

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. AND R.J.
REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY

Plaintiffs-Counterclaim
Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP
MORRIS USA INC.; PHILIP MORRIS
PRODUCTS S.A.

Defendants-Counterclaim
Plaintiffs.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB



**COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS
OPPOSITION TO RJR'S PARTIAL MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NO SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY OF THE '374 PATENT

I. COUNTERSTATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS.....1

II. LEGAL STANDARD.....3

III. ARGUMENT4

A. The Asserted Claims Of The '374 Patent Are Entitled To The June 29, 2010 Priority Date Of Their Parent Application.....4

B. RJR Has Not Met Its Burden Of Showing That The VUSE Solo Anticipates The Asserted Claims Of The '374 Patent10

NO SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '911 PATENT

I. COUNTERSTATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS.....11

A. Material Facts That RJR Omits.....11

2. PMP/Altria's Expert Opinions On Infringement12

3. RJR's Expert Opinions12

B. PMP/Altria's Responses To RJR's Statement Of Undisputed Facts13

II. LEGAL STANDARDS15

III. NO SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT17

A. Summary Judgment Of No Literal Infringement By The Vuse Solo G1, Vibe, And Ciro Is Moot17

B. There Are Triable Factual Issues Regarding Literal Infringement By The Vuse Alto18

1. The Court Previously Rejected RJR's Disclaimer Argument.....18

2. There Are Technical Factual Disputes Between The Experts19

IV. NO SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO INFRINGEMENT UNDER DOE.....20

A. There Are Factual Disputes Related To The Vuse Solo G1, Vibe, And Ciro20

1. Prosecution History Estoppel Does Not Apply21

2. Vitiation Does Not Apply22

B. No Summary Judgment Of No Infringement Under DOE For the Vuse Alto23

1. Dr. Abraham Only Offers An Opinion That The VUSE Alto Literally Meets The Numerical Range Limitation.....23

2. Prosecution History Estoppel Does Not Apply23

3. Dr. Abraham’s DOE Opinions Are Not Conclusory24

NO SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

I. COUNTERSTATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS.....25

II. LEGAL STANDARD.....27

III. THERE ARE GENUINE DISPUTES OF MATERIAL FACT ABOUT RJR’S WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT OF THE ’545, ’911, AND ’265 PATENTS28

CONCLUSION.....30

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Rec. Prods. Inc.,
876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 29

Audio MPEG, Inc. v. Dell, Inc.,
No. 2:15-cv-73 Opinion and Order (E.D. Va. Nov. 16, 2017)..... 28, 29

Bradford Co. v. Conteyor N. Am., Inc.,
603 F.3d 1262 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 3

Charles Mach. Works, Inc. v. Vermeer Mfg. Co.,
723 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 17

Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic AVE, Inc.,
339 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 8, 24

Crown Packaging Tech., Inc. v. Rexam Beverage Can Co.,
559 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 16

Eli Lilly & Co. v. Hospira, Inc.,
933 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019) 15

Exergen Corp. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.,
575 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 18

Falkner v. Inglis,
448 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 4

Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co.,
344 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 16, 17, 21

Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.,
No. 13-cv-03999, 2015 WL 7351450 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 20, 2015)..... 17, 21

Galderma Labs., L.P. v. Amneal Pharm. LLC,
806 F. App'x 1007 (Fed. Cir. 2020) 16, 22

Graver Tank & Mfg. Co. v. Linde Air Prods. Co.,
339 U.S. 605 (1950) 16

Hologic, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.,
884 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2018) 4, 5, 7, 8

...

Hutchins v. Zoll Med. Corp.,
492 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 15

i4i Ltd. P’ship v. Microsoft Corp.,
598 F.3d 831 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 28, 29

Imagexpo, L.L.C. v. Microsoft Corp.,
281 F. Supp. 2d 846 (E.D. Va. 2003) 20, 22

Intendis GmbH v. Glenmark Pharm. Inc., USA,
822 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 16, 17

Jeneric/Pentron, Inc. v. Dillon Co.,
171 F. Supp. 2d 49 (D. Conn. 2001) 23

Kinlaw v. Nwaokocha,
No. 3:17-cv-772, 2019 WL 3268834 (E.D. Va. July 19, 2019) 18

Koito Mfg. Co. v. Turn-Key-Tech, LLC,
381 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 5

McGinley v. Luv N’ Care Ltd.,
819 F. App’x 913 (Fed. Cir. 2020) 6

Mentor Graphics Corp. v. EVE-USA, Inc.,
851 F.3d 1275 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 6

PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.,
522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 9, 10

Pozen Inc. v. Par Pharm., Inc.,
696 F.3d 1151 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 4

Tech. Licensing Corp. v. Videotek, Inc.,
545 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 3

Tobinick v. Olmarker,
753 F.3d 1220 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 8

UCB, Inc. v. Watson Labs. Inc.,
927 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2019) 17

Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.,
581 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 19

WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co.,
829 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.