

# EXHIBIT

# A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
RICHMOND DIVISION**

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,  
INC.,

Plaintiffs,

-vs.-

NVIDIA CORPORATION, VELOCITY  
MICRO, INC. D/B/A VELOCITY MICRO,  
AND VELOCITY HOLDINGS, LLC,

Defendants.

---

Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-757-REP

**[CORRECTED] MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT NVIDIA  
CORPORATION'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO SEVER**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**I. INTRODUCTION.....1**

**II. STATEMENT OF FACTS.....3**

A. Procedural History ----- 3

B. Samsung’s Claims and NVIDIA’s Counterclaims ----- 5

**III. ARGUMENT.....5**

A. NVIDIA’S Counterclaims Should Be Tried In The Original Action .....7

B. The Counterclaims Will Not Require Additional Witnesses or Documentary Evidence .....10

C. NVIDIA Will Be Prejudiced If Its Counterclaims Are Severed From the Original Action .....11

D. Samsung Will Not Be Prejudiced If The Counterclaims Are Tried In The Original Action .....12

1. The Counterclaims Can Be Tried Under The Current Schedule .....12

2. NVIDIA Did Not Delay In Asserting Its Counterclaims.....14

E. Adjudicating NVIDIA’s Counterclaims In The Original Action Will Be More Efficient Than Severing Those Four Claims.....8

F. At The Least, The Court Should Either Stay A Decision On Severing NVIDIA’s Counterclaims Until After The *Markman* Hearing Or Order Separate Trials Under Rule 42(b) .....16

CONCLUSION.....17

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

*Cases*

*17th Street Assocs., LLP v. Markel Intl Ins. Co.*,  
373 F. Supp. 2d 584 (E.D. Va. 2005) ----- 5, 6

*A&E Prods. Group L.P. v. Accessor Corp.*,  
No. 00 Civ. 7271, 2002 WL 1041321 (S.D.N.Y. May 23, 2002)----- 10, 11

*Acevedo Garcia v. Vera Monroig*,  
204 F.R.D. 26 (D. P.R. 2001) -----16

*Acevedo–Garcia v. Monroig*,  
351 F.3d 547 (1st Cir. 2003) -----6, 17

*Baergas v. City of New York*,  
Case No. 04 Civ. 2944, 2005 WL 2105550 (S.D. N.Y. 2005) -----5, 7, 8, 12

*Bern Unlimited, Inc. v. Burton Corp.*,  
25 F.Supp.3d 170 (D. Mass. 2014)-----16

*Chaudhry v. Gallerizzo*,  
174 F.3d 394, 404 (4th Cir. 1999)-----15

*CVI/Beta Ventures, Inc. v. Custom Optical Frames, Inc.*,  
896 F. Supp. 505 (D. Md. 1995)----- 10, 11

*Gaffney v. Riverboat Servs. of Ind., Inc.*,  
451 F.3d 424 (7th Cir. 2006)----- 6

*German by German v. Fed. Home Loan Mortg. Corp.*,  
896 F. Supp. 1385 (S.D. N.Y. 1995)----- 6, 7

*James River Mgmt. v. Kehoe*,  
No. 3:09cv387, 2010 WL 431473 (E.D. Va. Feb. 5, 2010)-----6, 16

*John S. Clark Co., v. Travelers Indem. Co. of Ill.*,  
359 F. Supp. 2d 429 (M.D.N.C. 2004) ----- 6

*Johnson v. BAE Sys. Land & Armaments, L.P.*,  
No. 3:12–cv–1790–D, 2014 WL 1714487 (N.D. Tex. Apr. 30, 2014)----- 5

*Kimmel v. Cavalry Portfolio Servs., LLC*,  
747 F. Supp. 2d 427 (E.D. Pa. 2010) ----- 7

*Koh v. Microtek International, Inc.*,  
250 F. Supp. 2d 627 (E.D. Va. 2003) ----- 8

*Medicenters of Am., Inc. v. T & V Realty & Equip. Corp.*,  
371 F. Supp. 1180 (D.C. Va. 1974) ----- 15

*Newport News Holdings Corp. v. Virtual City Vision, Inc.*,  
650 F.3d 423 (4th Cir. 2011)----- 15

*Nortel Networks Inc. v. Foundry Networks, Inc.*,  
No. 01CV10442, 2003 WL 26476584 (D. Mass. Mar. 24, 2003) ----- 11

*Saint John’s African Methodist Episcopal Church v. GuideOne Specialty Mut. Ins. Co.*,  
902 F.Supp.2d 783, 785 (E.D. Va. 2012) ----- 6

*Thornapple Associates, Inc. v. Izadpanah*,  
No. 1:14cv767, 2014 WL 7239018 (E.D. Va. 2014) ----- 7

*United Mine Workers of Am. v. Gibbs*,  
383 U.S. 715 (1966)----- 5

*Verizon Md. Inc. v. RCN Telecom Servs., Inc.*,  
232 F. Supp. 2d 539 (D. Md. 2002)----- 6, 9

*Verizon Md., Inc. v. Global NAPS, Inc.*,  
377 F.3d 355 (4th Cir. 2004)----- 6

*Statute*

28 U.S.C. §1404(a) ..... 14

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.