UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. AND R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A.

Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SCHEDULING ORDER SETTING IN LIMINE/DAUBERT MOTION DATES



I. INTRODUCTION

Counterclaim Plaintiffs Altria Client Services, LLC, Philip Morris USA Inc., and Philip Morris Products S.A. (collectively, "PMP/Altria") request that the Court adopt their proposed schedule for motions *in limine* and *Daubert* motions to occur in August-September 2021.

This case has been pending for 15 months, and is trial ready. The parties have now completed discovery¹ and filed their pretrial disclosures, including exhibit and witness lists. The Court held a Final Pretrial Conference in May, and set trial for April 4, 2022 (which was delayed due to a backlog created by the COVID-19 pandemic). Dkt. 657. But the Court also indicated at the Final Pretrial Conference that, if the Court's schedule opened up before April 2022 (i.e., a continuance in its criminal docket), the case could proceed to trial sooner—and potentially as early as Fall 2021.

To ensure the case is ready to proceed consistent with the Court's direction, including at an earlier date if one becomes available, the parties need to complete briefing on motions *in limine* and *Daubert* motions by early Fall 2021. PMP/Altria proposed a briefing schedule to Reynolds that would accomplish this. Reynolds, on the other hand, counter-proposed a schedule providing for completion of briefing in February 2022 that ignores the Court's statement regarding a potentially earlier trial date. PMP/Altria raise this issue in the instant motion so that the Court may determine its preferred schedule for finalizing these remaining pre-trial motions, the last issues remaining to enable the case to be tried, so that the parties can plan accordingly.

II. ARGUMENT

The only remaining dates to be scheduled in advance of trial in this case are dates for motions *in limine* and *Daubert* motions, which should be heard "at least 14 days before trial." Dkt.

¹ Subject to a handful of minor open follow-up items.



97 at 24, 26. Given that the case is otherwise trial-ready, PMP/Altria proposed to Reynolds that the parties set the schedule for motions *in limine* and *Daubert* motions to occur in August-September 2021, as set forth below. Ex. 1 (6/22/21 J. Koh email).

Event	Deadline
Exchange Motion in Limine topics	August 6 at 6 PM
Meet and confer on Motion in Limine topics	August 10 by 6 PM
Opening Motions in Limine and Daubert	August 20
Motions	
Oppositions to Motions in Limine and	September 3
Daubert Motions	
Replies to Motions in Limine and Daubert	September 10
Motions	

Reynolds rejected PMP/Altria's proposed schedule, and refused to consider anything close to it. Instead, Reynolds proposed that the parties *wait seven months to begin* briefing motions *in limine* and *Daubert* motions—in January 2022. Ex. 2 (6/25/21 J. Michalik email). Reynolds contends that there are "a number of issues" that may be resolved between now and the currently scheduled April 2022 trial that may "impact" the issues for motions *in limine* or *Daubert* motions, pointing to the pending summary judgment motions, the ongoing ITC proceedings on Reynolds' patents, and Reynolds' pending *inter partes* review (IPR) petitions (in which an institution decision is expected September-November 2021).

None of Reynolds' excuses for still further delay of this case warrant deferring *in limine* or *Daubert* motion briefing (or rulings).² This Court routinely conducts such pre-trial briefing while summary judgment motions are under advisement. The ongoing ITC proceedings are relevant, if at all, solely to injunctive remedy that will be taken up post-verdict and thus have no bearing on *in*

² The Court will recall that Reynolds' attempts to avoid the completion of depositions and fact discovery were the subject of three separate motions. Dkts. 615, 649, 711. In rejecting the last of those three motions, the Court stated that "[t]ime is of the essence" and that "further delay" was not warranted. Dkt. 743.



limine and *Daubert* motions. And any institution decisions in the pending IPRs are irrelevant to the *in limine* and *Daubert* motions; the petitions themselves are absent from Reynolds' exhibit list and are not the subject of any expert reports/testimony.

There is no reason to drag out completion of the pretrial record pertaining to Reynolds' infringement of PMP/Altria's five asserted patents.

III. CONCLUSION

PMP/Altria respectfully request that the Court enter a schedule that requires motions *in limine* and *Daubert* motion briefing to proceed in August-September 2021 in order to permit trial in Fall 2021 should such earlier trial date become available.

Dated: July 2, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Maximilian A. Grant
Maximilian A. Grant (VSB No. 91792)
(max.grant@lw.com)
Lawrence J. Gotts (VSB No. 25337)
lawrence.gotts@lw.com
Matthew J. Moore (pro hac vice)
matthew.moore@lw.com
Jamie Underwood (pro hac vice)
jamie.underwood@lw.com
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 637-2200

Clement J. Naples (pro hac vice) clement.naples@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 885 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022-4834 Tel: (212) 906-1200; Fax: (212) 751-4864

Gregory J. Sobolski (pro hac vice) greg.sobolski@lw.com

Facsimile: (202) 637-2201



LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 391-0600 Facsimile: (415) 395-8095

Brenda L. Danek (*pro hac vice*) brenda.danek@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 330 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60611 Tel: (312) 876-7700; Fax: (312) 993-9767

Counsel for Defendants-Counterclaim Plaintiffs Altria Client Services LLC, Philip Morris USA Inc., and Philip Morris Products S.A.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

