

PUBLIC VERSION

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and
R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,)
)
Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,)
)
v.)
)
ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP)
MORRIS USA, INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS)
PRODUCTS S.A.,)
)
Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs.)
)

Civil No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTERCLAIM AND
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES BY PLAINTIFFS RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC.
AND R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY AND OPPOSITION TO COUNTERCLAIM
PLAINTIFFS' CROSS-MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS**

PUBLIC VERSION
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| I. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II. BACKGROUND | 2 |
| A. Reynolds Pleaded Inequitable Conduct In Good Faith..... | 2 |
| B. Reynolds Conducted Discovery On The Inequitable Conduct Claim | 3 |
| C. As A Strategic Matter, Reynolds Narrowed Its Claims And Provided Timely Notice To Altria/PMP | 4 |
| III. REPLY ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS | 6 |
| A. Dismissal, Rather Than Summary Judgment, Is The Appropriate Disposition When A Party Withdraws A Claim Or Defense Before Trial | 6 |
| B. Altria And PMP Fail To Show Any Prejudice To Justify Denying Dismissal Under Either Rule 15 Or Rule 41..... | 10 |
| C. Reynolds Has Not Acted In Bad Faith Either In Asserting Inequitable Conduct And Its Affirmative Defenses Or In Seeking To Dismiss Them | 15 |
| IV. RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS’ CROSS- MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS..... | 18 |
| A. Altria/PMP Is Not Entitled To Fees Under Rule 41 | 19 |
| B. Altria/PMP Is Not Entitled To Fees Under 28 U.S.C. § 1927 | 21 |
| C. Altria/PMP Is Not Entitled To Fees Under The Court’s Inherent Authority..... | 24 |
| V. CONCLUSION..... | 26 |

PUBLIC VERSION

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| CASES | |
| <i>Aero Prods. Int’l, Inc. v. Intex Recreation Corp.</i> , No. 02 C 2590, 2004 WL 417193 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 30, 2004) | 1 |
| <i>AeroTech, Inc. v. Estes</i> , 110 F.3d 1523 (10th Cir. 1997) | 19 |
| <i>Akira Techs., Inc. v. Conceptant, Inc.</i> , No. 1:17-cv-412, 2018 WL 10467491 (E.D. Va. Apr. 12, 2018)..... | 9, 13 |
| <i>Ali v. JH&A LLC</i> , No. H-18-0739, 2018 WL 6413152 (S.D. Tex. Sept. 5, 2018)..... | 16 |
| <i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> , 477 U.S. 242 (1986)..... | 9 |
| <i>Andes v. Versant Corp.</i> , 788 F.2d 1033 (4th Cir. 1986) | 13 |
| <i>Arcaro v. City of Anoka</i> , No. 13-cv-2772, 2014 WL 12605450 (D. Minn. Mar. 11, 2014)..... | 12 |
| <i>Bearer v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , No. 19-5415, 2021 WL 1339948 (E.D. Pa. Apr. 8, 2021)..... | 16 |
| <i>Briggs v. City of Norfolk</i> , 42 F. App’x 585 (4th Cir. 2002) | 18 |
| <i>Civix-DDI, LLC v. Cellco P’ship</i> , 387 F. Supp. 2d 869 (N.D. Ill. 2005) | 7 |
| <i>Custer v. Pan Am. Life Ins. Co.</i> , 12 F.3d 410 (4th Cir. 1993) | 8 |
| <i>EEOC v. Great Steaks, Inc.</i> , 667 F.3d 510 (4th Cir. 2012) | 21, 24 |
| <i>Egan v. Singer</i> , No. 14-00177 SOM/BM, 2014 WL 4230879 (D. Haw. Aug. 27, 2014)..... | 14 |

..

PUBLIC VERSION
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
 (continued)

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <i>Ellett Bros., Inc. v. U.S. Fid. & Guar. Co.</i> , 275 F.3d 384 (4th Cir. 2001) | 11 |
| <i>Galustian v. Peter</i> , 591 F.3d 724 (4th Cir. 2010) | 11 |
| <i>Greene v. Ab Coaster Holdings, Inc.</i> , No. 2:10-cv-38, 2012 WL 2342927 (S.D. Ohio June 20, 2012)..... | 12 |
| <i>Griggs v. Pace Am. Grp., Inc.</i> , 170 F.3d 877 (9th Cir. 1999) | 15 |
| <i>Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc.</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1923 (2016)..... | 1 |
| <i>Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest Serv.</i> , 403 F.3d 683 (9th Cir. 2005) | 11 |
| <i>Impax Labs., Inc. v. Aventis Pharm. Inc.</i> , 468 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2006)..... | 9 |
| <i>InternMatch, Inc. v. Nxtbigthing, LLC</i> , No. 14-cv-05438-JST, 2016 WL 540812 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 11, 2016) | 20 |
| <i>Johnson v. Oroweat Foods Co.</i> , 785 F.2d 503 (4th Cir. 1986) | 18 |
| <i>Kinlaw v. Nwaokocha</i> , No. 3:17-cv-772, 2019 WL 3268834 (E.D. Va. July 19, 2019)..... | 13 |
| <i>Laber v. Harvey</i> , 438 F.3d 404 (4th Cir. 2006) | 11 |
| <i>LaFleur v. Dollar Tree Stores, Inc.</i> , No. 2:12-cv-00363, 2014 WL 37662 (E.D. Va. Jan. 3, 2014)..... | 17, 25 |
| <i>Malibu Media, LLC v. Baiazid</i> , 152 F. Supp. 3d 496 (E.D. Va. 2015) | 21 |
| <i>Mechmetals Corp. v. Telex Computer Prods., Inc.</i> , 709 F.2d 1287 (9th Cir. 1983) | 11 |

...

PUBLIC VERSION
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <i>Mediavation, Inc. v. Rodgers</i> , No. 6:08-cv-1903-Orl-19KRS, 2009 WL 2766419 (M.D. Fla. Aug. 27, 2009)..... | 14 |
| <i>Miller v. Terramite Corp.</i> , 114 F. App'x 536 (4th Cir. 2004)..... | 10 |
| <i>Mitsubishi Heavy Indus. v. Gen. Elec. Co.</i> , No. 6:10-cv-812-Orl-28KRS, 2012 WL 4336208 (M.D. Fla. Sept. 21, 2012)..... | 9 |
| <i>Mulugeta v. Ademachew</i> , No. 1:17-cv-649, 2019 WL 7945712 (E.D. Va. Nov. 6, 2019) | 18, 19, 25 |
| <i>Naden v. Saga Software, Inc.</i> , 11 F. App'x 381 (4th Cir. 2001)..... | 12 |
| <i>Nat'l Satellite Sports, Inc. v. Comcast Satellite Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , No. CIV. H-00-261, 2000 WL 1717304 (D. Md. Nov. 15, 2000)..... | 19, 20 |
| <i>Nilssen v. Motorola, Inc.</i> , 203 F.3d 782 (Fed. Cir. 2000)..... | 11 |
| <i>Roger Kennedy Constr., Inc. v. Amerisure Ins. Co.</i> , No. 6:06-cv-1075-Orl-19KRS, 2007 WL 9723176 (M.D. Fla. June 21, 2007)..... | 17 |
| <i>Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Rambus Inc.</i> , 439 F. Supp. 2d 524 (E.D. Va. 2006), <i>vacated on other grounds</i> , 523 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... | 24 |
| <i>Six v. Generations Fed. Credit Union</i> , 891 F.3d 508 (4th Cir. 2018) | 25 |
| <i>Skinner v. First Am. Bank</i> , 64 F.3d 659 (4th Cir. 1995) (per curiam)..... | 10, 11, 12 |
| <i>StorageCraft Tech. Corp. v. Persistent Telecom Sols., Inc.</i> , No. 2:14-CV-76-DAK, 2016 WL 3435189 (D. Utah June 17, 2016) | 16 |
| <i>Stradtman v. Republic Servs., Inc.</i> , 121 F. Supp. 3d 578 (E.D. Va. 2015) | passim |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.