

# EXHIBIT 7

## **AIPLA's Model Patent Jury Instructions**

© 1997, 2005, 2008, 2012, 2015–2019 American Intellectual Property Law Association

### Disclaimer

The Model Patent Jury Instructions are provided as general assistance for the litigation of patent issues. While efforts have been, and will be made, to ensure that the Model Patent Jury Instructions accurately reflect existing law, this work is not intended to replace the independent research necessary for formulating jury instructions that are best suited to particular facts and legal issues. AIPLA does not represent that the information contained in the Model Patent Jury Instructions is accurate, complete, or current. The work could contain typographical errors or technical inaccuracies, and AIPLA reserves the right to add, change, or delete its contents or any part thereof without notice.

## **Table of Contents**

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Preliminary Jury Instructions .....	3
1.	The Nature of the Action and the Parties .....	3
1.1	United States Patents.....	3
1.2	Patent Litigation.....	4
2.	Contentions of the Parties .....	5
3.	Trial Procedure.....	6
III.	Glossary of Patent Terms.....	7
IV.	Glossary of Technical Terms .....	9
V.	Post-Trial Instructions.....	9
1.	Summary of Patent Issues .....	9
2.	Claim Construction .....	9
2.0	Claim Construction—Generally .....	9
2.1	Claim Construction for the Case.....	10
2.2	Construction of Means-Plus-Function Claims for the Case .....	10
3.	Infringement.....	10
3.0	Infringement—Generally .....	11
3.1	Direct Infringement—Knowledge of the Patent and Intent to Infringe Are Immaterial .....	11
3.2	Direct Infringement—Literal Infringement .....	12
3.3	Direct Infringement—Joint Infringement .....	12
3.4	Literal Infringement of Means-Plus-Function or Step-Plus-Function Claims .....	13
3.5	Infringement of Dependent Claims.....	14

3.6	Infringement of “Comprising of,” “Consisting of,” and “Consisting Essentially of” Claims.....	15
3.7	Direct Infringement—Infringement under the Doctrine of Equivalents .....	16
3.7.1	Limitations on the Doctrine of Equivalents—Prosecution History Estoppel.....	16
3.7.2	Limitations on the Doctrine of Equivalents—Subject Matter Dedicated to the Public .....	17
3.8	Actively Inducing Patent Infringement.....	18
3.9	Infringement by Supply of All or a Substantial Portion of the Components of a Patented Invention to Another Country (§ 271(f)(1)) .....	19
3.10	Contributory Infringement .....	19
3.11	Infringement by Supply of Components Especially Made or Adapted for Use in the Patented Invention into Another Country (§ 271(f)(2)) .....	20
3.12	Infringement by Import, Sale, Offer for Sale or Use of Product Made by Patented Process (§ 271(g)) .....	21
4.	Summary of Invalidity Defense .....	22
5.	Prior Art .....	22
5.0	Prior Art Defined .....	22
5.0.1	Prior Art Defined (pre-AIA) .....	22
5.0.2	Prior Art Defined (AIA).....	23
5.1	Prior Art Considered or Not Considered by the USPTO.....	24
5.2	Invalidity of Independent and Dependent Claims .....	25
5.3	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	25
6.	Anticipation.....	25
6.1	Prior Public Knowledge.....	27
6.1.1	Prior Public Knowledge (Pre-AIA) .....	27

6.1.2	Prior Public Knowledge (AIA) .....	27
6.2	Prior Public Use .....	28
6.2.1	Prior Public Use (Pre-AIA).....	28
6.2.2	Prior Public Use (AIA) .....	29
6.3	On-Sale Bar.....	30
6.4	Experimental Use.....	31
6.5	Printed Publication.....	31
6.6	Prior Invention (Pre-AIA Only).....	32
6.7	Prior Patent.....	34
6.7.1	Prior Patent (Pre-AIA) .....	34
6.7.2	Prior Patent (AIA).....	34
6.8	Prior U.S. Application.....	35
6.8.1	Prior U.S. Application (Pre-AIA) .....	35
6.8.2	Prior U.S. Patent Document (AIA).....	35
7.	Obviousness .....	36
7.0	Obviousness—Generally .....	36
7.1	The First Factor: Scope and Content of the Prior Art.....	37
7.2	The Second Factor: Differences Between the Claimed Invention and the Prior Art .....	37
7.3	The Third Factor: Level of Ordinary Skill.....	39
7.4	The Fourth Factor: Other Considerations .....	40
8.	Enablement .....	41
9.	Written-Description Requirement.....	42
10.	Damages.....	43
10.0	Damages—Generally .....	43
10.1	Date Damages Begin.....	43

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.