

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and  
R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP  
MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS  
PRODUCTS S.A.,

Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

**REDACTED**

**REYNOLDS'S OPPOSITION TO PM/ALTRIA'S MOTION TO  
SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. BACKGROUND .....	4
A. Neither Side’s Damages Expert Relied Upon Any Fontem Negotiations .....	4
B. PM/Altria Requested Fontem-RJRV Negotiations Only <i>After</i> It Served Its Damages Expert Report .....	6
C. Judge Buchanan Correctly Denied PM/Altria’s Prior Motion To Compel Discovery Regarding The Fontem-RJRV Negotiations .....	6
D. PM/Altria Attempted To Backfill The Holes In Mr. Meyer’s Opinions .....	7
E. Altria Subpoenaed Fontem In The Middle District Of North Carolina Action.....	7
F. PM/Altria Sought To Save Mr. Meyer’s Inadmissible Opinions .....	8
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	9
IV. ARGUMENT .....	10
A. The Fontem-RJRV Negotiations Are Not Relevant Or Appropriate For Discovery, And PM/Altria Waived Its Arguments To The Contrary .....	10
1. PM/Altria Waived Any Challenge To Judge Buchanan’s Ruling By Failing To Timely Object.....	10
2. The Court Correctly Rejected PM/Altria’s Prior Motion To Compel.....	13
3. Mr. Meyer Cannot Rely On The Fontem-RJRV Negotiations .....	16
B. PM/Altria’s Request To Reopen Discovery On The Eve Of Trial Should Be Denied.....	19
1. PM/Altria’s Request Is Untimely.....	19
2. The Fontem-RJRV Negotiation Documents Are Not Relevant And PM/Altria Already Has Copies From Fontem .....	22
C. There Is No Basis To Sanction Reynolds .....	24
1. Reynolds Did Not Violate Any Rule Or Make Any Misrepresentation To The Court.....	24
2. Sanctions Under Rule 37 Are Not Warranted.....	25
3. Sanctions Under The Even Higher Standard For Sanctions Under The Court’s Inherent Authority Are Not Warranted.....	28
D. PM/Altria Should Be Ordered To Reimburse Reynolds’s Fees And Costs In Defending This Frivolous Motion .....	30

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
V. CONCLUSION.....	30

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Advanced Cardiovascular Sys., Inc. v. Medtronic, Inc.</i> , 265 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	13
<i>Alpex Computer Corp. v. Nintendo Co.</i> , No. 86 CIV. 1749(KMW), 1994 WL 139423 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 18, 1994) .....	18-19
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 12-CV-00630-LHK, 2014 WL 794328 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 25, 2014).....	19
<i>Asghari-Kamrani v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n</i> , No. 2:15CV478, 2017 WL 4418424 (E.D. Va. July 27, 2017), <i>aff'd</i> , 737 F. App'x 540 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	10, 29
<i>Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Rose Elecs.</i> , No. C06-1711RSL, 2012 WL 4903272 (W.D. Wash. May 29, 2012) .....	15
<i>Beach Mart, Inc. v. L &amp; L Wings, Inc.</i> , 302 F.R.D. 396 (E.D.N.C. 2014) .....	25
<i>Boryan v. United States</i> , 884 F.2d 767 (4th Cir. 1989) .....	12
<i>Dimino v. N.Y.C. Transit Auth.</i> , 64 F. Supp. 2d 136 (E.D.N.Y. 1999) .....	14
<i>Fiberglass Insulators, Inc. v. Dupuy</i> , 856 F.2d 652 (4th Cir. 1988) .....	14
<i>Flame S.A. v. Indus. Carriers, Inc.</i> , No. 2:13-cv-658, 2014 WL 12547260 (E.D. Va. July 31, 2014).....	20
<i>Gallagher v. S. Source Packaging, LLC</i> , 568 F. Supp. 2d 624 (E.D.N.C. 2008).....	22
<i>Giganti v. Gen-X Strategies, Inc.</i> , 222 F.R.D. 299 (E.D. Va. 2004) .....	12

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
(continued)

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Hamed v. Saul</i> , 432 F. Supp. 3d 610 (E.D. Va. 2020) .....	12
<i>Hare v. Comcast Cable Commc'ns Mgmt., LLC</i> , 564 F. App'x 23 (4th Cir. 2014) .....	20
<i>Homer J. Olsen, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Transp. Fed. Transit Admin.</i> , No. C 02-00673 WHA, 2002 WL 31738794 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2002) .....	14
<i>In re MSTG, Inc.</i> , 675 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	13, 15
<i>Inline Connection Corp. v. AOL Time Warner Inc.</i> , 470 F. Supp. 2d 435 (D. Del. 2007).....	14
<i>Johnson Matthey, Inc. v. Rsch. Corp.</i> , No. 01-CV-8115 MBM FM, 2003 WL 24136087 (S.D.N.Y. June 16, 2003).....	14
<i>LaserDynamics, Inc. v. Quanta Computer, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 51 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	17
<i>McLean v. Branch Banking &amp; Tr. Co.</i> , No. 1:19-CV-1413, 2020 WL 8361911 (E.D. Va. June 24, 2020) .....	20
<i>MLC Intell. Prop., LLC v. Micron Tech., Inc.</i> , 10 F.4th 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	17
<i>Mulugeta v. Ademachew</i> , No. 1:17-CV-649, 2019 WL 7945712 (E.D. Va. Nov. 6, 2019) .....	29
<i>PharmaStem Therapeutics, Inc. v. Viacell Inc.</i> , No. C.A. 02-148 GMS, 2003 WL 22387038 (D. Del. Oct. 7, 2003).....	14
<i>Quillin v. C.B. Fleet Holding Co.</i> , 328 F. App'x 195 (4th Cir. 2009) .....	12
<i>Russell v. Absolute Collection Servs., Inc.</i> , 763 F.3d 385 (4th Cir. 2014) .....	25
<i>S. States Rack &amp; Fixture, Inc. v. Sherwin-Williams Co.</i> , 318 F.3d 592 (4th Cir. 2003) .....	10, 26

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.