

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division**

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., et. al.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:14cv757-REP
v.)	
)	
NVIDIA CORPORATION, et. al.)	
)	
Defendant.)	

DEFENDANT NVIDIA CORPORATION’S ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant NVIDIA Corporation (“NVIDIA” or “Defendant”), by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby file this Answer to Plaintiffs Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd. (“SEC”) and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.’s (“SEA”) (collectively “Plaintiffs” or “Samsung”) First Amended Complaint. Defendant states as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
2. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
3. Defendant admits that it is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 2701 San Tomas Expressway, Santa Clara, California 95050. Defendant further admits that it imports into the United States, offers for sale, sells and/or uses in the United States certain graphics processing units and/or systems-on-a-chip, and denies any remaining allegations in this paragraph.

4. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

5. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

SAMSUNG

6. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

7. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

8. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

9. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

10. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

11. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

12. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

13. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

14. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

15. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

16. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

NVIDIA

17. Defendant admits that it designs, develops, supplies, and sells graphics processing units and mobile system-on-chip products. The accuracy of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph depends on context and the paragraph contains subjective assessments from Plaintiffs. Thus, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and therefore denies them.

18. Defendant admits that it sells a device named the Shield Tablet. Defendant further admits that the Shield Tablet supports the Android operating system. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations set forth in this paragraph, and on that basis deny them.

19. Defendant admits that it sells products and services through the United States, including in Virginia, and denies any remaining allegations in this paragraph.

20. Defendant admits that it sells products nationwide, including in Virginia. Defendant further avers that the referenced document speaks for itself, and denies all other allegations in this paragraph.

21. Defendant admits that it is registered as a foreign corporation with the Commonwealth of Virginia and may be served with process through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, 1111 East Main Street, Richmond, VA 23219.

VELOCITY

22. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

23. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

24. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

25. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

26. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

27. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

28. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

29. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

30. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

31. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

32. Defendant admits that this action purports to arise under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, but denies that this action has any merit or that

Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief sought. Defendant also admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction, but denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.

33. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

34. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

35. Defendant denies the legal argument improperly included in this paragraph, and denies all remaining factual allegations.

36. Defendant admits that it sells products nationwide, including in Virginia, and denies all other allegations in this paragraph.

37. Defendant admits that it is registered as a foreign corporation with the Commonwealth of Virginia and may be served with process through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, 1111 East Main Street, Richmond, VA 23219.

38. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

39. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

40. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

ACCUSED PRODUCTS

41. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

42. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

43. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth within this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

44. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.