

No. 21-1542

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

SAS INSTITUTE INC.,
Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

WORLD PROGRAMMING LIMITED,
Defendant - Appellee

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas
Case No. 18-cv-00295
J. Rodney Gilstrap, Chief Judge

**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* SCHOLARS OF COPYRIGHT LAW IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF-APPELLANTS
AND REVERSAL**

Robert W. Clarida
Reitler Kailas & Rosenblatt LLC
885 Third Ave., 20th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 209-3044
rclarida@reitlerlaw.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 1)
July 2020

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Case Number 21-1542

Short Case Caption SAS Institute Inc. v. World Programming Limited

Filing Party/Entity Scholars of Copyright Law

Instructions: Complete each section of the form. In answering items 2 and 3, be specific as to which represented entities the answers apply; lack of specificity may result in non-compliance. **Please enter only one item per box; attach additional pages as needed and check the relevant box.** Counsel must immediately file an amended Certificate of Interest if information changes. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(b).

I certify the following information and any attached sheets are accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Date: 05/21/2021

Signature: /s/ Robert W. Clarida

Name: Robert W. Clarida

FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 2)
July 2020

1. Represented Entities. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(1).	2. Real Party in Interest. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(2).	3. Parent Corporations and Stockholders. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(3).
Provide the full names of all entities represented by undersigned counsel in this case.	Provide the full names of all real parties in interest for the entities. Do not list the real parties if they are the same as the entities. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None/Not Applicable	Provide the full names of all parent corporations for the entities and all publicly held companies that own 10% or more stock in the entities. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None/Not Applicable
Sandra Aistars		
Jon Garon		
Hugh Hansen		
J. Devlin Hartline		
S. Todd Herreman		
Loren Mulraine		
Christopher Newman		
Eric Priest		
Mark F. Schultz		
Steven Tepp		

☐ Additional pages attached

FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 3)
July 2020

4. Legal Representatives. List all law firms, partners, and associates that (a) appeared for the entities in the originating court or agency or (b) are expected to appear in this court for the entities. Do not include those who have already entered an appearance in this court. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(4).

☐ None/Not Applicable

☐ Additional pages attached

Robert W. Clarida	885 Third Ave., 20th Floor New York, NY 10022	(212) 209-3044 rclarida@reitlerlaw.com
Reitler Kailas & Rosenblatt LLC		

5. Related Cases. Provide the case titles and numbers of any case known to be pending in this court or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal. Do not include the originating case number(s) for this case. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(5). See also Fed. Cir. R. 47.5(b).

☒ None/Not Applicable

☐ Additional pages attached

6. Organizational Victims and Bankruptcy Cases. Provide any information required under Fed. R. App. P. 26.1(b) (organizational victims in criminal cases) and 26.1(c) (bankruptcy case debtors and trustees). Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(6).

☒ None/Not Applicable

☐ Additional pages attached

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	v
INTEREST OF THE <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	4
ARGUMENT	6
I. THE COURT FAILED TO APPLY THE STATUTORY PRESUMPTION OF COPYRIGHT VALIDITY UNDER SECTION 410(C)	6
II. THE COURT MISAPPLIED THE ABSTRACTION-FILTRATION- COMPARISON ANALYSIS	16
III. THE COURT FAILED TO SET FORTH ITS REASONING IN SUFFICIENT DETAIL TO PERMIT MEANINGFUL APPELLATE REVIEW	21
CONCLUSION	25
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	27

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.