

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and
R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP
MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS
PRODUCTS S.A.,

Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF REYNOLDS'S MOTION TO LIMIT THE
NUMBER OF ASSERTED COUNTERCLAIM PATENTS AND/OR CLAIMS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	2
I. OVERVIEW OF THE COUNTERCLAIM PATENTS AND THE PATENT CLAIMS CURRENTLY AT ISSUE	2
II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY	3
ARGUMENT	4
I. THIS COURT SHOULD ORDER PM/ALTRIA TO IDENTIFY, AND LIMIT, THE PATENTS AND CLAIMS THAT IT INTENDS TO PURSUE AT TRIAL	5
A. Courts across the country have frequently limited the number of patents and/or the number of claims that a party is permitted to assert at trial, especially at this stage of the litigation.	5
B. Courts in this district have exercised their authority to manage their dockets by limiting the number of patent claims asserted at various stages of litigation.	9
C. Now is the time for PM/Altria to identify—and limit—the number of patents and claims that it intends to pursue at trial.	11
CONCLUSION	12

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Acantha LLC v. DePuy Orthopaedics Inc.</i> , No. 15-C-1257, 2017 WL 5186376 (E.D. Wis. Nov. 8, 2017).....	8
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 12-CV-00630-LHK, Dkt. No. 471 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 24, 2013).....	6
<i>BASF Plant Sci., LP v. Commonwealth Sci. & Indus. Rsch. Org.</i> , No. 2:17-cv-503, Dkt. No. 276 (E.D. Va. May 3, 2019)	10
<i>Biedermann Techs. GmbH & Co. v. K2M, Inc.</i> , No. 2:18cv585, 2019 WL 8353309 (E.D. Va. June 11, 2019).....	11
<i>Centripetal Networks, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 2:18cv94, Dkt. No. 75 (E.D. Va. Oct. 10, 2019)	11
<i>Certusview Techs., LLC v. S & N Locating Servs., LLC</i> , No. 2:13cv346, 2014 WL 4930803 (E.D. Va. Oct. 1, 2014)	4, 9, 10, 12
<i>Fenster Fam. Pat. Holdings, Inc. v. Siemens Med. Sols. USA, Inc.</i> , No. 04-0038 JJF, 2005 WL 2304190 (D. Del. Sept. 20, 2005)	8
<i>Gentherm Canada, Ltd v. IGB Auto., Ltd.</i> , No. 13-11536, 2016 WL 1170801 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 25, 2016).....	8
<i>Glaukos Corp. v. Ivantis, Inc.</i> , No. SACV 18-00620 JVS (JDEx), 2021 WL 4539047 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 11, 2021)	7
<i>Hearing Components, Inc. v. Shure, Inc.</i> , No. 9:07CV104, 2008 WL 2485426 (E.D. Tex. June 13, 2008)	8
<i>Honeywell Int’l Inc. v. Rsch. Prods. Corp.</i> , No. 17-cv-723-wmc, 2018 WL 9669751 (W.D. Wis. Apr. 17, 2018).....	7
<i>Huawei Techs., Co. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 3:16-cv-02787-WHO, Dkt. No. 143 (N.D. Cal. June 2, 2017).....	6

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Jiaxing Super Lighting Elec. Appliance Co. v. Maxlite, Inc.</i> , No. LACV 19-4047 PSG (MAAx), 2020 WL 3980122 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 31, 2020)	5
<i>Joao Control & Monitoring Sys., LLC v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , No. 13-CV-13615, 2014 WL 106926 (E.D. Mich. Jan. 10, 2014)	8, 11
<i>Landis v. N. Am. Co.</i> , 299 U.S. 248 (1936)	4
<i>LG Display Co. v. AU Optronics Corp.</i> , 686 F. Supp. 2d 429 (D. Del. 2010)	6
<i>Limelight Networks, Inc. v. XO Commn 'ns, LLC</i> , No. 3:15-cv-720-JAG, Dkt. No. 208 (E.D. Va. Oct. 31, 2016)	10, 12
<i>Memory Integrity, LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , No. 3:15-cv-00262-SI, 2015 WL 6659674 (D. Or. Oct. 30, 2015)	6, 8, 11
<i>Midwest Athletics & Sports All. LLC v. Xerox Corp.</i> , 545 F. Supp. 3d 16 (W.D.N.Y. 2021)	passim
<i>Midwest Athletics & Sports All., LLC v. Xerox Corp.</i> , No. 6:19-CV-06036-EAW-JWF, Dkt. No. 149 (W.D.N.Y. Feb. 3, 2020)	6
<i>Oracle Am., Inc. v. Google Inc.</i> , No. C 10-03561 WHA, 2011 WL 12209477 (N.D. Cal. May 3, 2011)	6
<i>Plastipak Packaging, Inc. v. Premium Waters, Inc.</i> , No. 20-cv-098-wmc, 2021 WL 719029 (W.D. Wis. Feb. 24, 2021)	7
<i>Rehrig Pac. Co. v. Polymer Logistics (Israel), Ltd.</i> , No. CV 19-4952-MWF, 2019 WL 8161141 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 30, 2019)	7
<i>Rembrandt Social Media, LP v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , No. 1:13cv00158, Dkt. No. 178 (E.D. Va. July 12, 2013)	10
<i>Ronald A. Katz, Tech. Licensing LP v. Am. Airlines, Inc.</i> , 639 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	4, 10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Sherwin-Williams Co. v. PPG Indus.</i> , No. 17-1023, 2021 WL 1110568 (W.D. Pa. Mar. 23, 2021)	7
<i>Straight Path IP Grp., Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. C 16-03582 WHA, 2017 WL 1365124 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 13, 2017).....	5, 8
<i>Thought, Inc. v. Oracle Corp.</i> , No. 12-cv-05601-WHO, 2013 WL 5587559 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 10, 2013)	5
<i>Universal Elecs. Inc. v. Roku Inc.</i> , No. SACV 18-1580 JVS (ADx), 2019 WL 1878351 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 14, 2019).....	6
<i>VLSI Tech. LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , No. CV 18-0966-CFC, 2020 WL 4437401 (D. Del. Aug. 3, 2020)	5
STATUTES	
28 U.S.C. § 1659	3
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 1	4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.