

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LMB-WEF

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED



**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF PHILIP MORRIS' MOTION FOR A PERMANENT
INJUNCTION OR, ALTERNATIVELY, AN ONGOING ROYALTY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. The Court Should Enjoin Reynolds’ Infringement.....	1
A. Philip Morris Will Continue To Suffer Irreparable Harm	1
1. Key Indisputable Facts Show Irreparable Harm To Philip Morris	1
2. Even Prior To The (Re)Entry Of IQOS Products Into The U.S. Market, The Irreparable Harm To Philip Morris Is Concrete.....	3
3. Irreparable Harm To Philip Morris Will Continue Upon (Re)Entry of IQOS Products Into The U.S. Market.....	5
4. A Strong Nexus Exists Between The Patented Technology And Demand For Reynolds’ Infringing Products.....	7
B. Philip Morris Has No Adequate Remedy At Law	8
C. The Balance Of Hardships Favors A Permanent Injunction.....	8
D. A Permanent Injunction Serves The Public Interest	9
II. Alternatively, The Court Should Order An Ongoing Royalty	11
A. The Ongoing Royalty Rate For The ’265 Patent Should Be 33.5%	11
1. The Circumstances Have Fundamentally Changed	11
2. A [REDACTED] Baseline Royalty For The ’265 Patent Is Warranted.....	15
3. A 2.5% Baseline Ongoing Royalty For The ’911 Patent Is Warranted.....	17
B. The Ongoing Royalty Rates Should Be Enhanced By 50%	17
C. The Pre-Verdict And Post-Verdict Rates Should Reflect Different Realities.....	19
III. CONCLUSION.....	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**CASES**

<i>ABS Global, Inc. v. Inguran, LLC</i> , No. 14-cv-503, 2020 WL 2405380 (W.D. Wis. May 12, 2020)	19
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	13, 16, 20
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 827 F. Supp. 2d 641 (E.D. Va. 2011)	18
<i>Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 551 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	9
<i>Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. BMW N. Am., LLC</i> , 783 F. Supp. 2d 891 (E.D. Tex. 2011)	17, 18
<i>Alfred E. Mann Found. for Sci. Rsch. v. Cochlear Corp.</i> , No. 07-cv-8108, 2018 WL 6190604 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2018)	18
<i>Amado v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 517 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	18, 19
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 12-cv-630, 2014 WL 6687122 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 25, 2014)	11
<i>Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. v. W.L. Gore & Assocs., Inc.</i> , 670 F.3d 1171 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	12
<i>Cioffi v. Google, Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-103, 2017 WL 4011143 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 12, 2017)	17
<i>Douglas Dynamics, LLC v. Buyers Prod. Co.</i> , 76 F. Supp. 3d 806 (W.D. Wis. 2014)	19
<i>Douglas Dynamics, LLC v. Buyers Prods. Co.</i> , 717 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	3, 5, 8
<i>Eko Brands, LLC v. Adrian Rivera Maynez Enters., Inc.</i> , 946 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	18
<i>ePlus, Inc. v. Lawson Software, Inc.</i> , No. 09-cv-620, 2011 WL 2119410 (E.D. Va. May 23, 2011)	6
<i>Fresenius Med. Care Holdings Inc. v. Baxter Int'l, Inc.</i> , No. 03-cv-1431, 2008 WL 928496 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 4, 2008)	9

I/P Engine, Inc. v. AOL Inc.,
 No. 11-cv-512, 2014 WL 309245 (E.D. Va. Jan. 28, 2014)..... 11, 16, 17, 18

i4i Ltd. P’ship v. Microsoft Corp.,
 598 F.3d 831 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 3, 8

i4i Ltd. P’ship v. Microsoft Corp.,
 670 F. Supp. 2d 568 (E.D. Tex. 2009) 6

Inventio AG v. Otis Elevator Co.,
 No. 06-cv-5377, 2011 WL 3480946 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 4, 2011) 9

Joyal Prods., Inc. v. Johnson Elec. N. Am., Inc.,
 No. 04-cv-5172, 2009 WL 512156 (D.N.J. Feb. 27, 2009)..... 14, 16, 19

Lucent Techs., Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.,
 580 F.3d 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 13

Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano,
 563 U.S. 27 (2011) 4

Mondis Tech. Ltd. v. Chimei InnoLux Corp.,
 822 F. Supp. 2d 639 (E.D. Tex. 2011) 13, 18

Mytee Prod., Inc. v. Harris Rsch., Inc.,
 439 F. App’x 882 (Fed. Cir. 2011)..... 6

Presidio Components, Inc. v. Am. Tech. Ceramics Corp.,
 702 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 2, 6

ResQNet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.,
 594 F.3d 860 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 16

Robert Bosch LLC v. Pylon Mfg. Corp.,
 659 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2011) 6

S. States Rack & Fixture, Inc. v. Sherwin-Williams Co.,
 318 F.3d 592 (4th Cir. 2003)..... 14

XY, LLC v. Trans Ova Genetics, L.C.,
 890 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2018) 13, 14, 16, 20

RULES

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) 14

Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1)..... 14

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

The following abbreviations are used in this reply brief.

Parties

Abbreviation	Term
Philip Morris	Plaintiff Philip Morris Products S.A.
Reynolds	Defendant R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company

Citations

Abbreviation	Term
Mot.	Brief in Support of PMP's Motion for a Permanent Injunction or, Alternatively, an Ongoing Royalty, Dkt. 1411
Opp.	Defendant's Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for a Permanent Injunction or, Alternatively, an Ongoing Royalty, Dkt. 1421

Terms

Abbreviation	Term
'265 patent	U.S. Patent No. 9,814,265
'911 patent	U.S. Patent No. 10,104,911
Alto	VUSE Alto
CC	combustible cigarette
FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration
HNB	heat not burn
ITC	U.S. International Trade Commission
LEO	ITC Limited Exclusion Order
MRTP	Modified Risk Tobacco Product
PMTA	Premarket Tobacco Product Application
PRRP	potentially reduced risk product
PTAB	Patent Trial and Appeal Board
Solo G2	VUSE Solo G2

All emphasis added, and internal quotation marks and citations omitted, unless otherwise noted.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.