV-01842, 2013 WL 6234628 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2013)9
6 7	Cosmetic Ideas, Inc. v. IAC/Interactivecorp., 606 F.3d 612 (9th Cir. 2010)8
8	Derek Andrew, Inc. v. Poof Apparel Corp., 528 F.3d 696 (9th Cir. 2008)9
9 10	Dos Santos v. Telemundo Commc'ns Grp., LLC, No. 12-1373, 2012 WL 9503003 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 19, 2012)
11	Dworkin v. Hustler Magazine Inc., 867 F.2d 1188 (9th Cir. 1989)7
12 13	Hal Roach Studios, Inc. v. Richard Feiner and Co., Inc., 896 F.2d 1542 (9th Cir. 1990)
14	Jones v. Collectal Associates, No. 15-CV-02223, 2016 WL 721279 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 29, 2016)
1516	Mason v. Montgomery Data, Inc., 967 F.2d 135 (5th Cir. 1992)9
17	Milo & Gabby, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc., 12 F. Supp. 3d 1341 (W.D. Wash. 2014)
18 19	Parrino v. FHP, Inc., 146 F.3d 699 (9th Cir. 1998)11
20	Ray Charles Found. v. Robinson, 795 F.3d 1109 (9th Cir. 2015)
2122	Reed Elsevier, Inc. v. Muchnick, 559 U.S. 154 (2010)
23	Shame on You Prods., Inc. v. Elizabeth Banks, 120 F. Supp. 3d 1123 (C.D. Cal. 2015)
24	
25	Statutes
26	17 U.S.C. § 412
27	17 U.S.C. § 504
28	ii a a substitution of the
	711 I OW'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL HIDGMENT Susman Godfrev LLP



Case 2:15-cv-01096-JLR Document 69 Filed 04/20/16 Page 4 of 14 **Other Authorities** Compendium II of Copyright Office Practices Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) H. R. Rep. No. 94-1476 (1976), **Rules** ZILLOW'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL HIDGMENT



I. INTRODUCTION

Zillow moves for judgment on the pleadings as to VHT's requests for statutory damages and attorney fees because, in light of the facts alleged in VHT's complaint, those remedies are legal impossibilities in this case. 17 U.S.C. §§ 504 and 505 authorize statutory damages and attorney fees in copyright cases, but with a key prerequisite: 17 U.S.C. § 412 of the same statute provides that neither of these remedies "shall be made for" the infringement of unregistered copyrights. VHT's copyrights are not registered.

Although artful pleading in VHT's complaint somewhat obfuscates the facts, they are these: at the time of its initial complaint VHT had filed <u>applications</u> for copyright registration; since that time, all of those applications have been rejected. In a series of letters dated three months after VHT's initial complaint, the United States Copyright Office announced its affirmative decision to refuse VHT's applications, because VHT's works do not meet the criteria for registration. This formal notice of rejection – the fact of which is not subject to reasonable dispute and which is public record – is judicially noticeable, and therefore fatal to any attempt by VHT to recover remedies under §§ 504 or 505.

This state of affairs is a textbook case warranting a judgment on the pleadings pursuant to Rule 12(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Without registration, VHT simply cannot clear the statutory hurdle to statutory damages or attorney fees. Or, put another way, the allegations in VHT's complaint cannot possibly support the award of statutory remedies, because VHT will never be entitled to such remedies unless the facts about its registration status change. A ruling on this legal issue now, before discovery begins in earnest, will narrow the parties' focus to what is actually on the table at trial. In addition to clarifying the scope of discovery, a ruling now will also provide guidance as to its depth; recent amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure re-emphasized that a court must give the amount in controversy considerable weight when it considers whether a discovery undertaking is unduly burdensome. Clarifying what remedies are, and are not, available will do exactly that. For these reasons, and for the reasons

ZILLOW'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL HIDGMENT

Susman Godfrev LLP



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

