

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. AND R.J.
REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY

Plaintiffs and
Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP
MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS
PRODUCTS S.A.

Defendants and
Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

REDACTED

COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL DEPOSITION DATES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
A. Reynolds Refuses To Provide An Alternate Date For Mr. Kodama's Deposition.....	2
B. Reynolds Refuses To Provide A Date For REDACTED Deposition.....	2
III. ARGUMENT.....	4
A. Reynolds Must Provide An Alternate Deposition Date for Mr. Kodama's Deposition.....	4
B. Reynolds Must Provide A Date For REDACTED Deposition.....	5
IV. CONCLUSION.....	6

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Genentech, Inc. v. Trustees of Univ. of Pa.,</i> No. 10-cv-2037, 2011 WL 7074208 (N.D. Cal. June 10, 2011)	5
--	---

I. INTRODUCTION

This case has been pending for 13 months, and the Final Pretrial Conference will take place next week, on May 21. Throughout this case, Counterclaim Defendants (“Reynolds”) have stalled, delayed, and frustrated the Court’s schedule and needlessly complicated the parties’ trial preparations.

First, Reynolds has refused to move the deposition date for its technical expert on two of the asserted patents, Mr. Kelly Kodama, in order to accommodate the attorney who is taking it and became seriously ill. This issue should be straightforward. PMP/Altria informed Reynolds that the attorney with principal responsibility for the patents at issue and Mr. Kodama’s deposition unexpectedly developed a serious illness. This illness prevents him from taking the deposition on May 17, or facilitating another attorney’s ability to take the deposition. Reynolds refused to make Mr. Kodama available on any other date. PMP/Altria requests that the Court order Reynolds to provide an alternate date for Mr. Kodama’s deposition.

Second, Counterclaim Plaintiffs (“PMP/Altria”) have already been forced to compel Reynolds to provide basic discovery, including source code, technical documents, and depositions. Yet, Reynolds continues its pattern of delay tactics, and now refuses to provide dates for its remaining fact depositions—some of which have been pending for **seven months**. Reynolds has refused to provide (i) a date for the personal deposition of Reynolds’ witness REDACTED, and (ii) dates for PMP/Altria’s 30(b)(6) Topics 22, and 79-96. The Court recently ordered that any remaining fact depositions “will be done closely within” the date of the Final Pretrial Conference, May 21. Ex. 1 (4/16/2021 Transcript) at 12. The Court should compel Reynolds to provide dates for the requested depositions before the end of May.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Reynolds Refuses To Provide An Alternate Date For Mr. Kodama's Deposition

The parties initially agreed that Mr. Kodama's deposition would take place on May 10. On May 7, PMP/Altria notified Reynolds that the attorney scheduled to take Mr. Kodama's deposition had fallen seriously ill and requested that the deposition be rescheduled. On Wednesday evening, May 12, Reynolds informed PMP/Altria that Mr. Kodama was available for deposition on May 17. PMP/Altria's counsel called Reynolds' counsel the next day, informing counsel that the attorney's medical condition unfortunately remained serious and ongoing, which prevented him from taking the deposition or facilitating another attorney's ability to take the deposition. PMP/Altria asked whether Mr. Kodama could be made available the week of May 24. Reynolds responded on May 14, refusing to provide an alternate date and stating that Mr. Kodama would appear on May 17 only and would not be made available for deposition again. Ex. 2 (5/14/2021 N. Smith email).

B. Reynolds Refuses To Provide A Date For REDACTED Deposition

On October 20, 2020, PMP/Altria served a 30(b)(6) notice on Reynolds, which included numerous topics pertaining to PMP/Altria's counterclaims. Ex. 3 (10/20/20 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice to Reynolds). One of the noticed topics was Topic 22, which seeks the facts and circumstances relating to

REDACTED

. *Id.* at 10. Reynolds did not provide a witness on Topic 22 before the Court stayed the case on December 4, 2020. Dkts. 426, 432.

When the Court lifted the stay on PMP/Altria's Counterclaim Patents only on February 16, 2021 (Dkt. 456), PMP/Altria promptly sought a new deposition date for Reynolds' designee on

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.