

No. 18-20350

**In The United States Court of Appeals
For The Fifth Circuit**

ENERGY INTELLIGENCE GROUP, INCORPORATED;
ENERGY INTELLIGENCE GROUP (UK) LIMITED,
Plaintiffs – Appellants Cross-Appellees,

v.

KAYNE ANDERSON CAPITAL ADVISORS, L.P.; K.A. FUND ADVISORS, L.L.C.,
Defendants – Appellees Cross-Appellants.

CONS w/18-20615

ENERGY INTELLIGENCE GROUP, INCORPORATED;
ENERGY INTELLIGENCE GROUP (UK) LIMITED,
Plaintiffs – Appellants,

v.

KAYNE ANDERSON CAPITAL ADVISORS, L.P.; K.A. FUND ADVISORS, L.L.C.,
Defendants – Appellees.

On Appeal from The United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas; Civil Action No. 4:14-cv-01903
Honorable Sim Lake, District Judge, presiding

APPELLANTS' RESPONSE AND REPLY BRIEF

POWLEY & GIBSON P.C.
Robert L. Powley
304 Hudson St., Suite 305
New York, NY 10013
(212) 226-5054
(212) 226-5085 – Fax

NATHAN SOMMERS JACOBS
George R. Gibson
2800 Post Oak Blvd., 61st Fl.
Houston, TX 77056
(713) 960-0303
(713) 892-4800 – Fax

BECK REDDEN LLP
David M. Gunn
1221 McKinney St., Ste. 4500
Houston, TX 77010-2010
(713) 951-3700
(713) 951-3720 – Fax

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS,
ENERGY INTELLIGENCE GROUP, INC. AND ENERGY INTELLIGENCE GROUP (UK) LIMITED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities vi

Statement of the Issues on Cross Appeal..... 1

Statement of the Case.....3

 I. Preliminary Statement3

 II. Relevant Facts and Procedural History5

 A. EIG Attached Its Copyright Registrations to Its
 Operative Pleadings5

 B. Kayne Failed in its Responsive Pleadings to Allege
 Any Inaccuracies in EIG’s Registrations, Knowing or
 Otherwise5

 C. Judge Lake Granted Summary Judgment Rejecting
 Kayne’s Unclean Hands Defense.....6

 D. Kayne Formally Stipulated to Registration Validity7

 E. The District Court Determined That Kayne’s § 411(b)
 Motion Was Without Merit.....8

 F. Kayne Tried Again to Rescind Its Stipulation of
 Registration Validity but the District Court Entered
 the Stipulation as Part of the Official Joint Pretrial
 Order9

 G. The District Court Declined to Give Kayne’s
 Supplemental Instruction on General Limits of
 Copyright Protection.....10

 H. Kayne Did Not File a Renewed JMOL Motion on
 DMCA Liability After Trial.....11

 I. The District Court Denied Kayne’s Motion for
 Attorneys’ Fees Under Rule 68.....12

Summary of the Argument.....12

Argument.....15

I. The Copyright Act Does Not Allow Mitigation as a Complete Legal Defense to Claims for Statutory Damages15

A. The Standard of Review is *De Novo*.....15

B. Kayne Has Not Identified Any Authority Where the Mitigation Doctrine was Applied to Reduce a Plaintiff’s Statutory Damage Award Below the Statutory Minimum16

C. Kayne Ignores the Deterrent Purpose of Statutory Damages.....19

D. Affirmative Defenses that Defeat Liability Do Not Conflict with the Statutory Damage Provisions of the Copyright Act.....21

E. Kayne’s Mitigation Theory Conflicts with the Notice Provisions of 17 U.S.C. § 401.....23

F. Entering the Statutory Minimum Damage Award Would Violate EIG’s Seventh Amendment Right to a Jury Trial27

II. The Mitigation Doctrine Does Not Apply to Future Acts of Infringement28

III. In the Alternative, the Mitigation Doctrine Cannot Prevent EIG From Recovering Statutory Damages for Infringements Occurring in the Three Years Immediately Prior to Filing Suit.....30

IV. EIG Has Not Waived Its DMCA Claims34

V. Kayne’s Unclean Hands Defense Has No Basis In Law.....36

- A. Kayne Raises Its Copyright Misuse Arguments for the First Time on Appeal36
- B. Copyright Misuse Is Not Applicable to the Allegations Underlying Kayne’s Mitigation and Unclean Hands Defenses37
- VI. Kayne Intentionally Removed EIG’s Copyright Management Information.....41
 - A. EIG’s Unique Reference Numbers Are “Copyright Management Information” Under the DMCA.....41
 - B. Kayne Intentionally Removed or Altered EIG’s CMI With Knowledge That It Would Induce, Enable, Facilitate or Conceal Copyright Infringement43
 - C. EIG’s CMI Was “Conveyed in Connection” with EIG’s Copyrighted OD Publications44
- VII. The District Court Did Not Abuse Its Discretion in Refusing Kayne’s Proposed Jury Instruction on Non-Protectable Elements of a Work.....46
 - A. The Standard of Review is Abuse of Discretion.....46
 - B. The Requested Instruction Did Not Concern an Important Point at Trial.....47
 - C. The Requested Instruction Was Not a “Substantially Correct Statement of Law”48
- VIII. Kayne Is Not Entitled to Referral Of EIG’s Registrations To The Register Of Copyrights49
 - A. Kayne Has Waived Any Arguments As to The Validity of EIG’s Copyrights.....49
 - B. The District Court Properly Denied Kayne’s § 411(b) Motion Without Referring it to the Register of Copyrights51

C.	EIG Properly Registered Its Copyrights Using Form G/DN.....	54
D.	Form G/DN Registrants Are Not Required To Disclose All Information in 17 U.S.C. § 409.....	56
E.	EIG Did Not Submit Inaccurate Information By Leaving The “Compilation” Box Unchecked.....	58
F.	Kayne Failed to Put Forth Any Evidence Establishing That EIG Knowingly Submitted False Information to the Copyright Office	59
IX.	Kayne Is Not Entitled To Recover Its Attorney’s Fees Under Rule 68.....	60
	Conclusion	63
	Certificate of Service	64
	Certificate of Compliance	65

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.