UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants, v. ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs.	Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB **ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED** [redacted]

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF PMI/ALTRIA'S MOTION TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND 4

A. The Parties' Damages Theories 4

1. Mr. Meyer's Reliance On The [redacted] And [redacted] In The Fontem-Nu Mark Agreement 4

2. Dr. Sullivan's Rebuttal Opinions 4

B. RJR Withheld Documents And Blocked Information Regarding RJR's Negotiations With Fontem That Is Highly Probative Of Damages 5

1. RJR Failed To Produce Responsive Documents Regarding RJR's Communications And Negotiations With Fontem 5

2. RJR Failed To Produce An Educated 30(b)(6) Witnesses On The Fontem-RJR Negotiations And Blocked Discovery By Claiming It Was [redacted] 6

C. RJR Moves To Exclude Mr. Meyer's Opinions Relying On The [redacted] And [redacted] As Unsupported 8

D. Documents Produced Show That RJR Violated Its Discovery Obligations And Made Misrepresentations To Judge Buchannan And This Court 9

E. RJR Continues To Refuse To Produce All Responsive Documents Regarding Its Negotiations With Fontem 11

III. LEGAL STANDARD 12

A. The Court's Authority To Issue Sanctions Under The Federal Rules 12

B. The Court's Inherent Authority To Issue Sanctions 14

IV. ARGUMENT 14

A. The Court Should Order RJR To Show Cause And Issue Sanctions For Violating Rule 26(e)(1)(A) 14

1. RJR Violated Rule 26 By Failing To Produce Responsive Documents Highly Probative Of Damages 14

2. RJR's Rule 26 Violation Is Not Substantially Justified Or Harmless 16

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

3. The Court Should Reopen Discovery For A Limited Purpose And Impose Evidentiary Sanctions.....19

B. The Court Should Order RJR To Show Cause And Issue Sanctions For Making Material Misrepresentations.....23

V. CONCLUSION.....25

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Anderson v. Found. for Advancement, Educ. and Employment of Am. Indians,
155 F.3d 500 (4th Cir. 1998) 14

Beach Mart, Inc. v. L&L Wings, Inc.,
302 F.R.D. 396 (E.D.N.C. Oct. 3, 2014) ..... *passim*

Boryan v. United States,
884 F.2d 767 (4th Cir. 1989) 8

Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.,
501 U.S. 32 (1991) 14, 23

Ferrellgas, L.P. v. Best Choice Prod.,
No. 16-cv-259, 2017 WL 3142044 (M.D.N.C. July 24, 2017) 25

Gomez v. Haystax Tech., Inc.,
761 F. App'x 220 (4th Cir. 2019) 18

Law Enforcement Alliance of Am., Inc. v. USA Direct, Inc.,
61 F. App'x 822 (4th Cir. 2003) 14

Quillin v. C.B. Fleet Holding Co.,
328 F. App'x 195 (4th Cir. 2009) 8

Russell v. Absolute Collection Services, Inc.,
763 F.3d 385 (4th Cir. 2014) 15, 18

S. States Rack & Fixture, Inc. v. Sherwin-Williams Co.,
318 F.3d 592 (4th Cir. 2003) 12

Samsung Elecs. Co. v. NVIDIA Corp.,
314 F.R.D. 190 (E.D. Va. Feb. 29, 2016) ..... *passim*

Silitonga v. Kentucky State Univ.,
No. 16-cv-29, 2018 WL 3969951 (E.D. Ky. Aug. 20, 2018) 21

Silvestri v. Gen. Motors Corp.,
271 F.3d 583 (4th Cir. 2001) 20

Teeter v. Loomis Armored US, LLC,
No. 20-cv-79, 2021 WL 6200506 (E.D.N.C. Nov. 23, 2021) 20

Thomas v. FTS USA, LLC,
No. 13-cv-825, 2016 WL 3566657 (E.D. Va. June 24, 2016) 15, 16, 18, 21

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

United States v. Shaffer Equip. Co.,
11 F.3d 450, 457-58 (4th Cir. 1993) 24, 25

Vir2us, Inc. v. Invincea, Inc.,
235 F. Supp. 3d 766 (E.D. Va. 2017) ..... *passim*

Westchester Surplus Lines Ins. Co. v. Clancy & Theys Constr. Co.,
No. 12-cv-636, 2013 WL 6058203 (E.D.N.C. Nov. 15, 2013) 17

White v. Raymark Indus., Inc.,
783 F.2d 1175 (4th Cir. 1986) 14, 23

Wu v. Tseng,
No. 06-cv-580, 2008 WL 4360990 (E.D. Va. Sept. 22, 2008) 20

Zornes v. Specialty Indus., Inc.,
No. 97-2337, 1998 WL 886997 (4th Cir. 1998) 20, 21, 23

RULES

FED. R. CIV. P. 26(3)(1)(a) 12

FED. R. CIV. P. 37 18

FED. R. CIV. P. 37(b)(2)(A)(i)-(vi) 13

FED. R. CIV. P. 37(c)(1) 16

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.