UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A.

Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-393-LO-TCB

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PMI/ALTRIA'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5(C), Plaintiffs Altria Client Services, LLC, Philip Morris USA Inc., and Philip Morris Products S.A. (collectively, "PMI/Altria") respectfully move the Court for leave to file their Motion to Exclude Improper Expert Testimony by James Figlar Previously Excluded by the Court ("Motion") and Exhibit A thereto ("Exhibit"), under seal.

PMI/Altria also respectfully move for leave to file publicly a redacted version of their Motion that omits confidential information. All of the materials PMI/Altria seek to file under seal have been designated as confidential under the stipulated protective order.

I. DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

PMI/Altria respectfully seek leave to file the following document under seal:

- An unredacted version of their Motion.
- Exhibit A to PMI/Altria's Motion, which is excerpts from the transcript of James Figlar, Ph.D. deposition, dated Friday, June 3, 2022.

II. ARGUMENT

Although there is a general presumption that the public has the right to access documents in the files of the courts, this presumption may be overcome "if the public's right of access is outweighed by competing interests." *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 288, 302 (4th Cir. 2000) (citation omitted); *Stone v. Univ. of Md. Med. Sys. Corp.*, 855 F.2d 178, 180 (4th Cir. 1988). To determine whether the interests in sealing the records outweigh the public's right of access, a court must follow a three-step process: (1) provide public notice of the request to seal and allow interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object; (2) consider less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents; and (3) articulate specific reasons and factual findings supporting its decision to seal. *Ashcraft*, 218 F.3d at 302; *Adams v. Object Innovation, Inc.*, No. 11-ev-00272, 2011 WL 7042224, at *4 (E.D. Va. Dec. 5, 2011), *report and recommendation adopted*, 2012 WL 135428 (E.D. Va. Jan. 17, 2012). All three requirements are satisfied here.

First, the public has received notice of the request to seal and will have a reasonable opportunity to object. In accordance with Local Civil Rule 5 procedures, this sealing motion was publicly docketed, satisfying the first requirement. RJR will have an opportunity to respond, and once the "public has had ample opportunity to object" to PMI/Altria's motion and "the Court has received no objections," the first *Ashcraft* requirement may be deemed satisfied. *See GTSI Corp. v. Wildflower Int'l, Inc.*, No. 09-cv-00123, 2009 WL 1248114, at *9 (E.D. Va. Apr. 30, 2009); *U.S. ex rel. Carter v. Halliburton Co.*, No. 10-cv-00864, 2011 WL 2077799, at *3 (E.D. Va. May 24, 2011) ("[T]he parties provided public notice of the request to seal that allowed interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object—nearly two weeks.").

Second, PMI/Altria seek to seal and to redact from the public record only information that the parties must keep confidential pursuant to the stipulated protective order. PMI/Altria will file publicly a redacted version of its Motion in addition to a sealed version. Moreover, the exhibit filed under seal contains competitively sensitive information the disclosure of which would cause harm. This selective and narrow protection of confidential material constitutes "the least drastic method of shielding the information at issue." *Adams*, 2011 WL 7042224, at *4. The public has no legitimate interest in information that is confidential to PMI/Altria and RJR. *See Adams*, 2011 WL 7042224, at *4 ("[T]here is no legitimate public interest in disclosing the proprietary and confidential information of [the defendant] ... and disclosure to the public could result in significant damage to the company."). The information that PMI/Altria seek to seal and redact includes confidential, proprietary, and competitively sensitive business information.

Third, there is support for filing portions of PMI/Altria's Motion under seal, with a publicly filed version containing strictly limited redactions. As an initial matter, the stipulated protective order requires that this information remain confidential. And the redacted portions of the Motion only pertain to this confidential information. Moreover, the exhibit filed under seal contains information that has been designated as competitively sensitive business information. Sealing these materials is therefore proper because the public's interest in access is outweighed by a party's interest in "preserving confidentiality" of limited amounts of confidential information that is "normally unavailable to the public." *Flexible Benefits Council v. Feltman*, No. 08-cv-00371, 2008 WL 4924711, at *1; *U.S. ex rel. Carter*, 2011 WL 2077799, at *3.

III. CONCLUSION

PMI/Altria respectfully request that the Court grant this motion and enter the attached proposed Order.

Dated: June 7, 2022

DOCKET

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Maximilian A. Grant</u> Maximilian A. Grant (VSB No. 91792) max.grant@lw.com Lawrence J. Gotts (VSB No. 25337) lawrence.gotts@lw.com Matthew J. Moore (*pro hac vice*) matthew.moore@lw.com Jamie Underwood@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 637-2200 Facsimile: (202) 637-2201

Clement J. Naples (*pro hac vice*) clement.naples@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 885 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022-4834 Tel: (212) 906-1200; Fax: (212) 751-4864

Gregory J. Sobolski (*pro hac vice*) greg.sobolski@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 391-0600 Facsimile: (415) 395-8095

Brenda L. Danek (*pro hac vice*) brenda.danek@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 330 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60611 Tel: (312) 876-7700; Fax: (312) 993-9767

Counsel for Plaintiffs Altria Client Services LLC, Philip Morris USA Inc., and Philip Morris Products S.A.

By: <u>/s/ Elizabeth Stotland Weiswasser</u>

W. Sutton Ansley (VSB No. 80085) sutton.ansley@weil.com Robert T. Vlasis III (*pro hac vice*) robert.vlasis@weil.com Stephanie Adamakos(*pro hac vice*) stephanie.adamakos@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 2001 M Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036 Tel: (202) 682-7000; Fax: 202-857-0940

Elizabeth Stotland Weiswasser (*pro hac vice*) elizabeth.weiswasser@weil.com Anish R. Desai (*pro hac vice*) anish.desai@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 5th Avenue New York, NY 10153 Tel: (212) 310-8000; Fax: 212-310-8007

Adrian C. Percer (*pro hac vice*) adrian.percer@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Tel: (650) 802-3000; Fax: 850-802-3100

Counsel for Plaintiffs Altria Client Services LLC and Philip Morris USA Inc.

DOCKE

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.