

EXHIBIT 71

No. 22-1227

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A., PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC.,
ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC,

Appellants

v.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Appellee

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC., R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR
COMPANY, AND R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY,

Intervenors

Appeal from the United States International Trade Commission
in Investigation No. 337-TA-1199

**CORRECTED NON-CONFIDENTIAL
RESPONSE BRIEF FOR INTERVENORS**

Gregory A. Castanias
Amelia A. DeGory
JONES DAY
51 Louisiana Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20001-2113
Telephone: (202) 879-3939
Facsimile: (202) 626-1700

David M. Maiorana
Ryan B. McCrum
Susan M. Gerber
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114-1190
Telephone: (216) 586-3939
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212

Anthony M. Insogna
JONES DAY
4655 Executive Drive, Suite 1500
San Diego, CA 92121-3134
Telephone: (858) 314-1200
Facsimile: (844) 345-3178

Counsel for Intervenors

essentially a private FDCA enforcement action, which is prohibited. 923 F.3d at 962, 968. Here, Reynolds did not sue for violations of the FDCA; it sued to enforce its patent rights. The Commission properly investigated that complaint and remedied the wrong.

Second, PM says the Commission made *de facto* determinations that all e-cigarettes are purportedly substitutable for IQOS. Br.66. It did no such thing. There are many e-cigarettes available to consumers under FDA’s current enforcement policy. All of those products are substitutes for IQOS under Commission precedent; that is enough to address the statutory public-interest factors. Appx68-69. And FDA’s premarket authorizations for Reynolds’s tobacco-flavored Solo and Vibe products (in addition to other products, such as Logic and NJOY) further show the numerous available substitutes for IQOS.

<https://www.fda.gov/tobacco-products/premarket-tobacco-product-applications/premarket-tobacco-product-marketing-granted-orders>.

IV. THE COMMISSION APPROPRIATELY CONSULTED WITH OTHER AGENCIES

PM leads its brief with a never-before-made argument—that the Commission violated Section 337(b)(2) by failing to consult with HHS. In addition to being forfeited and waived, this new argument fails on its merits.

CONCLUSION

The Commission’s final determination and orders should be affirmed.

Dated: June 17, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gregory A. Castanias

David M. Maiorana
Ryan B. McCrum
Susan M. Gerber
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114-1190
Telephone: (216) 586-3939
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212

Gregory A. Castanias
Amelia A. DeGory
JONES DAY
51 Louisiana Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20001-2113
Telephone: (202) 879-3939
Facsimile: (202) 626-1700

Anthony M. Insogna
JONES DAY
4655 Executive Drive, Suite 1500
San Diego, CA 92121-3134
Telephone: (858) 314-1200
Facsimile: (858) 345-3178

Attorneys for Intervenors