# 23-

# United States Court of Appeals

for the

# Second Circuit

In re

JOHN WAITE et al.,

Petitioners,

-v.-

UMG RECORDINGS, INC. et al.,

Respondents.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

# FED. R. CIV. P. 23(f) PETITION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL ORDER DENYING CLASS CERTIFICATION

ROY W. ARNOLD ANDREW HAMBELTON BLANK ROME LLP 1271 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 (212) 885-5000

Counsel for Petitioners



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
JURISI	DICTIONAL STATEMENT
QUEST	TIONS PRESENTED
RELIE	F REQUESTED2
INTRO	DUCTION
RELEV	ANT FACTS AND CLASS-WIDE EVIDENCE 5
A.	Defendants' Systematic and Uniform Scheme to Disregard Notices of Copyright Termination and Vested Terminations 8
В.	Defendants' Systematic and Uniform Mischaracterizations of the Plaintiffs' and Class Members' Sound Recordings as "Works Made for Hire"
C.	Class-wide Proof of Defendants' "Work Made for Hire"  Artifice
D.	Defendants' "Evidence" in Opposition to Class Certification11
IMMEI	DIATE RULE 23(f) REVIEW IS WARRANTED12
A.	Applicable Standard of Review12
В.	The District Court's Opinion Is Highly Questionable and Manifestly Erroneous, Implicating Fundamental and Important Class Certification and Federal Copyright Act Issues as to Which There Is a Compelling and Immediate Need for Appellate Disposition
C.	Rule 23(b)(3)'s Predominance of Common Issues Requirement
D.	The District Court Fundamentally Erred by Misapplying Reid, Aymes and Their Progeny Instead of Performing the Requisite Rigorous Analysis of the Evidentiary Record
1.	Defendants' Sham Work-Made-for-Hire Defense Is a Common, Overriding Class-wide Issue That Predominates 16
2.	None of the Plaintiffs or Class Members Were Employees Creating Sound Recordings Within the Scope of An Employment Relationship



3.	Plaintiffs and the Classes Rebutted Any Purported	
	Presumption under Section 410(c) and Thereafter	
	Defendants Failed to Adduce Any Evidence to Support	
	Their Common Work-Made-for-Hire Defense	22
4.	Defendants Failed to Adduce Evidence Showing That Any of the Sound Recordings at Issue Were Specially Ordered or Commissioned for Use as a Part of a Collective Work or	
	Compilation	24
CONCLU	JSION	25



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
Cases	
Amchem Prods., Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591 (1997)	16
Augustin v. Jablonsky, 461 F.3d 502 (2d Cir. 2006)	13
Aymes v. Bonelli, 980 F.2d 857 (2d Cir. 1992)	4, 16, 20, 21
Ballas v. Tedesco, 41 F. Supp. 2d 531 (D.N.J. 1999)	18
Estate of Burne Hogarth v. Edgar Rice Burroughs, Inc., 342 F.3d 149 (2d Cir. 2003)	23
Comcast Corp. v. Behrend, 569 U.S. 27 (2013)	15
Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid, 490 U.S. 730 (1989)	passim
Cuevas v. Citizens Fin. Goup, Inc., 526 Fed. Appx. 19 (2d Cir. 2013)	15
Horror Inc. v. Miller, 335 F. Supp. 3d 273 (D. Conn. 2018), aff'd, 15 F.4th 232 (2d Cir. 2021)	19, 20, 23
<i>In re IPO Sec. Litig.</i> , 471 F.3d 24 (2d Cir. 2006)	15
Johnson v. Nextel Commc 'ns Inc., 780 F.3d 128 (2d Cir. 2015)	16
Kesey, LLC v. Francis, No. CV 06-540-AC, 2009 WL 10693885 (D. Or. Aug. 17, 2009), aff'd, 433 F. App'x 565 (9th Cir. 2011)	23



Langan v. Johnson & Johnson Cons. Cos., Inc., 897 F.3d 88 (2d Cir. 2018)	15
Marvel Characters, Inc. v. Simon, 310 F.3d 280 (2d Cir. 2002)	18, 19
Oliver v. Johanson, 357 F. Supp. 3d 758 (W.D. Ark. 2018)	22
Scott v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., 954 F.3d 502 (2d Cir. 2020)	14
In re Sumitomo Copper Litig., 262 F.3d 134 (2d Cir. 2001)	12, 13
Sykes v. Mel S. Harris & Assocs., 780 F.3d 70 (2d Cir. 2015)	16
TD Bank N.A. v. Hill, 928 F.3d 259 (3d Cir. 2019)	18, 19
Universal Furniture Int'l, Inc. v. Collezione Europa USA, Inc., 618 F.3d 417 (4th Cir. 2010), as amended (Aug. 24, 2010)	22
Waite v. UMG Recordings, Inc., 450 F. Supp. 3d 430 (S.D.N.Y. 2020)	3
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes</i> , 564 U.S. 338 (2011)	15
Statutes	
17 U.S.C.A. § 102	17
17 U.S.C. § 101(1)	17, 22
17 U.S.C. § 101(2)	7, 24, 25
17 U.S.C. § 201(a)	16
17 U.S.C. § 203(a)(5)	19
17 U.S.C. § 203(b)(2)	8



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

