

ORAL ARGUMENT NOT YET SCHEDULED

No. 21-5028

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

Washington Alliance of Technology Workers (Washtech),
Appellant,

v.

U.S. Department of Homeland Security, et al.,
Appellees.

On Petition for Review of an
Order of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia

**CORRECTED BRIEF BY AMICI CURIAE LANDMARK LEGAL
FOUNDATION, CENTER FOR IMMIGRATION STUDIES,
CONGRESSMAN PAUL GOSAR, CONGRESSMAN LOUIE GOHMERT,
CONGRESSMAN MO BROOKS, CONGRESSMAN MADISON
CAWTHORN, JOE KENT, PROGRAMMER'S GUILD, AMERICAN
ENGINEERING ASSOCIATION, INC. AND U.S. TECH WORKERS IN
SUPPORT OF APPELLANT**JULIE AXELROD
Center for Immigration Studies
1629 K Street N.W., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006
Phone: (202) 466-8185
Fax: (202) 466-8076RICHARD P. HUTCHISON
MICHAEL J. O'NEILL
MATTHEW C. Forys
Landmark Legal Foundation
3100 Broadway, Suite 1210
Kansas City, MO 64111
(816) 931-5559
pete.hutch@landmarklegal.org
Counsel for Amici Curiae

May 14, 2012

**CERTIFICATE AS TO PARTIES, RULINGS AND RELATED CASES
PURSUANT TO CIRCUIT RULE 28(a)(1)**

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 28(a)(1), counsel certifies as follows:

- A. Parties and Amici. All parties and intervenors appearing in this Court appear in the Brief for Appellant.
- B. Ruling Under Review. An accurate reference to the order at issue appears in the Brief for Appellant
- C. Related Cases. An accurate statement about related cases appears in the Brief for Appellant.

/s/ Richard P. Hutchison

Richard P. Hutchison
Michael J. O’Neill
Matthew C. Forsys
Landmark Legal Foundation
3100 Broadway, Suite 1210
Kansas City, MO 64111
(816) 931-5559
pete.hutch@landmarklegal.org

/s/ Julie Axelrod

Julie Axelrod
Center for Immigration Studies
1629 K Street N.W., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 466-8185

::

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CERTIFICATE OF PARTIES, RULINGS, AND RELATED CASES PURSUANT TO CIRCUIT RULE 28(a)(1).....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	v
STATEMENT REGARDING CONSENT TO FILE AND SEPARATE BRIEFING	viii
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.....	viii
GLOSSARY	ix
STATUTES AND REGULATIONS.....	1
INTEREST OF THE AMICUS CURIAE.....	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	5
ARGUMENT.....	9
A. The clear meanings of “bona fide student” and “solely pursuing a course of study” forecloses <i>Chevron</i> deference.....	9
B. The INA’s silence on whether DHS may establish new categories of aliens eligible for employment in the United States does not equate to an “ambiguity” and thus trigger <i>Chevron</i> deference.....	13
C. The Court should not be compelled by <i>Chevron</i> to defer to DHS’s interpretation.....	15
D. Congress – not DHS – should make laws affecting the status of F-1 students.....	19

	Page
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH WORD LENGTH AND TYPEFACE REQUIREMENTS.....	22
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....	23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
Cases	
<i>Bais Yaakov of Spring Valley v. FCC</i> , 852 F.3d 1078 (D.C. Cir. 2017).....	14
<i>Baldwin v. United States</i> , 140 S. Ct. 690 (2020).....	15, 16, 17
<i>City of Arlington v. FCC</i> , 569 U.S. 290 (2013).....	13, 18
<i>Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Nat. Res. Def. Council Inc.</i> , 467 U.S. 837 (1984).....	6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19
<i>Dep't of Transportation v. Association of Am. Railroads</i> , 575 U.S. 43 (2015).....	17
<i>Gutierrez-Brizuela v. Lynch</i> , 834 F.3d 1142 (10 th Cir. 2016).....	17, 18
<i>La. Pub. Serv. Comm'n v. FCC</i> , 476 U.S. 355 (1986).....	13, 18
<i>MCI Telecommunications Corp. v. American Telephone and Telegraphy Co.</i> , 512 U.S. 218 (1994).....	14, 15
<i>Michigan v. EPA</i> , 576 U.S. 743 (2015).....	10, 11, 16
<i>Miller v. Christopher</i> , 96 F.3d 1467 (D.C. Cir. 1996).....	7
<i>Nat'l Cable & Telecomms. Assn v. Brand X Internet Servs.</i> , 545 U.S. 967 (2005).....	16
<i>Perez v. Mortgage Bankers Assn.</i> , 575 U.S. 92 (2015).....	15
<i>Ry. Lab. Exec. Ass'n v. Nat'l Mediation Bd.</i> , 29 F.3d 655 (D.C. Cir. 1994).....	14

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.