

Miscellaneous Docket No. ____

IN THE
United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

IN RE APPLE INC.,

Petitioner.

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the
United States District Court for the
Western District of Texas
No. 6:22-cv-00149-ADA, Hon. Alan D Albright

**APPLE INC.'S PETITION FOR
WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

Brian Rosenthal
Katherine Q. Dominguez
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166

Neema Jalali
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
555 Mission Street, Suite 3000
San Francisco, CA 94105

Melanie L. Bostwick
Jonas Q. Wang
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
1152 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 339-8400

Melanie R. Hallums
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
2121 Main Street
Wheeling, WV 26003

Counsel for Petitioner

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Case Number _____

Short Case Caption In re Apple Inc.

Filing Party/Entity Apple Inc.

Instructions: Complete each section of the form. In answering items 2 and 3, be specific as to which represented entities the answers apply; lack of specificity may result in non-compliance. **Please enter only one item per box; attach additional pages as needed and check the relevant box.** Counsel must immediately file an amended Certificate of Interest if information changes. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(b).

I certify the following information and any attached sheets are accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Date: 01/31/2023

Signature: /s/ Melanie L. Bostwick

Name: Melanie L. Bostwick

FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 2)
July 2020

| <p>1. Represented Entities. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(1).</p> | <p>2. Real Party in Interest. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(2).</p> | <p>3. Parent Corporations and Stockholders. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(3).</p> |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Provide the full names of all entities represented by undersigned counsel in this case.</p> | <p>Provide the full names of all real parties in interest for the entities. Do not list the real parties if they are the same as the entities.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None/Not Applicable</p> | <p>Provide the full names of all parent corporations for the entities and all publicly held companies that own 10% or more stock in the entities.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None/Not Applicable</p> |
| <p>Apple Inc.</p> | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Additional pages attached

4. Legal Representatives. List all law firms, partners, and associates that (a) appeared for the entities in the originating court or agency or (b) are expected to appear in this court for the entities. Do not include those who have already entered an appearance in this court. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(4).

None/Not Applicable Additional pages attached

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Kelly Hart & Hallman LLP | J. Stephen Ravel | Allen Kathir |
| Ryan K. Iwahashi | Wendy W. Cai | |
| | | |

5. Related Cases. Provide the case titles and numbers of any case known to be pending in this court or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal. Do not include the originating case number(s) for this case. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(5). See also Fed. Cir. R. 47.5(b).

None/Not Applicable Additional pages attached

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

6. Organizational Victims and Bankruptcy Cases. Provide any information required under Fed. R. App. P. 26.1(b) (organizational victims in criminal cases) and 26.1(c) (bankruptcy case debtors and trustees). Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(6).

None/Not Applicable Additional pages attached

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST | i |
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES | vi |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| RELIEF SOUGHT | 4 |
| ISSUE PRESENTED | 4 |
| FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY | 4 |
| SpaceTime sues Apple for patent infringement in the Waco Division of the Western District of Texas despite having no connection to that division | 4 |
| Apple moves for intradistrict transfer to the Austin Division of the Western District of Texas, and venue discovery proceeds | 6 |
| Apple seeks to postpone the Markman hearing until the district court resolves transfer | 8 |
| The district court denies Apple’s motion to stay, indicating its intent to proceed with claim construction before resolving transfer | 9 |
| REASONS FOR ISSUING THE WRIT | 11 |
| I. Apple Has A Clear And Indisputable Right To The Writ | 13 |
| A. The district court clearly abused its discretion by violating binding precedent requiring courts to prioritize transfer motions | 13 |
| B. The district court’s stated reasons based on purported distinctions between inter- and intradistrict transfer cannot justify its departure from precedent. | 18 |
| II. Apple Has No Other Adequate Means To Obtain Relief | 25 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.