

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and
R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP
MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS
PRODUCTS S.A.,

Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

REDACTED

**REYNOLDS'S OPPOSITION TO
PMI/ALTRIA'S OMNIBUS MOTION *IN LIMINE***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. MIL NO. 1: PRECLUDE RJR FROM RELYING ON NON-COMPARABLE AGREEMENTS TO SUGGEST THE AMOUNT OF A REASONABLE ROYALTY	1
II. MIL NO. 2: PRECLUDE RJR FROM VIOLATING ITS STIPULATION REGARDING THE PRIOR ART	3
III. MIL NO. 3: NO ARGUMENT, EVIDENCE, OR TESTIMONY ABOUT PRIOR ART INVALIDITY NOT DISCLOSED IN EXPERT REPORTS	5
IV. MIL NO. 4: NO REFERENCE TO PRACTICING THE PRIOR ART AS AN ALLEGED NON-INFRINGEMENT DEFENSE.....	7
V. MIL NO. 5: PRECLUDE RJR’S EXPERTS FROM RELYING ON HEARSAY CONVERSATIONS WITH UNDISCLOSED THIRD-PARTIES AND EVIDENCE PRODUCED IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT’S DISCOVERY ORDER.....	9
VI. MIL NO. 6: PRECLUDE RJR FROM ARGUING THAT IT LACKS CONTROL OVER SUPPLIERS OF THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS	13
VII. MIL NO. 7: PRECLUDE LAY OPINION TESTIMONY FROM RJR’S FACT WITNESSES ABOUT ALLEGED NON-INFRINGEMENT OR INVALIDITY	14
VIII. MIL NO. 8: NO REFERENCE TO RJR’S PATENT INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS	17
IX. MIL NO. 9: NO ARGUMENT, EVIDENCE, OR TESTIMONY ABOUT THE PENDING ITC INVESTIGATION OR INVESTIGATIONS INVOLVING ALTRIA’S INVESTMENT IN THIRD-PARTY JUUL	19
X. MIL NO. 10: NO REFERENCE TO WITHDRAWN CLAIMS OR DEFENSES	22
XI. MIL NO. 11: NO REFERENCE TO PM/ATRIA’S DECISION NOT TO SUE THIRD PARTIES FOR INFRINGING THE ASSERTED PATENTS	23
XII. MIL NO. 12: NO REFERENCE TO RJR OR ██████████ MARKING WITH THIRD-PARTY FONTEM PATENT NUMBERS.....	26
XIII. MIL NO. 13: PRECLUDE RJR FROM REFERENCING THE ABSENCE OF CHARLES HIGGINS AT TRIAL.....	28
XIV. MIL NO. 14: NO ARGUMENT, EVIDENCE, OR TESTIMONY CHALLENGING FDA’S PMT AND MRTP AUTHORIZATIONS FOR IQOS	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	7
<i>AstraZeneca AB v. Apotex Corp.</i> , 782 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	4, 7
<i>AVM Techs. LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , No. 15-33-RGA, 2017 WL 2938191 (D. Del. Apr. 19, 2017).....	1
<i>Bayer Healthcare LLC v. Baxalta Inc.</i> , 989 F.3d 964 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....	23, 24
<i>Biedermann Techs. GmbH & Co. KG v. K2M, Inc.</i> , 528 F. Supp. 3d 407 (E.D. Va. 2021)	23
<i>Buckman v. Bombardier Corp.</i> , 893 F. Supp. 547 (E.D.N.C. 1995).....	15
<i>Burlington N.R. Co. v. Nebraska</i> , 802 F.2d 994 (8th Cir. 1986)	16
<i>Cal. Beach Co., LLC v. Exqline, Inc.</i> , No. C 20-01994 WHA, 2020 WL 6544457 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 7, 2020).....	14
<i>Carlson v. Bos. Sci. Corp.</i> , 856 F.3d 320 (4th Cir. 2017)	14
<i>Centripetal Networks, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 492 F. Supp. 3d 495 (E.D. Va. 2020)	23
<i>CertusView Techs., LLC v. S&N Locating Servs., LLC</i> , No. 2:13CV346, 2016 WL 6915303 (E.D. Va. Mar. 7, 2016)	15
<i>Crawford v. Newport News Indus. Corp.</i> , No. 14-cv-130, 2017 WL 3222547 (E.D.Va. July 28, 2017).....	10
<i>Eko Brands, LLC v. Adrian Rivera Maynez Enters., Inc.</i> , 946 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>Ericsson, Inc. v. D-Link Sys., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1201 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	4
<i>Exmark Mfg. v. Briggs & Stratton Power Prods. Grp.</i> , LLC, 879 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	5
<i>Hatfill v. N.Y. York Times Co.</i> , 242 F.R.D. 353 (E.D. Va. 2006).....	14
<i>Henderson v. Corelogic Nat’l Background Data, LLC</i> , No. 3:12CV97, 2016 WL 354751 (E.D. Va. Jan. 27, 2016).....	15, 16
<i>I/P Engine, Inc. v. AOL Inc.</i> , No. 2:11CV512, 2012 WL 12068846 (E.D. Va. Oct. 12, 2012)	2
<i>KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	6, 7
<i>Lord & Taylor, LLC v. White Flint, L.P.</i> , 849 F.3d 567 (4th Cir. 2017), as amended (Mar. 7, 2017).....	15
<i>Lucent Techs., Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.</i> , 580 F.3d 1301,1335 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	4, 7
<i>MCI Telecomms. Corp. v. Wanzer</i> , 897 F.2d 703 (4th Cir. 1990)	16
<i>Ocasio v. Ollson</i> , 596 F. Supp. 2d 890 (E.D. Pa. 2009)	30
<i>Phillip Morris Products S.A. v. I.T.C.</i> , No. 2022-1227, Dkt. 28 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 25, 2022)	21
<i>Randall Mfg. v. Rea</i> , 733 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	6
<i>Robocast, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. 10-1055-RGA, 2014 WL 202399 (D. Del. Jan. 16, 2014).....	2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>S. States Rack & Fixture, Inc. v. Sherwin-Williams Co.</i> , 318 F.3d 592 (4th Cir. 2003)	11
<i>Sprint Commc'ns Co. L.P. v. Charter Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , No. 17-1734-RGA, 2021 WL 982730 (D. Del. Mar. 16, 2021)	25
<i>Steves & Sons, Inc. v. JELD-WEN, Inc.</i> , No. 3:16CV545, 2018 WL 359479 (E.D. Va. Jan. 10, 2018).....	16
<i>Summit Towers Condo. Ass'n, Inc. v. QBE Ins. Corp.</i> , No. 11-60601-CIV-SEITZ-SIMONTON, 2012 WL 12838460 (S.D. Fla. May 7, 2012)	30
<i>TC Tech. LLC v. Sprint Corp.</i> , No. 16-cv-153-RGA, 2019 WL 2515779 (D. Del. June 18, 2019).....	2
<i>TecSec v. Adobe Inc.</i> , No. 1:10-cv-115, 2018 WL 11388472 (E.D.Va. November 21, 2018)	10
<i>Tyco Healthcare Grp. LP v. Applied Med. Res. Corp.</i> , No. 9:09-CV-176, 2010 WL 11469880 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 26, 2010).....	25
<i>United States v. Chapman</i> , 209 F. App'x 253 (4th Cir. 2006)	15, 16
<i>United States v. Farrell</i> , 921 F.3d 116 (4th Cir. 2019)	16
<i>United States v. Graham</i> , 796 F.3d 332 (4th Cir. 2015), <i>on reh'g en banc</i> , 824 F.3d 421 (2016)	15
<i>United States v. Verges</i> , No. 1:13CR222 (JCC), 2014 WL 559573 (E.D. Va. Feb. 12, 2014).....	18, 20
<i>United States v. Williams</i> , 445 F.3d 724 (4th Cir. 2006)	19
<i>WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co.</i> , 829 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	23

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.