

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and
R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY

Plaintiffs and
Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP
MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS
PRODUCTS S.A.

Defendants and
Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED



**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF PMI/ALTRIA'S *DAUBERT* MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE
OPINIONS OF RJR'S DAMAGES EXPERT, DR. RYAN SULLIVAN**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. ARGUMENT	2
A. Dr. Sullivan Unreliably Relies Exclusively On A [REDACTED]	2
1. RJR Provides No Justification For Dr. Sullivan’s Arbitrary Reliance On [REDACTED]	3
2. RJR’s Remaining Arguments Fail	8
B. Dr. Sullivan Ignored [REDACTED] His Effective Royalty Rate	10
C. Dr. Sullivan’s Design-Around Opinions Should Be Excluded.....	14
1. RJR Mischaracterizes PMI/Altria’s Position And Invites Legal Error	14
2. Dr. Sullivan’s Assumption Of Availability Lacks Any Factual Basis.....	17
3. Dr. Sullivan’s Opinions Are Properly Excluded At The <i>Daubert</i> Stage And Inadmissible For Any Purpose	19
III. CONCLUSION.....	21

*All emphasis added, and internal citations and quotations omitted, unless otherwise noted

** Citations to “Mot.” and “Opp.” are to Dkt. Nos. 915 and 960, respectively.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**CASES**

<i>Aqua Shield v. Inter Pool Cover Team</i> , 774 F.3d 766 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	15, 16
<i>AstraZeneca AB v. Apotex Corp.</i> , 782 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	15
<i>Baltimore Aircoil Co. v. SPX Cooling Techs. Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-2053, 2016 WL 4426681 (D. Md. Aug. 22, 2016).....	3
<i>Baxter Int'l, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.</i> , No. 17-cv-7576, 2020 WL 424918 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 27, 2020)	16, 17
<i>Biedermann Techs. GmbH & Co. KG v. K2M, Inc.</i> , No. 18-cv-585, 2021 WL 6034269 (E.D. Va. Dec. 10, 2021).....	7
<i>Biscotti Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. 13-cv-01015-JRG-RSP, 2017 WL 2607882 (E.D. Tex. 2017)	20
<i>Carnegie Mellon University v. Marvell Technology Group, Limited</i> , No. 09-cv-290, 2012 WL 3686736 (W.D. Pa. Aug. 24, 2012)	20
<i>Commonwealth Sci. & Indus. Rsch. Org. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 809 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	6
<i>Commonwealth Sci. & Indus. Rsch. Org. v. Mediatek Inc.</i> , No. 12-cv-578, 2015 WL 12806515 (E.D. Tex. June 29, 2015)	9, 10
<i>Contour IP Holding, LLC v. GoPro, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-04738, 2020 WL 5106845 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 31, 2020).....	9
<i>Covidien Sales LLC v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.</i> , No. 11-cv-871, 2020 WL 7040643 (S.D. Ohio Dec. 1, 2020)	16
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	12
<i>ePlus, Inc. v. Lawson Software, Inc.</i> , 764 F. Supp. 2d 807 (E.D. Va. 2011)	2, 3, 9
<i>Ericsson, Inc. v. D-Link Systems, Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1201 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	16
<i>Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc. v. Baxter International, Inc.</i> , No. 03-cv-1431, 2006 WL 1390416 (N.D. Cal. May 18, 2006)	19, 20

<i>Fuma Int'l LLC v. R.J. Reynolds Vapor Co.</i> , No. 19-cv-260, Dkt. 159 (M.D.N.C. July 8, 2021)	1, 9
<i>Gibson v. Argus Energy, LLC</i> , No. 10-cv-725, 2011 WL 1791339 (S.D.W. Va. May 10, 2011)	13
<i>i4i Ltd. Partnership v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 598 F.3d 831 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	10
<i>Interactive Pictures Corp. v. Infinite Pictures, Inc.</i> , 274 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	4, 7
<i>LaserDynamics, Inc. v. Quanta Computer, Inc.</i> , No. 06-cv-348, 2011 WL 197869 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 20, 2011)	15, 19
<i>LinkCo, Inc. v. Fujitsu Ltd.</i> , 232 F. Supp. 2d 182 (S.D.N.Y 2002)	6
<i>Looksmart Grp., Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. 17-cv-4709, 2019 WL 4009263 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 5, 2019)	9
<i>Lucent Tech., Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.</i> , 580 F.3d 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	5, 7
<i>Mars, Inc. v. Coin Acceptors, Inc</i> 527 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	16
<i>Open Text S.A. v. Box, Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-4910, 2015 WL 349197 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 23, 2015)	9
<i>Opticurrent, LLC v. Power Integrations, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-03597, 2018 WL 6727826 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 21, 2018)	8
<i>Prism Techs. LLC v. Sprint Spectrum L.P.</i> , 849 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	14, 15
<i>Sherwin-Williams Co. v. PPG Indus., Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-1023, 2020 WL 1283465 (W.D. Pa. 2020)	15
<i>Sundance, Inc. v. DeMonte Fabricating Ltd.</i> , 550 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	9
<i>TC Tech. LLC v. Sprint Corp.</i> , No. 16-cv-153, 2019 WL 2515779 (D. Del. June 18, 2019)	20
<i>Versata Software Inc. v. SAP Am., Inc.</i> , No. 2012-cv-1029, 2011 WL 4017939 (E.D. Tex. 2011)	14

Visteon Glob. Techs., Inc. v. Garmin Int'l, Inc.,
903 F. Supp. 2d 521 (E.D. Mich. 2012) 17

Webasto Thermo & Comfort N. Am., Inc. v. BesTop, Inc.,
No. 16-cv-13456, 2019 WL 3334563 (E.D. Mich. July 25, 2019)..... 19, 20

Wordtech Sys., Inc. v. Integrated Networks Sols., Inc.,
609 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 5

Xodus Med., Inc. v. Prime Med., LLC,
No. 18-cv-413, 2021 WL 5832785 (E.D. Tenn. Dec. 6, 2021)..... 13

RULES

FED. R. EVID. 702..... 12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.