

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. and
R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; PHILIP
MORRIS USA INC.; and PHILIP MORRIS
PRODUCTS S.A.,

Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00393-LO-TCB

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

REDACTED

**REYNOLDS'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO EXCLUDE
CERTAIN EXPERT OPINIONS OF JOSEPH C. MCALEXANDER**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
REPLY ARGUMENT	2
I. MR. MCALEXANDER, AN ELECTRICAL ENGINEER, CANNOT RELY ON A REGULATORY EXPERT TO GIVE REGULATORY OPINIONS.....	2
II. MR. MCALEXANDER’S NON-TECHNICAL TESTIMONY ON THE INVENTION STORY AND SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS SHOULD BE EXCLUDED.....	7
A. Expert Testimony Should Not Serve as a Vehicle for the Purely Factual Invention Story of the ’374 Patent.....	7
B. Mr. McAlexander May Not Opine on Industry Skepticism Beyond What the Witnesses and Documents Say for Themselves.....	11
C. Mr. McAlexander Lacks Expertise and a Methodology to Support His Commercial Success Opinions	12
III. MR. MCALEXANDER’S DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS OPINIONS LACK SUPPORT OR METHODOLOGY SEPARATE FROM HIS LITERAL INFRINGEMENT OPINIONS.....	16
CONCLUSION.....	20
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	22

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Am. Key Corp. v. Cole Nat. Corp.</i> , 762 F.2d 1569 (11th Cir. 1985)	6
<i>Apple Inc. v. Motorola, Inc.</i> , 757 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	3
<i>CardioNet, LLC v. ScottCare Corp.</i> , No. CV 12-2516, 2017 WL 4742476 (E.D. Pa. Oct. 19, 2017).....	7
<i>Carnegie Mellon Univ. v. Marvell Tech. Grp., Ltd.</i> , 807 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	3
<i>Cooper v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 259 F.3d 194 (4th Cir. 2001)	6, 19
<i>Deutz Corp. v. City Light & Power, Inc.</i> , No. 1:05-cv-3313-GET, 2009 WL 2986415 (N.D. Ga. 2009).....	14
<i>Dura Auto. Sys. of Ind., Inc. v. CTS Corp.</i> , 285 F.3d 609 (7th Cir. 2002)	5, 14
<i>EMC Corp. v. Pure Storage, Inc.</i> , 154 F. Supp. 3d 81 (D. Del. Feb. 11, 2016).....	3
<i>Engbretsen v. Fairchild Aircraft Corp.</i> , 21 F.3d 721 (6th Cir. 1994)	10
<i>Genband US LLC v. Metaswitch Networks Corp.</i> , No. 2:14-CV-33-JRG-RSP, 2016 WL 125503 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 9, 2016).....	8
<i>In re James Wilson Assocs.</i> , 965 F.2d 160 (7th Cir. 1992)	10, 14
<i>In re TMI Litig.</i> , 193 F.3d 613 (3d Cir. 1999).....	14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>In re Trasylol Products Liability Litigation</i> , 709 F. Supp. 2d 1323 (S.D. Fla. 2010)	11
<i>Interwoven, Inc. v. Vertical Computer Sys.</i> , No. CV 10-04645 RS, 2013 WL 3786633 (N.D. Cal. July 18, 2013)	18, 19
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	13
<i>Johnson v. Air & Liquid Sys., Corp.</i> , No. 4:18CV132, 2020 WL 11563846 (E.D. Va. Nov. 6, 2020)	15
<i>Kingston v. Int'l Bus. Machines Corp.</i> , No. C19-1488 MJP, 2021 WL 1158191 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 26, 2021)	2
<i>Lear Siegler, Inc. v. Sealy Mattress Co. of Michigan, Inc.</i> , 873 F.2d 1422 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	18
<i>Lutron Elecs. Co.v. Crestron Elecs., Inc.</i> , 970 F. Supp. 2d 1229 (D. Utah 2013).....	16
<i>Meridian Mfg. v. C&B Mfg.</i> , 340 F. Supp. 3d 808 (N.D. Iowa 2018).....	3
<i>Mfg. Res. Int'l, Inc. v. Civiq Smartscares, LLC</i> , No. CV 17-269-RGA, 2019 WL 4198194 (D. Del. Sept. 4, 2019)	7, 8
<i>Muhsin v. Pac. Cycle</i> , No. 2010-060, 2012 WL 2062396 (D.V.I. June 8, 2012)	14
<i>Nease v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 848 F.3d 219 (4th Cir. 2017)	15
<i>Numatics Inc. v. Balluf, Inc.</i> , 66 F. Supp. 3d 934 (E.D. Mich. 2014).....	15
<i>Paice LLC v. Toyota Motor Corp.</i> , 504 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>PharmaStem Therapeutics, Inc. v. ViaCell, Inc.</i> , 491 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	11
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int’l, Inc.</i> , 711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	15
<i>Rambus Inc. v. Hynix Semiconductor Inc.</i> , 254 F.R.D. 597 (N.D. Cal. 2008).....	13
<i>Rose Hall, Ltd. v. Chase Manhattan Overseas Banking Corp.</i> , 576 F. Supp. 107 (D. Del. 1983).....	8
<i>Shire ViroPharma Inc. v. CSL Behring LLC</i> , No. 17-cv-414, 2021 WL 1227097 (D. Del. Mar. 31, 2021).....	3, 4
<i>TecSec, Inc. v. Adobe Inc.</i> , No. 10-cv-115, 2018 WL 11388472 (E.D. Va. 2018)	15
<i>Texas Instruments Inc. v. Cypress Semiconductor Corp.</i> , 90 F.3d 1558 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	17
<i>TK-7 Corp. v. Estate of Barbouti</i> , 993 F.2d 722 (10th Cir. 1993)	6, 14
<i>Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling, Inc. v. Maersk Drilling USA, Inc.</i> , 699 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	11
<i>United States v. Tran Trong Cuong</i> , 18 F.3d 1132 (4th Cir. 1994)	6, 7
<i>Westfield Ins. Co. v. Harris</i> , 134 F.3d 608 (4th Cir. 1998)	3
<i>Zellers v. NexTech Ne., LLC</i> , 895 F. Supp. 2d 734 (E.D. Va. 2012), <i>aff’d</i> (July 17, 2013).....	15

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.