

Nos. 14-1437 & 14-1485

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

WI-LAN, INC.

Plaintiff-Appellant,

– v. –

APPLE INC.

Defendant-Cross-Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, CASE NOS. 2:11-CV-68, 2:12-CV-600
HON. JUDGE RODNEY GILSTRAP.

**PRINCIPAL AND RESPONSE BRIEF OF
DEFENDANT-CROSS-APPELLANT APPLE INC.**

Mark C. Scarsi
Miguel Ruiz
Ashlee N. Lin
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY &
MCCLOY
601 South Figueroa Street
30th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Mark S. Davies
Siddhartha Venkatesan
Andrew D. Silverman
Katherine M. Kopp
Will Melehani
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
1152 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 339-8400
mark.davies@orrick.com

Attorneys for Defendant-Cross-Appellant Apple Inc.

Claim 1

1. A transceiver for transmitting a first stream of data symbols, the transceiver comprising:

a converter for converting the first stream of data symbols into plural sets of N data symbols each;

first computing means for operating on the plural sets of N data symbols to produce modulated data symbols corresponding to an invertible randomized spreading of the first stream of data symbols; and

means to combine the modulated data symbols for transmission.

A100, col. 6:42-51.

Claim 10

10. The transceiver of claim 1 further comprising:

means for receiving a sequence of modulated data symbols, the modulated data symbols having been generated by invertible randomized spreading of a second stream of data symbols; and

second computing means for operating on the sequence of modulated data symbols to produce an estimate of the second stream of data symbols.

A101, col. 7:32-40.

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for defendant-cross-appellant certifies the following:

1. We represent Apple Inc.
2. The name of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest) represented: N/A
3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the party or amicus curiae represented: N/A
4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for party or amicus now represented in trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court are:

ORRICK, HERRINGTON SUTCLIFFE LLP:

Mark S. Davies
Siddhartha Venkatesan
Andrew D. Silverman
T. Vann Pearce, Jr.
Brian Goldman
Katherine M. Kopp
Will Melehani

MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY:

Mark C. Scarsi
Miguel J. Ruiz
Ashlee N. Lin
Jennifer L. Miremadi
Michael K. Sheen

GILLAM & SMITH, LLP
Melissa Richards Smith

KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP
Luke L. Dauchot
Robert A. Appleby
Jeanne Heffernan
Akshay S. Deoras

WILLIAMS MORGAN, PC
Christopher Needham Cravey
Danny Lloyd Williams
Kyung Kim

SHOOK HARDY & BACON LLP
David Wynne Morehan

Date: December 15, 2014

/s/ Mark S. Davies

Mark S. Davies
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
1152 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 339-8400
mark.davies@orrick.com

*Counsel for Defendant-Cross-
Appellant Apple Inc.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	v
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES	xi
INTRODUCTION	1
JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT	2
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
The Basics Of Wireless Communication.....	5
Direct-Sequence Spread Spectrum (DSSS)	6
Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA)	7
Improvements To CDMA Transceivers	8
Wi-LAN's Patent	10
Wi-LAN Enters "The Licensing Business"	19
Apple's Products	21
District Court Proceedings.....	21
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	33
STANDARD OF REVIEW.....	38
ARGUMENT	40
I. The Jury Properly Found No Infringement Because The Accused Devices Do Not Convert A Data Stream Into Multiple Evenly Distributed Sets Of Data	40
A. The '802 Patent Claims A Transceiver That Converts A Stream Of Data Into Multiple Evenly Distributed Sets Of Data	40
B. The Accused Devices Do Not Convert A Data Stream Into Multiple Evenly Distributed Sets Of Data	48
II. The Jury Correctly Found No Infringement Because The Accused Devices Do Not Randomize The Data Symbols Before The Symbols Are Combined	52

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.