### Miscellaneous Docket No. 21-187

## IN THE

# United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

IN RE APPLE INC.,

Petitioner.

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas No. 6:21-cv-00926-ADA, Hon. Alan D Albright

## APPLE INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF EMERGENCY MOTION FOR A STAY OF THE DISTRICT COURT'S RE-TRANSFER ORDER PENDING RESOLUTION OF MANDAMUS PETITION

Sean C. Cunningham Erin Gibson DLA PIPER LLP (US) 401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101

John M. Guaragna DLA PIPER LLP (US) 303 Colorado Street, Suite 3000 Austin, TX 78701

Mark D. Fowler DLA PIPER LLP (US) 2000 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303 Melanie L. Bostwick ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1152 15th Street NW Washington, DC 20005 (202) 339-8400

Edmund R. Hirschfeld ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 51 West 52nd Street New York, NY 10019

Melanie R. Hallums
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
2121 Main Street
Wheeling, WV 26003

Counsel for Petitioner



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		I	Page	
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES				
INTRODUCTION				
ARGUME	NT		3	
I.	Appl	le's Stay Motion Is Not Moot	3	
II.	II. The Court Should Stay The District Court's Re- Transfer Order Pending Mandamus Review			
	A.	Apple's petition makes a compelling case for mandamus.	5	
	В.	Absent a stay, Apple would be irreparably harmed by participating in the forthcoming Waco Division trial.	8	
	C.	A brief stay would not harm Fintiv	11	
	D.	The public interest strongly favors a stay	12	
CONCLUSION			14	
CERTIFIC	CATE	OF INTEREST		
CERTIFIC	CATE	OF COMPLIANCE		



## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Pa	age(s)
Cases	
In re Cragar Indus., Inc., 706 F.2d 503 (5th Cir. 1983)	5
Hilton v. Braunskill, 481 U.S. 770 (1987)	4, 5
In re Hulu, LLC, F. App'x, 2021 WL 3278194 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 2, 2021)	8
In re Intel Corp., 841 F. App'x 192 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	5, 6, 7
In re Juniper Networks, Inc., F.4th, 2021 WL 4343309 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 24, 2021)	8
In re Lloyd's Register N. Am., Inc., 780 F.3d 283 (5th Cir. 2015)	9
Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418 (2009)4	, 9, 11
Standard Havens Prods., Inc. v. Gencor Indus., Inc., 897 F.2d 511 (Fed. Cir. 1990)	5
In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc., 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008)	9
Statutes	
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)	6, 7, 9
Rules	
Fed. Cir. R. 8(c)	3



# Other Authorities

CDC, Domestic Travel During COVID-19,	
https://tinyurl.com/2bj6v8hv (updated Aug. 25, 2021)	. 10
Rhiannon Saegert, Waco region sees highest COVID-19	
hospitalization rate in Texas, Waco Tribune-Herald (Sept.	
17. 2021)	Ç



### INTRODUCTION

Apple petitioned for mandamus because the district court impermissibly re-transferred this case to the Waco Division without statutory authority, directly contravening *In re Intel Corp.*, 841 F. App'x 192 (Fed. Cir. 2020) ("*Intel I*"). Apple moved for a stay because that clear abuse of discretion happened on the eve of trial. Without a pause in district court proceedings, a Waco trial will begin in eight days, effectively insulating the re-transfer order from this Court's review.

The Court's stay authority exists for cases like this. Granting Apple's motion will ensure that the Court has time to meaningfully act on a compelling petition for mandamus relief. It will prevent several irreparable harms to Apple, including the likely loss of a critical third-party witness and heightened exposure to COVID-19 during a rushed move to Waco. A stay will also serve the public's interest in safety, proper venue, and conservation of judicial resources. Fintiv, meanwhile, would suffer no harm from a short delay.

Fintiv's only answer is a litany of misrepresentations and unfounded accusations. Its mootness argument is belied by the fact that the district court ultimately postponed this trial by a single day—



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

