UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A.,

Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PM/ALTRIA'S MOTION TO SEAL

This matter is before the Court on the motion (Dkt. 913) filed by Philip Morris Products S.A., Phillip Morris USA Inc., and Altria Client Services, LLC (collectively, "PM/Altria") to seal un-redacted versions of PM/Altria's Brief in Support of PM/Altria's Daubert Motion To Exclude The Opinions of Reynolds's Damages Expert, Dr. Ryan Sullivan and accompanying Exhibits 1-13 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2(d) and Local Civil Rule 5(C). Because the documents that PM/Altria seeks to seal contain confidential, proprietary, and competitively sensitive business, financial, and design information of the RAI Strategic Holdings, Inc., and R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company (collectively, "Reynolds"), Reynolds filed a memorandum in support of PM/Altria's sealing request.

Before this Court may seal documents, it must: "(1) provide public notice of the request to seal and allow interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object, (2) consider less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents, and (3) provide specific reasons and factual findings



supporting its decision to seal the documents and for rejecting the alternatives." *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 288, 302 (4th Cir. 2000) (internal citations omitted). Upon consideration of PM/Altria's motion to seal and its memorandum in support thereof, the Court hereby **FINDS** as follows:

- 1. The public has received notice of the request to seal and has had reasonable opportunity to object. PM/Altria's sealing motion was publicly docketed in accordance with Local Civil Rule 5. Reynolds has filed a memorandum in support of sealing. The "public has had ample opportunity to object" to PM/Altria's motion and, since "the Court has received no objections," the first requirement under *Ashcraft*, 218 F.3d at 302, has been satisfied. *GTSI Corp. v. Wildflower Int'l, Inc.*, No. 1:09CV123 (JCC), 2009 WL 1248114, at *9 (E.D. Va. Apr. 30, 2009); *United States ex rel. Carter v. Halliburton Co.*, No. 1:10CV864 (JCC/TCB), 2011 WL 2077799, at *3 (E.D. Va. May 24, 2011) ("[T]he parties provided public notice of the request to seal that allowed interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object—nearly two weeks.").
- 2. PM/Altria seeks to seal and redact from the public record only information designated by the parties as confidential. PM/Altria has filed publicly a redacted version of its Brief in Support of PM/Altria's Daubert Motion To Exclude The Opinions of Reynolds's Damages Expert, Dr. Ryan Sullivan and accompanying Exhibits 1-13 (Dkt. 911), in addition to a sealed version (Dkt. 915), and has redacted only those limited portions it seeks to seal. This selective and narrow protection of confidential material constitutes the least drastic method of shielding the information at issue. *Adams v. Object Innovation, Inc.*, No. 3:11CV272-REP-DWD, 2011 WL 7042224, at *4 (E.D. Va. Dec. 5, 2011) (The "proposal to redact only the proprietary and confidential information, rather than seal the entirety of his declaration, constitutes the least drastic method of shielding the information at issue."). The public has no



legitimate interest in information that is confidential to PM/Altria and Reynolds. *Id.* ("[T]here is no legitimate public interest in disclosing the proprietary and confidential information of [the defendant] ... and disclosure to the public could result in significant damage to the company."). The information that PM/Altria seeks to seal includes confidential, proprietary, and competitively sensitive business information of PM/Altria, Reynolds, and/or third parties, each of which could face harm if such information were to be released publicly. Specifically, the sensitive information that PM/Altria move for leave to file under seal, and to redact from a publicly filed version, includes proprietary and commercially sensitive business, financial, and design information of PM/Altria, Reynolds, and/or third parties:

- PM/Altria's Brief in Support of PM/Altria's Daubert Motion To Exclude The Opinions Of Reynolds' Damages Expert, Dr. Ryan Sullivan;
- Exhibit 1, which are excerpts of the confidential deposition transcript of Nicholas Ray Gilley;
- Exhibit 2, which are excerpts of the confidential amended and supplemental opening expert report of Paul Meyer;
- Exhibit 3, which are excerpts from a confidential settlement and license agreement;
- Exhibit 4, which are excerpts from the confidential deposition transcript of Dr.
 Ryan Sullivan;
- Exhibit 5, which are excerpts from the confidential rebuttal expert report of Ryan Sullivan;
- Exhibit 6, which are excerpts from the confidential deposition transcript of Paul Meyer;



- Exhibit 7, which are excerpts from the confidential deposition transcript of Eric Hunt;
- Exhibit 8, which are excerpts from the confidential opening expert report of Stacy Ehrlich;
- Exhibit 9, which are excerpts from the confidential deposition transcript of John Scott Peddycord;
- Exhibit 10, which are excerpts from the confidential supplemental expert report of Ryan Sullivan;
- Exhibit 11, which are excerpts from a confidential settlement and license agreement;
- Exhibit 12, which is a confidential document produced by Reynolds bearing Bates
 No. RJREDVA_001621749; and
- Exhibit 13, which is a confidential document produced by Reynolds bearing Bates
 Nos. RJREDVA 001614141.
- 3. There is support for filing portions of PM/Altria's Brief in Support of PM/Altria's Daubert Motion To Exclude The Opinions Of Reynolds' Damages Expert, Dr. Ryan Sullivan and accompanying Exhibits 1-13 under seal, with publicly filed versions containing strictly limited redactions. PM/Altria's Brief in Support of PM/Altria's Daubert Motion To Exclude The Opinions of Reynolds's Damages Expert, Dr. Ryan Sullivan and accompanying Exhibits 1-13 contain materials that fall within the scope of the stipulated protective order. Placing these materials under seal is proper because the public's interest in access is outweighed by a party's interest in "preserving confidentiality" of the limited amount of confidential information that is "normally unavailable to the public." *Flexible Benefits Council v. Feltman*, No. 1:08CV371-JCC,



2008 WL 4924711, at *1 (E.D. Va. Nov. 13, 2008); *United States ex rel. Carter*, 2011 WL 2077799, at *3.

Therefore, based on the findings above, for good cause shown, it is hereby

ORDERED that the motion is **GRANTED**, and PM/Altria is granted leave to file **REDACTED** versions of PM/Altria's Brief in Support of PM/Altria's Daubert Motion To Exclude The Opinions of Reynolds's Damages Expert, Dr. Ryan Sullivan and accompanying Exhibits 1-13.

And to file **UNDER SEAL** un-redacted versions of PM/Altria's Brief in Support of PM/Altria's Daubert Motion To Exclude The Opinions of Reynolds's Damages Expert, Dr. Ryan Sullivan and accompanying Exhibits 1-13.

And **FURTHER ORDERED** that un-redacted versions of PM/Altria's Brief in Support of PM/Altria's Daubert Motion To Exclude The Opinions of Reynolds's Damages Expert, Dr. Ryan Sullivan and accompanying Exhibits 1-13 shall remain **SEALED** until further order of the Court

| Court. | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| ENTERED this day of | , 2022. |
| Alexandria, Virginia | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | THERESA CARROLL BUCHANAN |
| | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |

