

# Exhibit 5

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

JAWBONE INNOVATIONS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

META PLATFORMS, INC.,  
D/B/A META,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:23-cv-00158-ADA-DTG

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**DECLARATION OF CLIFF READER, PH.D.**

Dated: April 2, 2024



Cliff Reader, Ph.D.

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. My name is Cliff Reader, Ph.D. I am over 18 years of age and, if I am called upon to do so, I would be competent to testify as to the matters discussed below.
2. I have prepared this declaration at the request of Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc. (“Meta”). I understand that the parties will be asking the Court to construe certain claim terms in U.S. Patent No. 8,321,213 (the “’213 Patent”), U.S. Patent No. 8,326,611 (the “’611 Patent”), U.S. Patent No. 8,503,691 (the “’691 Patent”), U.S. Patent No. 10,779,080 (the “’080 Patent”), and U.S. Patent No. 11,122,357 (the “’357 Patent”) (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”). I understand that other than the claim terms that I discuss below, the parties dispute additional terms that appear in the ’213, ’611, ’691, ’080, and ’357 patents. It is also my understanding that Jawbone additionally asserts U.S. Patent No. 7,246,058 (the “’058 Patent”), U.S. Patent No. 8,019,091 (the “’091 Patent”), and U.S. Patent No. 8,467,543 (the “’543 Patent”) against Meta. I have previously submitted a declaration in another case concerning at least these same patents. That declaration is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference herein. I have not been asked by Meta to provide any additional opinions regarding any terms other than the terms that I discuss below.
3. In this declaration, I give my opinions regarding the view of a person of ordinary skill in the art of certain terms in the claims of the Asserted Patents. This declaration is based on information currently available to me, and I am willing to testify on the topics addressed below. This case is ongoing, and I may supplement or amend these opinions based on the results of further analysis and in rebuttal to positions taken by the Plaintiff. Because this declaration is based on information currently available to me, I reserve the right to continue my investigation, to review documents and information that may be produced, and to consider declarations,

briefing, and deposition testimony from future depositions in this case. Therefore, I reserve the right to supplement, expand, and/or modify my opinions as my investigation continues and in response to any additional information that comes to my attention, including matters raised by the Plaintiff and other opinions provided by the Plaintiff's expert(s).

## II. QUALIFICATIONS

4. Information concerning my professional qualifications, experience, and publications, and the matters in which I have served as an expert, are described in my current curriculum vitae, attached as Exhibit B. I highlight certain relevant experience below.
5. I am currently an independent consultant and I provide technical and marketing consulting services in the areas of digital imaging and digital video, including, for example, image and video & audio compression, audio/video transmission, and real-time processing and display. I have worked in this capacity since 2001. I have over forty-five years of work experience in digital video, audio, and imaging. My career includes technical work in areas of algorithm design, system design, and semiconductor chip design.
6. I received my Bachelor of Engineering degree with Honors in 1970 from the University of Liverpool, England. I received my Doctoral degree in 1974 from University of Sussex, England. My Ph.D. thesis was on "Orthogonal Transform Coding of Still and Moving Pictures." The research for my thesis was performed in residence at the Image Processing Institute, University of Southern California, Los Angeles. From 1970 to 1973, I performed my graduate research in video compression. I was one of the first to perform adaptive block transform coding and the first to apply this type of coding to video. This is described in my thesis and summarized in a 1975 SPIE paper. *See* Reader C, Intraframe and Interframe Adaptive Transform Coding, SPIE Vol. 66, 1975. These techniques underlie the audiovisual

coding standards known as “MPEG” (Moving Picture Experts Group), and virtually all other video compression schemes today.

7. From 1975 to 1989, I worked in the engineering field of real-time, interactive image and video processing and display. Applications included military imaging, reconnaissance imaging, medical imaging and earth resources imaging.
8. In the early 1980s I taught “early bird” classes at Santa Clara University in the fundamentals of digital signal processing.
9. In the 1990 timeframe, semiconductor technology passed a critical threshold that supported logic chips and attendant DRAM capable of processing digital video at real-time rates and costs for consumer devices. While employed by Cypress Semiconductor, I began developing a semiconductor chip to implement an audio, video and systems decoder for the emerging MPEG-1 standard. I designed a hierarchical architecture with an embedded ARM microprocessor running a real-time OS, controlling a programmable “video DSP” and dedicated hardware modules. My work included writing a software implementation of a complete MPEG- 1 encoder and decoder. The encoder and decoder included a video encoder and decoder, an audio encoder and decoder, and a “systems” component for multiplexing, buffering and synchronizing the video and audio components. Subsequently, I worked on a similar project for the MPEG-2 standard at Samsung Semiconductor. Both projects included the videoconferencing application, which included the ITU series of speech codecs.
10. I became an accredited member of the Moving Picture Experts Group (MPEG) in 1990. From 1991 to 1992, I was the head of the US delegation to MPEG. I chaired the US ANSI subcommittee, and led the formation of US positions on the emerging video and audio

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.