

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. AND  
R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY

Plaintiffs and  
Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP  
MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS  
PRODUCTS S.A.

Defendants and  
Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

**ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED**



**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PMI/ALTRIA'S OMNIBUS MOTION *IN LIMINE***

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
I. MIL NO. 1: PRECLUDE RJR FROM RELYING ON NON-COMPARABLE AGREEMENTS TO SUGGEST THE AMOUNT OF A REASONABLE ROYALTY .....	1
II. MIL NO. 2: PRECLUDE RJR FROM VIOLATING ITS STIPULATION REGARDING THE PRIOR ART .....	3
III. MIL NO. 3: NO ARGUMENT, EVIDENCE, OR TESTIMONY ABOUT PRIOR ART INVALIDITY NOT DISCLOSED IN EXPERT REPORTS .....	5
IV. MIL NO. 4: NO REFERENCE TO PRACTICING THE PRIOR ART AS AN ALLEGED NON-INFRINGEMENT DEFENSE.....	5
V. MIL NO. 5: PRECLUDE RJR’S EXPERTS FROM RELYING ON HEARSAY CONVERSATIONS WITH UNDISCLOSED THIRD-PARTIES AND EVIDENCE PRODUCED IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT’S DISCOVERY ORDER.....	7
VI. MIL NO. 6: PRECLUDE RJR FROM ARGUING THAT IT LACKS CONTROL OVER SUPPLIERS OF THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS.....	9
VII. MIL NO. 7: PRECLUDE LAY OPINION TESTIMONY FROM RJR’S FACT WITNESSES ABOUT ALLEGED NON-INFRINGEMENT OR INVALIDITY .....	10
VIII. MIL NO. 8: NO REFERENCE TO RJR’S PATENT INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS .....	11
IX. MIL NO. 9: NO ARGUMENT, EVIDENCE, OR TESTIMONY ABOUT THE PENDING ITC INVESTIGATION OR [REDACTED] .....	13
X. MIL NO. 10: NO REFERENCE TO WITHDRAWN CLAIMS OR DEFENSES .....	14
XI. MIL NO. 11: NO REFERENCE TO PMI/ATRIA’S DECISION NOT TO SUE THIRD PARTIES FOR INFRINGING THE ASSERTED PATENTS .....	15
XII. MIL NO. 12: NO REFERENCE TO [REDACTED] WITH THIRD-PARTY [REDACTED] PATENT NUMBERS.....	17
XIII. MIL NO. 13: PRECLUDE RJR FROM REFERENCING THE ABSENCE OF CHARLES HIGGINS AT TRIAL.....	19
XIV. MIL NO. 14: NO ARGUMENT, EVIDENCE, OR TESTIMONY CHALLENGING FDA’S PMT AND MRTP AUTHORIZATIONS FOR IQOS .....	20

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES****CASES**

<i>01 Communique Lab., Inc. v. Citrix Sys.</i> , 889 F.3d 735 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	5, 6
<i>Altana Pharma AG v. Teva Pharms. USA Inc.</i> , No. 04-cv-2355, 2010 WL 11470982 (D.N.J. Apr. 5, 2010) .....	11
<i>Am. Tech. Ceramics Corp. v. Presidio Components, Inc.</i> , No. 14-cv-6544, 2019 WL 2330855 (E.D.N.Y. May 31, 2019) .....	11, 13
<i>Apotex, Inc. v. Cephalon, Inc.</i> , No. 06-cv-2768, 2017 WL 2362400 (E.D. Pa. May 31, 2017) .....	10
<i>Applera Corp. v. MJ Research Inc.</i> , 389 F. Supp. 2d 344 (D. Conn. 2005) .....	10
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prods. Inc.</i> , 950 F.3d 860 (Fed. Cir. 2020) .....	15
<i>Automatic Equip. Mfg. Co. v. Danko Mfg., LLC</i> , No. 19-cv-162, 2021 WL 4078282 (D. Neb. Sept. 8, 2021) .....	10
<i>AVM Techs. LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , No. 15-cv-33, 2017 WL 2938191(D. Del. Apr. 19, 2017) .....	1
<i>Baratto v. Brushstrokes Fine Art, Inc.</i> , 701 F. Supp. 2d 1068 (W.D. Wis. 2010) .....	11
<i>Blancha v. Raymark Indus.</i> , 972 F.2d 507 (3d Cir. 1992) .....	12, 20
<i>Cal. Inst. of Tech. v. Broadcom Ltd.</i> , No. 16-cv-3714, 2018 WL 7456042 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 28, 2018) .....	4
<i>Crawford v. Newport News Indus. Corp.</i> , No. 14-cv-130, 2017 WL 3222547 (E.D. Va. July 28, 2017) .....	7
<i>Elbit Sys. Land and C4I Ltd., v. Hughes Network Sys., LLC</i> , No. 15-cv-37, 2017 WL 11658860 (E.D. Tex. Jul. 25, 2017) .....	16
<i>Electro-Mech. Corp. v. Power Distrib. Prods., Inc.</i> , No. 11-cv-71, 2013 WL 1859229 (W.D. Va. Mar. 13, 2013) .....	16
<i>Hale Cty. A&amp;M Transp., LLC v. City of Kansas, Mo.</i> , No. 12-cv-265, 2014 WL 545779 (W.D. Mo. Feb. 11, 2014) .....	19

*HTC Corp. v. Tech. Props. Ltd.*,  
 No. 08-cv-882, 2013 WL 4782598 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 6, 2013) ..... 14

*HVLPO2, LLC v. Oxygen Frog, LLC*,  
 949 F.3d 685 (Fed. Cir. 2020) ..... 10

*Hypertherm, Inc. v. Am. Torch Tip Co.*,  
 No. 05-cv-373, 2009 WL 435324 (D.N.H. Feb. 19, 2009) ..... 11

*I/P Engine, Inc. v. AOL Inc.*,  
 No. 11-cv-512, 2012 WL 12068846 (E.D. Va. Oct. 12, 2012) ..... 1, 2

*IA Labs CA, LLC v. Nintendo Co.*,  
 857 F. Supp. 2d 550 (D. Md. 2012)..... 14

*Image Processing Tech., LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.*,  
 No. 20-cv-50, Dkt. 171 (E.D. Tex. June 8, 2020) ..... 15

*In re Bankatlantic Bancorp., Inc. Secs. Litig.*,  
 No. 07-cv-61542, 2010 WL 11426137 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 20, 2010)..... 14

*In re Biogen*,  
 No. 10-cv-2734, 2018 WL 3613162 (D.N.J. July 26, 2018)..... 19, 20

*In re Innovatio IP Ventures, LLC Pat. Litig.*,  
 No. 11-cv-9308, 2013 WL 5593609 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 3, 2013)..... 2

*In re Liquid Toppings Dispensing Sys. ('447) Patent Litig.*,  
 No. 18-md-02832, 2021 WL 2410344 (S.D. Fla. June 14, 2021)..... 10

*Koito Mfg. Co. v. Turn-Key-Tech, LLC*,  
 381 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2004) ..... 5

*Krippelz v. Ford Motor Co.*,  
 750 F. Supp. 2d 938 (N.D. Ill. 2010)..... 16

*Magna Elecs., Inc. v. TRW Auto. Holdings Corp.*,  
 No. 12-cv-654, 2016 WL 4238769 (W.D. Mich. Jan. 28, 2016) ..... 13, 14

*Metaswitch Networks Ltd. v. Genband US LLC*,  
 No. 14-cv-744, 2016 WL 3618831 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 1, 2016)..... 5

*MLC Intell. Prop., LLC v. Micron Tech., Inc.*,  
 10 F.4th 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2021) ..... 3

*Nobelbiz, Inc. v. Glob. Connect, L.L.C.*,  
 No. 12-cv-244, 2015 WL 11072170 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 2, 2015) ..... 6

*Packet Intel. LLC v. NetScout Sys., Inc.*,  
 No. 16-cv-230, 2017 WL 11631146 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 29, 2017) ..... 6

*Paice LLC v. Hyundai Motor Co.*,  
 No. 12-cv-499, 2015 WL 13921493 (D. Md. Sept. 18, 2015) ..... 16

*Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co.*,  
 810 F.2d 1561 (Fed. Cir. 1987) ..... 6

*Realtime Data LLC v. Echostar Corp.*,  
 No. 17-cv-84, 2018 WL 10466786 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 17, 2018)..... 15

*S. States Rack & Fixture, Inc. v. Sherwin-Williams Co.*,  
 318 F.3d 592 (4th Cir. 2003) ..... 5, 8

*Samsung Elecs. Co. v. NVIDIA Corp.*,  
 No. 14-cv-757, 2016 WL 754547 (E.D. Va. Feb. 23, 2016)..... 13, 16, 17

*Secure Access LLC v. Bank of America Corp.*,  
 No. 10-cv-670, Dkt. 651 (E.D. Tex. May 1, 2013) ..... 19

*TecSec, Inc. v. Adobe Inc.*,  
 No. 10-cv-115, 2018 WL 11388472 (E.D. Va. Nov. 21, 2018) ..... passim

*United States v. Aramony*,  
 166 F.3d 655 (4th Cir. 1999) ..... 10

*Wi-LAN Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.*,  
 421 F. Supp. 3d 911 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2019) ..... 4

*Wonderland Nurserygoods Co. v. Thorley Indus., LLC*,  
 No. 12-cv-196, 2014 WL 289446 (W.D. Pa. Jan. 22, 2014)..... 11, 12

*Zenith Elecs. Corp. v. PDI Commc'n. Sys., Inc.*,  
 522 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2008) ..... 5

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

19 U.S.C. § 1337..... 13

35 U.S.C. § 1..... 13

35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2)..... 4

FED. R. CIV. P. 26..... 5, 8, 11

FED. R. CIV. P. 37..... 3, 5, 8, 10

FED. R. EVID. 401-402..... 13, 18

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.