EXHIBIT E

1074

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

PHILIP MORRIS PRODCUTS S.A.,

Counterclaim Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-00393-LMB/TCB

v.

R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,

Counterclaim Defendant.

June 15, 2022

VOLUME 6 - MORNING SESSION (Verdict)
TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONIE M. BRINKEMA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES.

For the Plaintiffs:

Maximilian Antony Grant, Esq. LLP (DC)

Latham & Watkins LLE 555 11th Street, NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20004-1304 202-637-2200 Email: Max.grant@lw.com

Clement Joseph Naples, Esq. Latham & Watkins, LLP 885 Third Avenue 25th Floor New York, NY 10022 212-906-1200 Email: Dement.naples@lw.com

Gregory K. Sobolski, Esq. Latham & Watkins, LL 505 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-6538 202-637-2267 Email: Max.grant@lw.com

Scott L. Wallace, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

APPEARANCES: (Cont.)

For the Defendants: Michael Shamus Quinlan, Esq.

Jones Day (OH-NA) 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-1190 216-586-3939

Fax: 216-579-0212

Email: Msquinlan@jonesday.com

Jason Todd Burnette, Esq. Jones Day (GA) 1420 Peachtree Street, NE Suite 800 Atlanta, GA 30309 404-521-3939

Email: Jburnette@jonesday.com

David Michael Majorana, Esq.

Jones Day (OH) 901 Lakeside Ave Cleveland, OH 44114 216-586-3939

Email: Dmaiorana@jonesday.com

William Edward Devitt, Esq.

Jones Day (IL) 77 West Wacker Suite 3500 Chicago, IL 60601 312-269-4240

Email: Wdevitt@jonesday.com

Court Reporter: Scott L. Wallace, RDR, RMR, CRR

Official Court Reporter United States District Court 401 Courthouse Square Alexandria, VA 2231-5798 202-277-3739 scottwallace.edva@gmail.com

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript produced

by computer-aided transcription.

Scott L. Wallace, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

1077

1075

11:24AM 1

11:24AM

APPEARANCES: (Cont.)

For the Plaintiffs:

Thomas W. Yeh, Esq. Latham & Watkins LLP (CA)

355 South Grand Avenue Suite 100

Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 213-891-8050 Email: Thomas.yeh@lw.com

Matthew John Moore, Esq. Latham & Watkins LLP (DC) 555 11th Street, NW Suite 1000

Washington, DC 20004-1304

202-637-2200

Email: Matthew.moore@lw.com

Dale Chang, Esq.

Latham & Watkins LLP (CA) 355 South Grand Avenue Suite 100 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 213-891-8050 Email: Dale.chang@lw.com

Lawrence Jay Gotts, Esq.

Latham & Watkins LLP (DC) 555 11th St NW Suite 1000

Washington, DC 20004-1304 202-637-2200 Email: Lawrence.gotts@lw.com

For the Defendants: Charles Bennett Molster, III, Esq. The Law Offices of Charles B. Molster

III. PLLC 2141 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite M Washington, DC 20007 703-346-1505

Email: Cmolster@molsterlaw.com

Stephanie Ethel Parker, Esq. Jones Day (GA) 1420 Peachtree Street, NE Suite 800 Atlanta, GA 30309

MORNING SESSION, JUNE 15, 2022

2 (11:24 a.m.)

11:25AM THE COURTROOM CLERK: Civil Action 20-393, Philip Morris

Products S.A. versus R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company. 11:25AM

11:25AM 5 Would counsel please note their appearances for the record

11:25AM 6 MR. GRANT: Good morning, Your Honor. Max Grant from

11:25AM Philip Morris with Dr. Moira Gilchrist, Clem Naples, Greg

8 Sobolski, Fred Sandford, Larry Gotts and a crew of people here 11:25AM

11:25AM 9 for the plaintiff.

11:25AM 10 THE COURT: Good morning.

11:25AM 11 MS. PARKER: Good morning, Your Honor. Stephanie Parker

11:25AM 12 for Reynolds, David Majorana, Jason Burnette and Bill Devitt.

11:25AM 13 Thank you.

11.25AM 14 THE COURT: All right. Now, the parties are all here? We

11:25AM 15 said we would hope up the verdict for a few minutes

11:25AM **16** MR. GRANT: Correct. We appreciate the Court's courtesy.

THE COURT: All right. That's fine. We'll bring the jury 11:25AM

11:25AM 18

11:25AM 19 THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Yes, Judge.

11:26AM 20 (Jury in at 11:26 a.m.)

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Mr. Foreperson, has the jury reached 11:26AM 21

11:26AM **22** its verdict?

11:26AM 23 THE FOREPERSON: Yes.

11:26AM 24 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Could you hand it to the court



Case 1:20-cv-00393-LMB-TCB Document 136 -iled 06/28/22 - Page 3 of 3 PageID# 3398: 1 exceptional case, and there is no need to waste any time or money 1 parties for your work. 11:33AM 2 on briefing attorneys' fees. 11:37AM 2 11:33AM You know, being a juror is a very important civic 3 11:37AM I also recognize, because this has been a hotly litigated 3 function. I mean, it's like voting. You know, it's one of the 4 case, that there may be a request to file post-trial motions. I 11:37AM 4 things that makes our democracy work, and it's because of folks 5 11:33AM will give the parties 14 days to file any post-trial motions, and 11:37AM 6 I'm limiting them to 15 pages. 5 like yourselves who are willing to take time out of your very 11:37AN 11:33AM 7 11:37AM This case, in my view, has been overly litigated. I've 6 busy personal schedules to sit in a courtroom and listen to the 11:33AM 8 11:37AM never had so many motions in limine while a trial was in 7 evidence and then render decisions. We couldn't do it without 11:33AM 9 11:37AM progress, most of which I thought were really meritless. They 8 you. So I want to thank you, but your term is now finished. 11:33AM 11:37AM 10 were preemptive strikes that were not necessary. 9 If you will just check out with the Clerk's Office before 11:33AM 11:37AM 11 I did enjoy the trial, and I did think that overall it was 10 you leave so that your parking and other things can be taken care 11:37AM **12** a well-tried case. It was overtried, but I know patent cases 11:33AM 11:37AM 13 tend to be that way because there's so much money involved. Way 11 11:34AM of. I hope you enjoy the afternoon, but you're free to go. 11:37AM 14 too many lawyers involved for my taste, but that's what the jury 12 We're going to stay in session for a few minutes. 11:37AM 15 has done. And I will look at your motions, but I feel that this 13 (Jury dismissed at 11:34 a.m.) 11:34AM 11:38AM 16 was a very good jury, and their verdict was an interesting 14 THE COURT: All right. So I'll enter a judgment 11:34AM 11:38AM 17 verdict and one that shows that they thought carefully about the 15 consistent with the jury's verdict, and I want to head off some 11:38AM 18 case, so it's highly unlikely I'm going to take it away. All 11:34AM 11:38AM 19 right. Then we'll recess court for the day. 16 potential post-trial issues right now. 11:34AM 20 11:38AM (Proceedings adjourned at 11:38 a.m.) 17 As you all know, the Federal Circuit and the Supreme Court 21 18 and the statute, 35 U.S.C. 285, do provide that attorneys' fees 11:34AM 11:34AM 19 are available to the prevailing party but only in exceptional 22 11:34AM **20** circumstances. I'm -- have a seat. I'm saving both parties 23 21 several hundred thousand dollars probably and the lawyers a lot 22 of time, but I am not going to entertain any post-trial motion 11:35AM 24 11:35AM **23** for attorneys' fees in this case. 11:35AM **24** First of all, it is a mixed victory for the plaintiff, but 25 11:35AM **25** there's -- because the -- you didn't get nearly as many damages Scott L. Wallace, RDR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Scott L. Wallace, RDR, CRR, Official Court Reporter 1085 1 as was expected, but this is not an exceptional case in my view 2 at all. 3 The Court has to look at multiple factors in determining CERTIFICATE 11:35AM 4 whether a case is exceptional. This is a case in which the 11:35AM I, Scott L. Wallace, RDR-CRR, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of 5 11:35AM litigation positions of both parties had merit. Obviously some proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 11:35AM 6 claims were successful and some claims were unsuccessful. The /s/ Scott L. Wallace 6/15/22 7 conduct of the attorneys on both sides had issues, although the 11:35AN t L. Wallace, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter 8 trial itself was well-conducted. 11:35AM 9 I was very concerned in just the brief time that I was on 11:35AM 10 this case in that very unpleasant exchange in the Figlar 11:35AM 10 11 deposition. I read the transcript portions in which one attorney 12 used the term "cut the crap," which is not an appropriate way for 13 13 lawyers to speak in any kind of process, and where the opposing 11:36AM 14 counsel had to invoke what should not be done in the 4th Circuit. 11:36AM 15 15 11:36AM had to violate Ralston Purina and told the witness to stop 16 16 answering questions. That kind of litigation conduct is 17 absolutely unacceptable on both sides. 11:36AM 18 11:36AM 18 During the course of this trial, reference was made to the 20 19 Chinese origin of some of the Reynolds products. Judge O'Grady 11:36AM 21 20 had indicated previously that that was not to come into the case. 11:36AM 11:36AM **21** I thought that that was unfair and inappropriate. 23 11:36AM **22** And there was a contact this morning, an ex parte contact 24 11:36AM **23** with chambers staff, addressing the issue of attorneys' fees, 25 11:36AM 24 which also was inappropriate.