Exhibit 2

Filed on behalf of: Philip Morris Products, S.A.

Entered: December 15, 2020

UNITED STATE	ES PATENT AN	D TRADEMA	ARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE	PATENT TRIAI	L AND APPE	AL BOARD
_			

PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS, S.A., Petitioner,

v.

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, Inc., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-00921 Patent 9,814,268

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR REHEARING OF DECISION DENYING INSTITUTION

IPR2020-00921 (USP 9,814,268)

Petitioner's Request for Rehearing

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction			
	A. B.	BackgroundLegal Standard		
II.	The	The Board Should Vacate Its Previous Decision and Institute Review6		
	A.	This IPR Should Be Instituted In View of the Court's Stay	6	
		1. The Court's Stay and Suspension of Trials Moot Any <i>NHK/Fintiv</i> Issues	8	
		2. All of the <i>Fintiv</i> Factors Favor Institution	9	
	В.	This IPR Should Be Instituted Because An Early Trial Date Is Not Dispositive	12	
Ш.	Cond	clusion	15	



IPR2020-00921 (USP 9,814,268)

Petitioner's Request for Rehearing

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s) **CASES** Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (Mar. 20, 2020)passim Apple Inc. v. Maxell, Ltd., IPR2020-00204, Paper 11 (June 19, 2020)......13 Apple Inc. v. Seven Networks, IPR2020-00506, Paper 11 (Sept. 1, 2020)......13 Bushnell Hawthorne, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc., No. 1-18-cv-760 (E.D. Va. Apr. 22, 2019)......4 Consol. Bearings Co. v. United States, 348 F.3d 997 (Fed. Cir. 2003)6 Cuozzo Speed Techs. v. Lee, Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp., In re Gartside. 203 F.3d 1305 (Fed. Cir. 2000)5 Google v. Parus Holdings, Google v. Personalized Media Commc'ns, IPR2020-00719, Paper 16 (Aug. 31, 2020)......3 Henry v. INS, Ingenico Inc. v. Ioengine, LLC, IPR2019-00929, Paper 16 (Sept. 26, 2019)......8 Precision Planting, LLC v. Deere & Co., IPR2019-01052, Paper 19 (Jan. 7, 2020)......8



Case 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB Document 450-2 Filed 01/27/21 Page 5 of 27 PageID# 10085

IPR2020-00921 (USP 9,814,268)	Petitioner's Request for Rehearing
Sand Revolution II v. Cont'l Intermodal Group-IPR2019-01393, Paper 24 (June 16, 2020)	0,
SAS Inst. Inc. v. Iancu, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)	14
STATUTES	S
35 U.S.C.	
§ 315(b)	15
§ 315(e)(2)	7, 11
§ 315(e)(2) § 317(b)	14
REGULATIO	NS

§ 42.71(c)5 § 42.71(d)......5



37 C.F.R.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

