

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and  
R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP  
MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS  
PRODUCTS S.A.,

Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SEAL PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS AND EXHIBIT A IN  
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSIVE DOCUMENT  
RELATED TO DEFENDANTS' '374 PATENT INFRINGEMENT COUNTERCLAIM**

Pursuant to Rule 5.2(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 5(C) of the Local Civil Rules, Plaintiffs RAI Strategic Holdings, Inc., and R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) respectfully submit this memorandum in support of their Motion to Seal. The proposed sealed material includes confidential, proprietary, and competitively sensitive business information of Plaintiffs, Defendants, and/or third parties and falls within the scope of the Stipulated Protective Order. (Dkt. 103.) These confidential materials should remain under seal.

### I. LEGAL STANDARD

Local Civil Rule 5 requires that, when a party moves to file material under seal, the party must file contemporaneously with the material for which sealing is requested a motion for leave to file under seal, a non-confidential supporting memorandum, and a separate non-confidential notice that specifically identifies the motion as a sealing motion, and a non-confidential proposed order that recites the findings required by governing case law to support the propose sealing. The non-confidential memorandum must include:

- (1) A non-confidential description of what material has been filed under seal;
- (2) A statement why sealing is necessary, and why another procedure will not suffice, as well as appropriate evidentiary support for the sealing request;
- (3) References to the governing case law, an analysis of the appropriate standard to be applied for that specific filing, and a description of how that standard has been satisfied;
- (4) Unless permanent sealing is sought, a statement as to the period of time the party seeks to have the matter maintained under seal and how the matter is to be handled upon unsealing.

Loc. R. Civ. P. 5(C).

“[T]he right [of the public] to inspect and copy judicial records is not absolute.” *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978). Access to court records has been denied where “court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes.” *Id.* In particular, a corporation’s “strong interest in preserving the confidentiality of its proprietary and trade-secret information ...

may justify partial sealing of court records.” *Doe v. Pub. Citizen*, 749 F.3d 246, 269 (4th Cir. 2014). As set forth in the Fourth Circuit’s decision in *Ashcraft v. Connoco, Inc.*, a court has the authority to seal court documents “if the public’s right of access is outweighed by competing interests.” 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000). Before granting a motion to seal, a court must consider the following: “(1) provide public notice of the request to seal and allow interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object, (2) consider less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents, and (3) provide specific reasons and factual findings supporting its decision to seal the documents and for rejecting the alternatives.” *Id.*; *Adams v. Object Innovation, Inc.*, No. 11-cv-00272-REP-DWD, 2011 WL 7042224, at \*4 (E.D. Va. Dec. 5, 2011), *report and recommendation adopted*, 2012 WL 135428 (E.D. Va. Jan. 17, 2012). All three requirements are met here.

The materials that Plaintiffs move for leave to seal include highly confidential and proprietary business information of the Plaintiffs, Defendants, and/or third parties and should be kept under seal permanently for the reasons described below.

## II. DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

Plaintiffs seek leave to file under seal an un-redacted version of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Materials and accompanying Exhibit A in support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants’ ’374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim. Specifically, the sensitive information that Plaintiffs move for leave to file under seal, and to redact from a publicly filed version, includes proprietary and commercially sensitive materials from Plaintiffs, Defendants, and/or third parties, such as details regarding Defendants’ suppliers.

### III. ARGUMENT

#### A. THE PUBLIC HAS HAD AMPLE NOTICE.

The public has received notice of the request to seal and has had reasonable opportunity to object. Plaintiffs' sealing motion was publicly docketed in accordance with Local Civil Rule 5. Defendants will have an opportunity to respond and, once the "public has had ample opportunity to object" to Plaintiffs' motion and "the Court has received no objections," the first requirement under *Ashcraft*, 218 F.3d at 302, may be deemed satisfied. *GTSI Corp. v. Wildflower Int'l, Inc.*, No. 1:09-cv-123-JCC, 2009 WL 1248114, at \*9 (E.D. Va. Apr. 30, 2009); *U.S. ex rel Carter v. Halliburton Co.*, No. 1:10-cv-864-JCC/TCB, 2011 WL 2077799, at \*3 (E.D. Va. May 24, 2011) ("[T]he parties provided public notice of the request to seal that allowed interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object—nearly two weeks.").

#### B. PLAINTIFFS HAVE SOUGHT THE LEAST DRASTIC MEASURES.

Plaintiffs seek to seal and redact from the public record only information that the parties must keep confidential pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order. (Dkt. 103.) Plaintiffs have filed publicly a redacted version of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Materials and accompanying Exhibit A in support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants' '374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim, in addition to a sealed version, and have redacted only those limited portions it seeks to seal. This selective and narrow protection of confidential material constitutes the least drastic method of shielding the information at issue. *Adams*, 2011 WL 7042224, at \*4 (The "proposal to redact only the proprietary and confidential information, rather than seal the entirety of his declaration, constitutes the least drastic method of shielding the information at issue."). The information that Plaintiffs seek to seal includes confidential, proprietary, and competitively sensitive business information of Plaintiffs, Defendants, and/or third parties, each of which could face harm if such information were to be

released publicly. The public has no legitimate interest in information that is confidential to Plaintiffs, Defendants, and/or third parties. *Id.* at \*4. No procedure other than filing this information under seal is sufficient to preserve the confidential and sensitive nature of the information.

**C. THE MATERIALS ARE HIGHLY SENSITIVE AND CONFIDENTIAL.**

There is support for filing portions of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Materials and accompanying Exhibit A in support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants' '374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim under seal, with a publicly filed version containing strictly limited redactions. Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Materials and accompanying Exhibit A in support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants' '374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim contains material that falls within the scope of the Stipulated Protective Order. (Dkt. 103.) Placing these materials under seal is proper because the public's interest in access is outweighed by a party's interest in "preserving confidentiality" of the limited amount of confidential information that is "normally unavailable to the public." *Flexible Benefits Council v. Feltman*, No. 1:08-cv-00371-JCC, 2008 WL 4924711, at \*1 (E.D. Va. Nov. 13, 2008); *U.S. ex rel. Carter*, 2011 WL 2077799, at \*3. As noted, the portions of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Materials and accompanying Exhibit A in support of their Second Motion to Compel Responsive Documents Related to Defendants' '374 Patent Infringement Counterclaim that are redacted concern confidential information of Plaintiffs, Defendants, and/or third parties.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request that that the Court grant this Motion and enter the proposed Order.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.