

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and )  
R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY, )  
 )  
Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants, )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP )  
MORRIS USA, INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS )  
PRODUCTS S.A., )  
 )  
Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Civil No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
BY PLAINTIFFS RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. AND  
R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
I. THE '374 PATENT IS INVALID AS ANTICIPATED UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)(1) .....	1
A. A Reasonable Jury Could Not Conclude That The '374 Patent Is Entitled To The June 2010 Priority Date Of The '949 PCT Application.....	1
B. There Is No Genuine Dispute That The VUSE Solo Anticipates The As-asserted Claims Of The '374 Patent.....	6
II. DEFENDANTS CANNOT SHOW THAT ANY OF THE VUSE ALTO, SOLO G1, VIBE, OR CIRO PRODUCTS INFRINGE THE '911 PATENT .....	7
A. Reynolds Is Entitled To Summary Judgment On The Claim That The Solo G1, Vibe, Ciro, And Alto Products Literally Infringe The '911 Patent.....	7
B. The Vuse Alto Does Not Literally Infringe The '911 Patent.....	8
C. Defendants' Arguments Against Prosecution History Estoppel Fail.....	12
1. Defendants Omit And Misstate The Relevant Legal Standards .....	12
2. Defendants Cannot Assert Infringement Under The Doctrine Of Equivalents As To The Solo G1, Vibe, And Ciro.....	14
3. Defendants Cannot Assert Infringement Under The Doctrine Of Equivalents As To The Alto .....	16
III. DEFENDANTS CANNOT SHOW WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT .....	17
CONCLUSION.....	20

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Abbott Labs. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	17
<i>Allergan Sales, LLC v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 935 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	3
<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> , 477 U.S. 242 (1986).....	11
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prods. Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	19
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prods. Inc.</i> , 198 F. Supp. 3d 1343 (S.D. Fla. 2016).....	19
<i>Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Eli Lilly &amp; Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (en banc).....	6
<i>Audio MPEG, Inc. v. Dell, Inc.</i> , No. 2:15cv73, ECF No. 940 (E.D. Va. Nov. 16, 2017).....	19, 20
<i>Aylus Networks, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 856 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	11
<i>Bai v. L &amp; L Wings, Inc.</i> , 160 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	13
<i>Bayer Healthcare LLC v. Baxalta Inc.</i> , 989 F.3d 964 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....	19
<i>Boston Scientific Corp. v. Johnson &amp; Johnson</i> , 647 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	5
<i>Bouchat v. Baltimore Ravens Football Club, Inc.</i> , 346 F.3d 514 (4th Cir. 2003) .....	7
<i>DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.</i> , 567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	13

..

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
<i>Detrick v. Panalpina, Inc.</i> , 108 F.3d 529 (4th Cir. 1997) .....	11
<i>Diamond Grading Techs. Inc. v. Am. Gem Soc’y</i> , No. 2:14-cv-1161-RWS-RSP, 2016 WL 3902482 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 30, 2016).....	18
<i>Eon Corp. IP Holdings LLC v. Silver Spring Networks, Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	12
<i>Felix v. Am. Honda Motor Co.</i> , 562 F.3d 1167 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	15
<i>Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co.</i> , 344 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	12, 13, 14, 15
<i>Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co.</i> , 535 U.S. 722 (2002).....	15
<i>Frans Nooren Afdichtingssystemen B.V. v. Stopaq Amcorr Inc.</i> , 744 F.3d 715 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	3
<i>Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc.</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1923 (2016).....	18, 19, 20
<i>Honeywell Int’l, Inc. v. Hamilton Sundstrand Corp.</i> , 523 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	15
<i>i4i Ltd. P’ship v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 598 F.3d 831 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	20
<i>Jack Guttman, Inc. v. Kopykake Enters., Inc.</i> , 302 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	10
<i>Kinlaw v. Nwaokocha</i> , No. 3:17-cv-772, 2019 WL 3268834 (E.D. Va. July 19, 2019).....	7, 8
<i>Litton Sys., Inc. v. Whirlpool Corp.</i> , 728 F.2d 1423 (Fed. Cir. 1984).....	6
<i>Lockwood v. Am. Airlines, Inc.</i> , 107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	2, 4, 5

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
<i>MedIdea, L.L.C. v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc.</i> , 422 F. Supp. 3d 459 (D. Mass. 2019) .....	10
<i>Omega Eng'g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	10
<i>PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> , 522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	1, 2, 4, 5
<i>Rivera v. U.S.I.T.C.</i> , 857 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	3
<i>Serio-US Indus., Inc. v. Plastic Recovery Techs. Corp.</i> , 459 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	10
<i>Split Pivot, Inc. v. Trek Bicycle Corp.</i> , 987 F. Supp. 2d 838 (W.D. Wis. 2013) .....	13
<i>State Indus. v. A.O. Smith Corp.</i> , 751 F.2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1985).....	17
<i>Stored Value Sols., Inc. v. Card Activation Techs., Inc.</i> , 499 F. App'x 5 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	10
<i>TecSec, Inc. v. Adobe Inc.</i> , No. 1:10-cv-115, 2019 WL 1233882 (E.D. Va. Mar. 14, 2019) (O'Grady, J.) .....	18
<i>Telemac Cellular Corp. v. Topp Telecom, Inc.</i> , 247 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	12
<i>Texas Instruments Inc. v. Cypress Semiconductor Corp.</i> , 90 F.3d 1558 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	16
<i>Univ. of Va. Patent Found. v. Gen. Elec. Co.</i> , 755 F. Supp. 2d 709 (W.D. Va. 2010) .....	15, 17
<i>Vanmoor v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</i> , 201 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	7
<i>Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.</i> , 581 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.