

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and
R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP
MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS
PRODUCTS S.A.

Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-393

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SEAL

This matter is before the Court on the motion filed by Plaintiffs RAI Strategic Holdings, Inc., and R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) to file Plaintiffs’ Memorandum and accompanying Exhibits B–E, G–J, and L–O in Support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants’ ’374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim under seal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2(d) and Local Civil Rule 5(C). Because the documents that Plaintiffs seek to seal contain confidential, proprietary, and competitively sensitive business information of Defendants Altria Client Services LLC (“ACS”), Philip Morris USA Inc. (“PM USA”), and Philip Morris Products S.A. (“PMP”) (collectively, “Defendants”) and/or third parties, Defendants filed a memorandum in support of Plaintiffs’ sealing request.

Before this Court may seal documents, it must: “(1) provide public notice of the request to seal and allow interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object, (2) consider less drastic

alternatives to sealing the documents, and (3) provide specific reasons and factual findings supporting its decision to seal the documents and for rejecting the alternatives.” *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000) (internal citations omitted). Upon consideration of Plaintiffs’ motion to seal and its memorandum in support thereof, the Court hereby **FINDS** as follows:

1. The public has received notice of the request to seal and has had reasonable opportunity to object. Plaintiffs’ sealing motion was publicly docketed in accordance with Local Civil Rule 5. Defendants have filed a memorandum in support of sealing. The “public has had ample opportunity to object” to Plaintiffs’ motion and, since “the Court has received no objections,” the first requirement under *Ashcraft*, 218 F.3d at 302, has been satisfied. *GTSI Corp. v. Wildflower Int’l, Inc.*, No. 1:09-cv-123-JCC, 2009 WL 1248114, at *9 (E.D. Va. Apr. 30, 2009); *U.S. ex rel Carter v. Halliburton Co.*, No. 1:10-cv-864-JCC/TCB, 2011 WL 2077799, at *3 (E.D. Va. May 24, 2011) (“[T]he parties provided public notice of the request to seal that allowed interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object—nearly two weeks.”).

2. Plaintiffs seek to seal and redact from the public record only information designated by the parties as confidential. Plaintiffs have filed publicly a redacted version of Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants’ ’374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim, in addition to a sealed version, and have redacted only those limited portions it seeks to seal. This selective and narrow protection of confidential material constitutes the least drastic method of shielding the information at issue. *Adams v. Object Innovation, Inc.*, No. 3:11-cv-272-REP-DWS, 2011 WL 7042224, at *4 (E.D. Va. Dec. 5, 2011) (The “proposal to redact only the proprietary and confidential information, rather than seal the entirety of his declaration, constitutes the least

drastic method of shielding the information at issue.”). The public has no legitimate interest in information that is confidential to Plaintiffs, Defendants, and/or third parties. *Id.* at *4. The information that Plaintiffs seek to seal includes confidential, proprietary, and competitively sensitive business information of Plaintiffs, Defendants, and/or third parties, each of which could face harm if such information were to be released publicly. Specifically, the sensitive information that Plaintiffs move for leave to file under seal, and to redact from a publicly filed version, includes materials from Plaintiffs, Defendants, and/or third parties, such as confidential business information falling under the protective order.

3. There is support for filing portions of Plaintiffs’ Memorandum and accompanying Exhibits B–E, G–J, and L–O in Support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants’ ’374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim under seal, with a publicly filed version containing strictly limited redactions. Plaintiffs’ Memorandum and accompanying Exhibits B–E, G–J, and L–O in Support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants’ ’374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim contains material that falls within the scope of the stipulated protective order. Placing these materials under seal is proper because the public’s interest in access is outweighed by a party’s interest in “preserving confidentiality” of the limited amount of confidential information that is “normally unavailable to the public.” *Flexible Benefits Council v. Feltman*, No. 1:08-cv-00371-JCC, 2008 WL 4924711, at *1 (E.D. Va. Nov. 13, 2008); *U.S. ex rel. Carter*, 2011 WL 2077799, at *3.

Therefore, based on the findings above, for good cause shown, it is hereby

ORDERED that the motion is **GRANTED**, and Plaintiffs are granted leave to file a **REDACTED** version of their Memorandum in Support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants’ ’374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim.

And to file **UNDER SEAL** an un-redacted version of Plaintiffs' Memorandum and accompanying Exhibits B–E, G–J, and L–O in Support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants' '374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim.

And **FURTHER ORDERED** that the un-redacted version of Plaintiffs' Memorandum and accompanying Exhibits B–E, G–J, and L–O in Support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants' '374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim shall remain **SEALED** until further order of the Court.

ENTERED this ____ day of _____, 2021.

Alexandria, Virginia
