#### FOR PUBLICATION

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PHARRELL WILLIAMS, an individual; CLIFFORD HARRIS, JR., an individual; ROBIN THICKE, an individual, DBA I Like'em Thicke Music,

> Plaintiffs-Counter-Defendants-Appellants,

> > and

More Water From Nazareth Publishing, Inc.; Star Trak Entertainment; Interscope Records; UMG Recordings, Inc.; Universal Music Distribution, Counter-Defendants-Appellants,

v.

Frankie Christian Gaye, an individual; Marvin Gaye III, an individual; Nona Marvisa Gaye, an individual,

Defendants-Counter-Claimants-Appellees. No. 15-56880

D.C. No. 2:13-cv-06004-JAK-AGR

**OPINION** 



PHARRELL WILLIAMS, an individual; CLIFFORD HARRIS, JR., an individual; ROBIN THICKE, an individual, DBA I Like'em Thicke Music,

> Plaintiffs-Counter-Defendants-Appellees,

> > and

MORE WATER FROM NAZARETH
PUBLISHING, INC.; STAR TRAK
ENTERTAINMENT; INTERSCOPE
RECORDS; UMG RECORDINGS, INC.;
UNIVERSAL MUSIC DISTRIBUTION,
Counter-Defendants-Appellees,

v.

Frankie Christian Gaye, an individual; Marvin Gaye III, an individual; Nona Marvisa Gaye, an individual,

Defendants-Counter-Claimants-Appellants. No. 16-55089

D.C. No. 2:13-cv-06004-JAK-AGR



PHARRELL WILLIAMS, an individual; ROBIN THICKE, an individual, DBA I Like'em Thicke Music; CLIFFORD HARRIS, JR., an individual, Plaintiffs-Counter-Defendants-Appellees, No. 16-55626

D.C. No. 2:13-cv-06004-JAK-AGR

and

MORE WATER FROM NAZARETH
PUBLISHING, INC.; STAR TRAK
ENTERTAINMENT; INTERSCOPE
RECORDS; UMG RECORDINGS, INC.;
UNIVERSAL MUSIC DISTRIBUTION,
Counter-Defendants-Appellees.

v.

FRANKIE CHRISTIAN GAYE, an individual; MARVIN GAYE III, an individual; NONA MARVISA GAYE, an individual,

Defendants-Counter-Claimants-Appellants.



Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California John A. Kronstadt, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted October 6, 2017 Pasadena, California

Filed March 21, 2018

Before: MILAN D. SMITH, JR., MARY H. MURGUIA, and JACQUELINE H. NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

Opinion by Judge Milan D. Smith, Jr.; Dissent by Judge Nguyen

## **SUMMARY**\*

## Copyright

The panel affirmed in part and reversed in part the district court's judgment after a jury trial, ruling that plaintiffs' song "Blurred Lines" infringed defendants' copyright in Marvin Gaye's song "Got To Give It Up."

The panel held that "Got To Give It Up" was entitled to broad copyright protection because musical compositions are not confined to a narrow range of expression. The panel accepted, without deciding, the merits of the district court's ruling that the scope of the defendants' copyright was



<sup>\*</sup> This summary constitutes no part of the opinion of the court. It has been prepared by court staff for the convenience of the reader.

limited, under the Copyright Act of 1909, to the sheet music deposited with the Copyright Office, and did not extend to sound recordings.

The panel held that the district court's order denying summary judgment was not reviewable after a full trial on the merits.

The panel held that the district court did not err in denying a new trial. The district court properly instructed the jury that there is no scienter requirement for copyright infringement and that it must find both access and substantial similarity. The district court did not erroneously instruct the jury to consider unprotectable elements of "Got To Give It Up." The district court did not abuse its discretion in admitting expert testimony. In addition, the verdict was not against the clear weight of the evidence because there was not an absolute absence of evidence of extrinsic and intrinsic similarity between the two songs.

The panel held that the district court's award of actual damages and infringers' profits and its running royalty were proper.

Reversing in part, the panel held that the district court erred in overturning the jury's general verdict in favor of certain parties because the defendants waived any challenge to the consistency of the jury's general verdicts. In addition, there was no duty to reconcile the verdicts. The district court erred in finding one party secondarily liable for vicarious infringement.

The panel held that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying the defendants' motion for attorneys'



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

