

EXHIBIT 3

PUBLIC VERSION

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

**In the Matter of
 CERTAIN TOBACCO HEATING
 ARTICLES AND COMPONENTS
 THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1199

COMMISSION OPINION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	BACKGROUND	1
A.	Procedural History.....	1
B.	The Parties	8
1.	Complainants	8
2.	Respondents	8
C.	The Asserted Patents.....	8
1.	The '915 Patent	8
2.	The '123 Patent	9
3.	The '238 Patent	11
D.	The Accused Products.....	12
E.	The Domestic Industry Products	12
II.	COMMISSION REVIEW OF THE FINAL ID.....	13
III.	ANALYSIS	13
A.	Issues Under Review for the '915 Patent	14
1.	The ALJ's Claim Construction of "Electrical Energy Source" and Additional Findings in the FID.....	14
a.	Order No. 28.....	15
b.	The FID	16
2.	Analysis and Modified Construction of "Electrical Energy Source"	17
a.	Indefiniteness	18
b.	Modified Construction of "Electrical Energy Source"	21
c.	Effect of the Modified Claim Construction.....	27
B.	Issues Under Review for the '123 Patent	29
1.	Non-Obviousness of Claims 27-30.....	30
a.	The FID.....	31
b.	Analysis	33
2.	Economic Prong of the Domestic Industry Requirement	37
C.	Issues Under Review for the '238 Patent	38
IV.	REMEDY, THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AND BONDING	40
A.	Remedy.....	40
1.	Limited Exclusion Order	40

PUBLIC VERSION

a.	No Carve-Out for HeatSticks	41
b.	No Warranty and Repair Exemption is Needed.....	43
c.	A Certification Provision Should Be Included.....	45
d.	No Reporting Requirement	46
2.	Cease and Desist Orders	49
a.	Philip Morris USA.....	50
b.	ACS.....	52
c.	PMP	52
B.	Public Interest.....	53
1.	Public Health and Welfare.....	56
a.	The RD's Findings.....	56
b.	Analysis	59
2.	Production in the United States of Like or Directly Competitive Products	65
a.	The RD's Findings.....	65
b.	Analysis	66
3.	Competitive Conditions in the United States Economy	68
a.	The RD's Findings.....	68
b.	Analysis	68
4.	United States Consumers	70
a.	The RD's Findings.....	70
b.	Analysis	70
5.	No Reason to Delay the Effective Date of the LEO	75
6.	An Additional Hearing on Public Interest is Not Necessary	75
C.	Bonding	76
1.	The RD.....	77
2.	No Bond During the Period of Presidential Review	78
V.	CONCLUSION	80

PUBLIC VERSION

On July 27, 2021, the Commission determined to review in part the final initial determination (“FID”) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) on May 14, 2021. 86 Fed. Reg. 41509-11 (Aug. 2, 2021). On review, the Commission has determined that there has been a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, with respect to claims 27-30 of U.S. Patent No. 9,901,123 (“the ’123 patent”) and claims 1-3 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 9,930,915 (“the ’915 patent”). This opinion sets forth the Commission’s reasoning in support of that determination.

I. BACKGROUND

A. Procedural History

On May 15, 2020, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by RAI Strategic Holdings, Inc., R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company, and R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, all of Winston-Salem, North Carolina (collectively, “Reynolds” or “Complainants”). 85 Fed. Reg. 29482-83 (May 15, 2020). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 based upon the importation of certain tobacco heating articles and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of the ’238 patent, the ’915 patent, and the ’123 patent (collectively, “the Asserted Patents”). *Id.* The complaint also alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The notice of investigation names the following respondents: Altria Client Services LLC (“ACS”), Altria Group, Inc. (“AGI”), and Philip Morris USA, Inc. (“Philip Morris USA”), all of Richmond, Virginia; Philip Morris International Inc. (“PMI”) of New York, New York; and Philip Morris Products S.A. (“PMP”) of Neuchatel, Switzerland (collectively, “Philip Morris” or “Respondents”). *See id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party to the investigation. *See id.*

The notice of investigation instructed the presiding ALJ to make findings regarding the public interest. 85 Fed. Reg. at 29482-83.

PUBLIC VERSION

Reynolds subsequently amended the complaint and notice of investigation to add allegations of infringement of claim 3 of the '915 patent. Order No. 9 (July 29, 2020), *unreviewed by Notice* (Aug. 18, 2020); *see also* 85 Fed. Reg. 52152 (Aug. 4, 2020).

The Commission later terminated respondents AGI and PMI from the investigation based on Complainants' partial withdrawal of the complaint. *See* Order No. 24 (Dec. 14, 2020), *unreviewed by Notice* (Jan. 5, 2021).

The ALJ held a claim construction hearing on September 17, 2020. FID at 3. On January 6, 2021, the ALJ issued a *Markman* order (Order No. 28) construing certain disputed terms. Order No. 28 (Jan. 6, 2021).

On January 19, 2021, the ALJ granted in part Reynolds's motion for summary determination that it has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under section 337(a)(3)(A) and (B) with respect to the '238 and '915 patents. Order No. 35 at 5-6 (Jan. 19, 2021), *affirmed in part by* Notice (Feb. 18, 2021). On review, the Commission affirmed the grant of summary determination with respect to section 337(a)(3)(A) and provided supplemental analysis. Notice (Feb. 18, 2021). The Commission reviewed but took no position as to the finding that the economic prong was satisfied under section 337(a)(3)(B). *Id.*

Prior to the evidentiary hearing, Reynolds and Philip Morris entered into two stipulations regarding undisputed facts. JX-0011C (Stipulation Among the Private Parties to Narrow the Issues for the Evidentiary Hearing) ("Stip."); JX-0121C (Joint Stipulation Regarding Economic Domestic Industry) ("DI Stip.").

The ALJ held a prehearing conference on January 22, 2021. Hrg. Tr. 1-1603. The ALJ held an evidentiary hearing from January 25 to February 1, 2021. *Id.*

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.